

The Schwarz Report

63 Years Defending Our Christian Faith



Dr. Fred Schwarz

Volume 63, Number 10

October 2023

From Hoax to Hoax

by Victor David Hanson

Joe Biden lied repeatedly when he claimed he knew nothing of his son Hunter's influence-peddling businesses. The president further prevaricated that he had no involvement in Hunter's various shake-down schemes.

Yet, the media continued to misinform by serially ignoring these facts.

Had journalists just been honest and independent, then-candidate Joe Biden might have lost a presidential debate and even the 2020 election. The public would have learned that Hunter's business associates and his laptop proved Joe was deeply involved in his son's illicit businesses.

Later, as the evidence from IRS whistleblowers mounted, the White House stonewalled subpoenaed efforts and sought to craft an outrageous plea deal reduction in Hunter's legal exposure.

Reporters ignored the Ukrainians who claimed Joe Biden himself talked to them about quid pro quo arrangements.

They again discounted Hunter's laptop, explicitly demonstrating that Hunter was whining that he had handed over large percentages of his income to his father, Joe—variously referred to as the Big Guy and a "ten percent" recipient on many deals.

They played dumb about Joe Biden's use of pseudonyms and alias email accounts to hide thousands of his communications to Hunter and associates.

They attacked the former Ukrainian prosecutor Viktor Shokin, who now claims Ukrainians likely bribed Biden.

Yet the media can no longer hide the reality that the president of the United States likely took bribes to influence or alter US policy to suit his payers. Those two crimes—bribery and treason—are delineated explicitly in the Constitution as impeachable offenses.

In denial, the media has instead pivoted with hysterical glee over various weaponized prosecutions of former President Donald Trump.

But now, to use a progressive catchphrase, the proverbial "walls are closing in" on Joe Biden.

So will we at last expect the media to confront the truth?

Answer—only if Joe Biden's cognitive and physical health continues to deteriorate geometrically to the point that he can no longer finish his term or run for reelection—and thus becomes expendable.

Such a cynical view of the media is justified, given their record of both incompetence and unapologetic deceit.

From 2015 to 2019, we were suffocated 24/7 with lies like "Russian collusion," "Putin's puppet," "election rigging," and the "Steele dossier."

When all such "evidence" was proven to be a complete fraud cooked up through Hillary Clinton's stealthy hiring of and collusion with a discredited ex-British spy, a Russian fabulist at the Brookings Institution, and a Clinton toady in Moscow, did the media apologize for their untruth?

Was there any media confessional that perhaps Robert Mueller and his leftwing legal team (the giddy media-dubbed "all-stars," "dream team," and "hunter-killers") proved a colossal waste of time?

Not at all.

Instead, the media went next right on to "the phone call" and "impeachment."

The country then wasted another year.

The same biased reporters now claimed that the heroic Andrew Vindman had caught Trump fabricating lies about the Bidens—given Joe Biden was a possible 2020 opponent—to force Ukraine to investigate them or lose American foreign aid.

On that accusation, Trump was impeached.

Then the truth emerged that, unlike Joe Biden, Trump never threatened to cancel aid but merely to delay it.

Trump was fitting that the Bidens were knee-deep in Ukrainian bribes and influence peddling.

And that the whistleblower had no first-hand knowledge of the Trump call but was spoon-fed a script cooked up by the gadfly Vindman and California Rep. Adam Schiff.

The result was journalistic glee that we impeached a president for crimes that he did not commit but exempted another president, Biden, who had likely committed them.

Then came the subsequent hoax of the Russian fabricated facsimile of Hunter's laptop.

The 2020 Biden campaign and an ex-CIA head rounded up "51 intelligence authorities" to mislead the country into believing that Russian gremlins in the Kremlin had fabricated a fake laptop.

Ponder that absurd fantasy: Moscow supposedly had created fake nude pictures, fake photos of Hunter's drug use, and fake email and text messages from Hunter to the other Bidens.

The media preposterously convinced the country that the Russians and, by extension, Trump had once again sandbagged the Biden campaign.

No apologies followed when the FBI later admitted it had kept the laptop under wraps for more than a year, knew it was authentic, and yet said nothing as the media and former spooks misled the country and warped an election.

Now we are enmeshed in at least four court trials on cooked-up charges that could as easily apply to a host of Democrats as to Trump.

For the last eight years, discredited media has never expressed remorse for any of the damage they did to the country. And they will not again when their latest mythological indictments are eventually exposed.

—FrontPageMag.com, September 7, 2023

Catholic Diocese Goes Biblical by Andrea Widburg

Two of the worst Supreme Court opinions are *Obergefell*, finding an imaginary constitutional right to gay marriage, and *Bostock*, reading transgenderism into Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. However, the Diocese of Cleveland, by announcing that it is abandoning all LGBTQ+ ideology and practices, may give rise to a Supreme Court case that wipes out those legal abominations. In 2015, in *Obergefell*, Justice Anthony Kennedy concluded that, hidden deep within the Constitution, probably in the same place in which the Supreme Court once found a right to abortion, there is a fundamental right to same-sex marriage. The decision reads more like a romance novel than it does a legal document, despite periodic references to the Constitution.

Kennedy's writing was slop, but it was slop that set up a very disturbing constitutional crisis: namely, the moment when a same-sex couple sues a conservative religious institution for refusing to marry it. When I mentioned this problem to a leftist lawyer, he scoffed. Much as the Catholic church opposed abortion, he pointed out, that had never caused a constitutional crisis. I responded that the Catholic church didn't perform abortions.

Then, in 2019, Justice Neil Gorsuch wrote the decision in *Bostock*. In it, he held that the 1964 Civil Rights Act, when it used the word "sex," meant "gender identity." This violated the first rule of statutory interpretation, which is that you must consider what the legislature had in mind when it enacted a law—and I think we can all agree that, back in 1964, no one in the legislature was thinking about so-called "transgenderism." They were, instead, thinking about sex in terms of the gender binary—male and female—that is both a constant in human biology and the Judeo-Christian belief system that built America. Again, a head-on collision with a religious institution seems inevitable.

Gorsuch's decision is especially bad because it's weaving so-called transgenderism into the warp and woof of American life. It's why every corporation in America now has a transgender policy. That's not just because corporations are woke (they are); it's because if they don't have such a policy, they've violated the Civil Rights Act and run the risk of getting a lawsuit and a knock on the door from the EEOC.

But the Diocese of Cleveland just did something that is great on its face, and that may finally force the Supreme Court to revisit these constitutionally corrupt decisions: It said that its Christian values must control when it comes to the question of human sex and sexuality. According to the Diocese, there are only two sexes, and heterosexuality is the only appropriate relationship.

The Bishop's new "Parish & School Policy on Issues of Sexuality and Gender Identity" is remarkably clear. Its opening paragraph establishes that the rules it states are grounded in divine revelation. It acknowledges that people suffer from gender dysphoria or confusion and expresses sympathy for their sufferings but says that their belief "is contrary to the divinely revealed reality of our true, God-given human nature." Compassion is called for but not more. While the Diocese will not bar the door against those who claim same-sex attraction or gender confusion,

those persons who choose to openly express disagreement with Church teaching on matters of sex, sexuality, and/or gender in an inappropriate or scandalous way, or who act in ways contrary to the teachings of the Church, may be subject to restrictions on his or her participation in the life of the institution or, in appropriate cases, to disciplinary action, both for that person's own good and/or the good of others.

The policy applies to everyone affiliated with the Catholic Diocese of Cleveland—employees, volunteers, students, etc.

With this principle in place, the Diocese articulated firm rules:

 \Box If a minor expresses gender dysphoria, any institution within the Diocese must notify the parents. The exception is the reasonable belief that this will subject the child to physical abuse, in which case the dysphoria must be reported to the Diocese Legal Office and designated moral theologians.

□ All pronouns and names "must reflect a person's God-given biological sex…" There are no preferred pronouns in the Cleveland Diocese.

 \Box Bathrooms and other single-sex facilities are open only to members of that biological sex.

 \Box Single-sex programs, institutions, and activities are open only to members of that biological sex.

Only male-female couples may attend dances within the Diocese in a romantic capacity, although friends, groups, and individuals may attend.

□ Clothes are to reflect a person's biological sex.

□ People may not engage in public LGBTQ+ conduct, including displaying LGBTQ+ paraphernalia and insignia.

 \Box No one within the diocese may go through any form of "gender transition."

 \Box All records are to reflect a person's biological sex.

It's amazing that it took this long for a religious institution to stand up for religious principles. I hope the Diocese is braced for the inevitable lawsuits from activist employees or parents—and when those lawsuits come, I hope the Diocese has the resolve to take them to the Supreme Court if need be. Maybe, then, the Supreme Court will reverse the constitutional monstrosities that are *Obergefell* and *Bostock*.

—American Thinker, September 17, 2023

Frequently, I read where a politician like John Kerry; Al Gore: Joe Biden: or António Guterres, the secretary

by Guy K. Mitchell, Jr.

Al Gore; Joe Biden; or António Guterres, the secretary general of the U.N., has stated: "We must keep the increase of the average temperature of the Earth to 1.5°C or less; it has already risen 1.1°C since the beginning of the industrial age." The implication of such a statement is that the Earth is on a path to thermal destruction if we humans don't take action to save the planet. Aside from exhibiting the height of man's hubris to think that we could control any basic aspect of nature; such a statement demonstrates either a profound ignorance about fundamental physics or an intent to deceive. I suspect that it is both.

No Average Temperature

The concept of an average temperature of the Earth is a figment of the climate scientist's imagination, conjured up to try to prove a fraudulent hypothesis. The Earth has no average temperature; the temperature of the Earth is different at every point in time and space. The Earth is never in thermal equilibrium. It should be apparent to anyone that if you add two temperatures together and average them, you get a meaningless number. A calculation of similar value would be to determine the average ZIP code in the United States to locate the average American city (49663—Manton, Mich., pop. 1,324).

Thermodynamics, the branch of physics that deals with the movement of heat, defines temperature as a proxy for the average kinetic energy of the molecules in a system. It is related to the quantity of thermal energy present in the system. The concept of temperature as a proxy for the kinetic energy of the molecules in a system is derived from the "Kinetic Theory of Gases," which was developed in the 1850s and proven by experimentation in 1947.

This concept is what is known as a first principle of science. An understanding of the scientific definition of temperature is important to an understanding of the fraudulent claims of politicians and climate scientists who promote the man-made global warming hypothesis.

Kinetic energy is the energy associated with the motion of an object, such as a golf ball, a moving car, an airplane, or a molecule of air. A system is a volume that has a boundary. Any object in motion has kinetic energy, and it can be calculated using the formula $K.E. = 1/2 m v^2$, where m=mass and v=velocity of the object.

How are thermal energy, temperature, and kinetic energy related? When a system gains or loses thermal energy from its surroundings through the transfer of thermal (heat) energy, its temperature increases or decreases. The thermal energy absorbed or lost increases or decreases the kinetic energy of the molecules in the system, causing them to move faster or slower. As a result, the average kinetic energy of the molecules in the system changes, and we read that change with a thermometer.

If the temperature of the air in a system represents the average kinetic energy of the air molecules in a system, ask yourself this simple question: "How can the kinetic energy of the air molecules in my room affect the kinetic energy of the air molecules in a room halfway around the world? The answer is that it can't! The system that constitutes your room or the atmosphere that surrounds your city is not adjacent to a system halfway around the world! The air molecules in your room or in your city cannot interact with air molecules in a city halfway around the world and affect the kinetic energy (temperature) of those distant molecules!

This fact demonstrates an important concept about heat transfer in a fluid or gas like air. For thermal energy (heat energy) to pass from one system to another, the systems must be adjacent to each other—that is, they must share a thermal boundary permeable to heat. That is a fundamental law of thermodynamics. Climate scientists at the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change may develop complicated computer models that employ coupled partial differential equations to simulate the movement of thermal energy from one place to another around the globe to calculate an average temperature of the Earth, but this is pseudoscience! These models use incomplete data and infer heat transfer interactions that are impossible to predict. Adding the temperature of Moscow (20°F) to Miami (74°F) in January yields an average of 47°F. Does anyone think that number has any practical value? It certainly has no scientific value.

In my book titled Global Warming: The Great Deception—The Triumph of Dollars and Politics Over Science and Why You Should Care, I cite published, peer-reviewed scientific research, employing the first principles of the relevant scientific fields of thermodynamics, quantum mechanics, atmospheric physics, and spectroscopy, to prove that CO2 does not cause global warming. I use publicly available data from the world's temperature databases to prove that there has been no significant global warming of the Earth's atmosphere, oceans, or land mass as the concentration of CO2 in the atmosphere increased, thereby falsifying the global warming hypothesis. I use publicly available information to demonstrate that the motivation of the U.N. IPCC, certain world politicians, and global investment firms is to gain financially by promoting the fraudulent hypothesis. It is all about the money and political power. Science has been sacrificed on the altar of political opportunism for economic and political gain.

I was born and raised in the southern part of the US and have hunted and fished all my life. One thing I learned is that if you want to kill a snake, you must cut off its head. The head of the global warming snake is pseudoscience, and its body is money. The concept of an average temperature of the Earth is based on pseudoscience.

-American Thinker, September 8, 2023

Here Comes the Judge by Monica Showalter

How's this for a conflict of interest?

Turns out President Trump's judge in the January 6 case, Tanya Chukhan, is the granddaughter of bona fide communist revolutionaries back in her native Jamaica:

The judge assigned to former President Trump's multiple indictments stemming from his efforts to overturn the 2020 presidential election is the scion of a family of revolutionary Marxists in her native Jamaica.

Judge Tanya S. Chutkan, an Obama appointee, is the granddaughter of Frank Hill, a Jamaican communist revolutionary, who along with his brother Ken were briefly jailed by the island's British governor during World War II over suspicions of "subversive activities."

Hill is the father of Noelle Hill, Judge Chutkan's mother, public records show.

Frank, along with his brother Ken Hill, and fellow comrades Richard Hart and Arthur Henry, were expelled from the People's National Party of Jamaica for espousing communist views, according to local Jamaican media.

Which is more than a little disturbing. The Communist Party of Jamaica operated in lockstep to the calls of the Soviet Union and supported its entire record. There was no daylight at all. Notice how Russian her first name looks, which is weird stuff from a country that has no significant Russian immigration.

Sure, people can't control who their ancestors were. Some lovely conservatives out there have begun life with the first name "Stalin."

But unless she has explicitly renounced those views, it's a certainty she's been steeped in them. Communists politicize the entirety of society including the family.

Someone like this shouldn't be judging anyone at all in this country.

What's the communist view and record on trials and justice?

"By any means necessary."

"Of the party, by the party, for the party, and nothing outside the party."

That tells us a lot about what she's learned in her home and how she would naturally think now. It also explains why Congress is investigating her political bias, according to the *Post*.

Even more important, what is the communist record on justice?

Show trials. Imprisonment without trial. Kangaroo courts and trumped-up charges. Knocks on doors in the middle of the night. Official lies. Draconian punishments and summary executions and "liquidations" of those dissenting. Political prisoners.

She's renounced none of that.

That certainly explains her record as a judge, throwing January 6 defendants into prison for longer terms than even the prosecutors requested.

With that background and zero evidence whatsoever of renunciation of those ideas and practices, this is obviously someone who cannot be fair at a trial of a conservative with anti-communist views. To her, Trump is just a white guard general to do away with as a good commissar would. Anybody think she's someone who could be fair in a trial?

What a shocking irony this is. America is so degraded, so third-worldized, so Marxicized, it's come to this.

The rot in our institutions is so deep that we've made room for actual communists of the kind we spent nearly a century fighting to now be our judges in a court of law. It's not just Trump she's after, it's all conservatives and anti-communists.

You can bet the Chicoms and Russians and Cubans are watching this scion of their handiwork around the world over the years with very satisfied smiles.

Whatever you want to call it, it's not justice. It's revolutionary justice, done by an unreconstructed communist and it stinks as bad as the Atlanta prison they're all gleefully trying to throw President Trump into.

—American Thinker, August 27, 2023

Don't miss a minute of the news and analysis by David Noebel. Check out our blog at:

www.thunderontheright.wordpress.com

War on Ivermectin by Maker S. Mark

During COVID, there were allegedly no treatments available, according to the FDA and CDC. Even through a number of front-line doctors were having success with ivermectin and HCQ, the CDC and FDA put real barriers in place for working doctors to utilize those treatments. Many doctors were threatened with losing their license if they continued to treat patients, and some doctors were fired.

As the dust has begun to settle, there is a legal issue that might explain the lack of curiosity about a potential cheap treatment for COVID. If there had been a treatment for COVID, then the Emergency Use Authorization could not be used for the vaccine. Explained very well in ["The Covid 'Vaccine' Scam, American Thinker August 3, 2023], "Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) is a mechanism used by the FDA to facilitate making products available quickly during a public health emergency, when there is no other adequate and approved medical product available."

Well, an interesting development occurred when doctors sued the FDA for interfering in their off-label use of ivermectin as a treatment for COVID. The FDA has now tried to argue that it never told anyone not to use it. It just made fun of people for considering using it.

I believe that the people running the FDA have misrepresented the pressures applied to doctors and pharmacists not to prescribe this and *help* people.

And we arealso learning that FDA and CDC had plenty of warning that the so-called vaccines were dangerous, information they completely hid and ignored.

This is all a setup for a few thoughts.

1) An international study has concluded that ivermectin prevented more serious illness in approximately 62% of cases. See the *Gateway Pundit* article referenced above, and find this fabulous line: "There have now been 99 Ivermectin COVID-19 controlled studies that show a 62% improvement in COVID patients." Think of the number of ventilator hours saved, and the number of hospital beds that could have been freed up with even a 50% effective rate.

2) Ivermectin was been approved for human use since 1996. At the start of the pandemic, we had 24 years of data on ivermectin. In addition, if you review the emails being sent to Dr. Fauci, as early as January 2020, international doctors were describing treatment success with ivermectin and HCQ. Instead, we had to have an expensive drug for treatment with little to no data. How many human data are in the public sphere about Remdesivir and the so-called vaccines? (Hint: It is nearly zero). 3) Can informed consent for a treatment actually be given if there is no public information available to make the informed consent? We are still waiting on detailed knowledge of Remdesivir and the so-called vaccines. This was a major failing of the courts in my humble opinion.

4) Would we have needed to lock down anything (except to protect the most vulnerable) with the knowledge that a treatment existed and was able to make the risk more manageable for nearly everyone?

5) Remdesivir was quite literally killing people as they were used as test subjects with no friend or family allowed to speak for them. This seemed like a risky treatment that did more harm than good.

6) If there was a conspiracy to defraud the American people about ivermectin, *and* if it is a treatment with substantial benefits, is the Emergency Use Authorization actually valid? And can people sue the drug-makers over the harm they have endured?

7) Who was working to hide the truth and why? Was it just about money for a new vaccine that could generate norisk returns for Big Pharma?

Congress and the Judicial Branch failed the American people at every turn. Instead of some skepticism of the claims being made, many judges accepted assertions from Dr. Fauci and others as actual facts. In several Supreme Court hearings, justices quoted the talking points in the briefs rather than the actual facts. Congressional oversight has been nonexistent, and they are already preparing to do this to us again.

Why should you care, and why should we answer question #6? The vaccine was improperly approved. It is not a vaccine, and it is hurting people even now. Also, the vaccines do not work. Because the FDA and CDC claimed that no treatment was available, they were able to approve the vaccine under emergency use authorizationremoving all risk from Big Pharma. And no one can sue anyone over it because it was approved for an Emergency Use Authorization. Is it possible for the best lawyers in American to crack open the improperly issued EUA and allow lawsuits for harm? Is it possible for Congress to start investigating and preparing for а Republican administration to take names and hold people accountable?

The politics and the so-called science moved so much faster than the law and oversight. Rumbles of more lockdowns, new vaccines, and mask mandates are already in the air. Our president started wearing a mask this week and has begun talking about a new vaccine "that works." This seems like a tacit acknowledgement that the so-called vaccines did not work. And who gets to define and monitor the success of these drugs? The same people who pushed the ineffective vaccine? I think I'll pass, again.

—American Thinker, September 7, 2023

Climate Fairy Tales by Cal Thomas

It's been a good summer for climate activists who are pushing the false narrative of "climate change."

We had the Canadian wildfires with smoke drifting into other parts of North America. Then there were hotter than usual temperatures in many parts of the country (it's summertime and I predict temperatures will drop this fall, winter and spring, as usual), followed by a devastating fire in Maui, and then Hurricane Idalia.

Never mind that the fires in Canada might have been prevented if environmentalists had not opposed clearing underbrush and removing old trees. The same goes for Maui, along with better management of the fire when it first broke out.

President Joe Biden added to this fictional summer storyline by embellishing an often-told story about a fire at his Delaware home. We've heard several versions, the latest being firefighters "ran into flames" to rescue his wife and the house "almost collapsed." Previous stories put his 1967 Corvette and cat at risk after a lightning strike.

Much of the media are hauling out one of their favorite words—"unprecedented"—to describe the force and destructive power of Idalia, which is not true. Hurricanes happen during the summer and early fall and some of the worst occurred long before the Industrial Age. Let's not forget the "experts" who swore in the 1970s that the Earth was headed for a new ice age in which we would all freeze to death. Trust the science we were told.

The deconstruction of this latest chapter of fiction begins with this: According to the Hawaii Wildfire Management Organization, "98 percent of all Hawaiian fires are started by people, of which 75 percent are due to carelessness." Bring back Smokey Bear who said: "Remember, only YOU can present forest fires."

Returning to those Canadian wildfires—common across that country during summer—the *Milwaukee Journal Sentinel* reported that half were caused by lightning strikes while the other half were caused in different ways, from discarding cigarette butts to sparks from passing trains. While the newspaper includes "climate change" as a contributing factor, better forest management would have helped reduce the risk.

Concerning the predictable hysteria from some TV reporters standing in ankle or hip-deep water, the climate Chicken Littles are wrong again. According to the webpage Advancing Earth and Space Sciences: "Global hurricane counts and Accumulated Cyclone Energy (ACE) have significantly decreased since 1990 likely due to a trend toward LaNina. (The) decreasing trend in global hurricanes and ACE is primarily driven by (the) downturn in western North Pacific activity."

CNN went full crisis mode when its top climate "expert" Dr. Bill Weir said:

"The cost of (using fossil fuels) is becoming bigger with every storm. Science has been warning about this for a very long time, in many ways it has been predicted..."

Not all "science" and not all scientists, especially those who are in the field of environment and not receiving grants from the federal government, which could skew the credibility of their findings. The organization Climate Intelligence has published a letter signed by 1,609 scientists who say there is no climate emergency. Their letter is loaded with scientific facts and not statements by politicians and reporters who repeat familiar lines.

In addition to their citation of scientific facts, they write:

"To believe the outcome of a climate model is to believe what the model makers have put in. This is precisely the problem with today's climate discussion to which climate models are central. Climate science has degenerated into a discussion based on beliefs, not on sound self-critical science. Should we not free ourselves from the naive belief in immature climate models?"

It's a good question and one purveyors of the "climate change" storyline should contemplate.

-FrontPageMag.com, September 8, 2023

WOKE Math

by W.A. Eliot

The push for woke math is getting stronger. Everyone knows about "A Pathway to Equitable Math Instruction," the formal program of the Oregon Department of Education which allows 2 + 2 =5 because demanding the correct answer is racist and white-supremacist. Some newer ones include eliminating advanced math classes in middle school in the name of equity because they attract а percentage disproportionate of whites and Asians; trauma-informed pedagogy, which takes into account students' difficult lives; and Mathematics Framework for California Public Schools (discussed recently on American Thinker), approved on July 12 by California's Board of Education and sort of a culmination of much of the above and more: it eliminates letter grades in favor of "standards-based assessments"; it effectively knocks calculus off the highschool curriculum; and it likely will result in an end to California's historical leadership in STEM innovation and harm to the country as a whole. To paraphrase Vladimir Lenin, the capitalists will buy the rope with which they will hang themselves.

An initiative related to the Mathematics Framework and unanimously endorsed by the University of California Board of Admissions and Relations with Schools (BOARS) is to expand the eligible college prep advanced math and related coursework for acceptance to the University of California to include data science courses, nudging out traditional math courses to promote "equity," thereby leaving many students unprepared for STEM fields. What's different this time is that there is a "behind-the-scenes protest" from . . . professors in the University of California system! They believe that the very students the initiative is intended to help will be left behind. (Shouldn't that apply to 2 + 2 =5 as well? Just asking.) Of course, other professors are defending the initiative, so the fight has just begun.

Or maybe it's already over. We live in an era of professors being afraid to say anything that doesn't toe the line for fear of it being a career-ender; peerreviewed math papers being subject to the wrath of the woke mob and rejected by, or even retracted from, prestigious math journals; and mandatory diversity statements:

Faculty at universities across the country are facing an echo of the loyalty oath, a mandatory "Diversity Statement" for job applicants. The professed purpose is to identify candidates who have the skills and experience to advance institutional diversity and equity goals. In reality it's a political test, and it's a political test with teeth.

What are the teeth? Nearly all University of California campuses require that job applicants

Founded in 1953, the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade, under the leadership of Dr. Fred C. Schwarz (1913-2009) has been publishing a monthly newsletter since 1960. *The Schwarz Report* is edited by Dr. David A. Noebel and is offered free of charge to anyone asking for it. The Crusade's address is PO Box 129, Manitou Springs, CO 80829. Our telephone number is 719-685-9043. All correspondence and tax-deductible gifts (CACC is a 501C3 tax-exempt organization) may be sent to this address. You may also access earlier editions of *The Schwarz Report* and make donations at www.schwarzreport.org. Permission to reproduce materials from this Report is granted provided that the article and author are given along with our name and address. Our daily blog address is www.thunderontheright.wordpress.com.

Alice K. Noebel 1934-2023

Alice K. Noebel (née Koch) went home to be with the Lord her Savior on August 12, 2023 in Indianapolis, IN.

Alice was born on September 1, 1934 in Hudson, Iowa to Emil and Olive Koch. She had one sister, Joretta Koch Wilson. Alice graduated from Milwaukee Bible College in 1956, she then went on to work as a librarian, teacher, and in ministry roles throughout her lifetime. Alice married David Arthur Noebel on August 24, 1957 in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. They were happily married for over 65 years. Alice and David had one son, Brent David Noebel, and one daughter, Joy Noebel Reese. Alice is preceded in death by her son, Brent, who met the Lord in 2002, and her great-grandson, Elliot Sean Geddie (2019). Alice is survived by her husband, daughter, five grandchildren, Ann Elizabeth Ewing (Lucas Ewing), Luke David Geddie, Matthew Todd Geddie (Kaylyn Geddie), Rachel Anne Thiemann (Evan Thiemann), and Samuel Grant Geddie (Melissa Geddie) and four greatgrandchildren: Ava Joy Ewing, Ethan Craig Ewing, Willow Rose Geddie, and Emery Joy Geddie.



Alice was truly the epitome of a Proverbs 31 woman, a daily example of the perfect helpmate and complement designed by the Lord for her husband, whom she so deeply loved. Her unwavering faith, enduring spirit, inner strength, humility, kind and gentle spirit, strong midwestern work ethic, and most importantly, servant's heart, were an example to all who knew her.

Monetary gifts may be made to the organizations nearest to Alice's heart: Summit Ministries (online or physical checks: 935 Osage Avenue, Manitou Springs, CO 80829), Preborn! (online or physical checks: PO Box 78221, Indianapolis, IN 46278), or Christian Anti-Communism Crusade (physical checks: PO Box 129, Manitou Springs, CO 80829).

submit a "contributions to diversity" statement as a part of their application. The campuses evaluate such statements using rubrics, a detailed scoring system. Several UC programs have used these diversity statements to screen out candidates early in the search process.

A typical rubric from UC Berkeley specifies that a statement that "describes only activities that are already the expectation of Berkeley faculty (mentoring, treating all students the same regardless of background, etc)" merits a score of 1–2 out of a possible 5 (1 worst and 5 best) in the second section of the rubric, the "track record for advancing diversity" category.

The diversity "score" is becoming central in the hiring process. Hiring committees are being urged to start the review process by using officially provided rubrics to score the required diversity statements and to eliminate applicants who don't achieve a scoring cut-off. The math professor who wrote the above, a vice president of the American Mathematical Society, was blasted by colleagues in a subsequent issue of the same math journal for daring to speak out about it.

We all know that universities are bastions of leftist ideology, but how bad is it among math professors specifically? Consider the featured chart on the political party (a proxy for ideology) of full-time, Ph.D.-holding, tenure-track faculty in various fields at top-ranked liberal arts colleges.

For mathematics, the ratio of Democrats to Republicans is 5.6:1, or equivalently, Democrats constitute $5.6/(5.6+1) \approx 85\%$ of math professors registered as D or R. Some solace can be taken in that mathematics has a lower D:R ratio than most of the other fields in the chart. At the same time, the ratio for mathematics, as well as for the other fields that may factor into this debate, is an indicator of the uphill battle ahead.

—American Thinker, August 21, 2023