




Dr. Fred Schwarz

The Schwarz Report

62 Years Defending Our Christian Faith



Dr. David Noebel

Volume 62, Number 9

September 2022

World War IV

by Scott S. Powell

Since war is universally understood as a military engagement between two opposing nations or groups of nations in alliance with each other, few realize that the United States is already in a war—a world war. It's not a shooting war like World War I and World War II, but the stakes are just as high and deadly. Waged by globalist elites and marketed under banners such as “the Green New Deal,” “Build Back Better,” and the “Great Reset,” this war is being waged by cabals and organizations both within and from outside our borders. In short, we face irregular and unrestricted warfare against the sovereignty of the United States—the world's oldest constitutional republic that has provided more freedom and opportunity to its citizens than any other government in the history of mankind.

Once you understand the basic facts behind this total unrestricted war, everything begins to make sense.

Unlike the physical territory and fronts of conventional war, the battlefield of this war is the mind and soul. The goal is to confuse and demoralize the American people—and particularly patriots—through ideological, spiritual, and psychological operations (psyops), and a range of other deceptions. Unrecognized by most, this war has been going on during the last century in different forms and against different actors. The primary targets of this war are the American people's sense of identity and belonging—their family orientation, their faith in God and appreciation for their country. The enemy battle plan has been to create division within America in every possible way: between children and their parents, between young and old, between races, between genders, and between heterosexuals and homosexuals. The recent explosion of a transgender movement may be a marker of nearing the end, for no civilization can survive when individuals turn upon themselves.

The common thread of those who want to take America down is a secular globalist vision that supersedes and nullifies the sovereignty of the nation-state. For these elites, the United States and its constitution stands in their way and is their biggest obstacle.

The first socialist totalitarian globalist regime was the Soviet communist system known as the USSR that was established in 1919 by Bolshevik revolutionaries Vladimir Lenin and Leon Trotsky, both of whom were financed by wealthy capitalist businessmen in London, Frankfurt, and New York.

After World War II, the second totalitarian state was established by Mao Zedong in 1949 as the Peoples Republic of China (PRC). In 1989, the USSR ended due to its failed socialist economic system, and the fifteen Soviet satellite countries, including Ukraine, regained their independence. The Communist Party of China (CCP) then took the baton of global communism, leaving behind a legacy of its bloody cultural revolution and the genocide of some sixty-five million of its own citizens. Learning from the failure of socialism in the USSR, the CCP adopted a modified market system in the early 1980s. Even though this market system was under control of the CCP, its incentives combined with the entrepreneurial culture in China catalyzed rapid economic growth that lifted a significant portion of the Chinese population out of poverty.

More than any country, China has a unique war-fighting orientation that traces back to Fourth Century B.C. military philosopher-strategist, Sun Tzu, whose name means “Grand Master.” Chinese military and political decision makers still embrace Sun Tzu's admonition to “break the enemy's resistance without fighting,” and to exploit the normalcy bias by “engaging the enemy with what they expect as it is what they are able to discern and it will confirm their projections... and it will settle them into predictable patterns of response, occupying their minds while you wait for the extraordinary moment—that which they cannot anticipate.”

By the late 1980s and through the 1990s, the CCP let the world know that they were open for business, offering a huge market for foreign brand products and also a low-cost manufacturing platform. Chinese communists deemed this the best way for their country's economy to catch up to the developed countries of the world. And so, the CCP created

rules of engagement that included 51 percent ownership of all joint ventures established in China so as to assure control and guarantee access to technology. Through this, the Chinese gained access to American companies' patents and designs. In addition, there has been and continues to be blatant lawbreaking and theft by the Chinese. Last month the US Chamber of Commerce's Global IP Centre (GIPC) reported that as much as 86% of the world's counterfeit goods originate from China.

While wooing American companies to offshore some or all of their manufacturing and assembly to China, the CCP was building and infiltrating channels in the United States at many levels. Those channels included universities and think tanks, social and cultural groups, Chinese-language media, Chinese cultural organizations—such as Confucius Institutes, and WeChat, the Chinese social media and messaging app. Newsweek has identified about 600 Chinese groups operating in the US that are all in regular touch with and guided by the CCP—a larger-scale version of a pattern found in other countries around the world.

Western business leaders (and politicians) naively assumed that trade and exchange would liberalize China, while improving their top and bottom lines. However, these assumptions have proven false in the last ten years. Under Xi Jinping's CCP rule since 2012, a rise of technocratic power and control has resulted in:

- * extralegal detention of political dissidents and televised forced confessions
- * increased repression of journalists, political dissidents, and all independent religious groups
- * deployment of tens of millions of trolls to maintain Chinese propaganda on international social media sites
- * deployment of more than 300 million surveillance cameras in China with facial recognition.
- * the installation of spyware on all Chinese smartphones
- * control of Chinese citizen mobility and spending money through social credit scoring
- * A military buildup that has given China the largest army and navy in the world

China's neighbors and trade partners face many threats, but the fall of Taiwan to CCP control would change everything. Taiwan is the largest and most important manufacturer of semiconductor chips upon which the US military and the entire world depends.

The challenge from the CCP comes at a time when freedom and independence-loving people at home and abroad also face rising challenges from consortiums of other globalists, like the World Economic Forum (WEF) and the Bilderbergers, two organizations headquartered

in Europe with many members and extensive reach into countries around the world.

It's no coincidence that the Covid-19 virus originating in China in late 2019 gave birth to one of the largest global psyops in peacetime history. That psyop, which was explicitly designed to promote fear started with the CCP's approval to release of images of total lockdowns in Wuhan, with apartment entry doors being welded shut, while bags of dead or dying pet dogs and cats piled up on sidewalks awaiting pickup and burial.

Klaus Schwab, WEF founder and chairman, built on the COVID fear psyop, stating "the Covid pandemic represents a rare but narrow window of opportunity to reflect, reimagine, and reset our world to create a healthier, more equitable, and more prosperous future.

Among WEF's eight predictions for the world in 2030, we are told: "You will own nothing. And you will be happy."

Owning nothing is of course the Chinese communist model of control, and Klaus Schwab has cultivated relationships in China for the last forty years. In 2017 Schwab commented that "President Xi's [WEF] attendance and speech were of tremendous historical significance, and they filled us with confidence for the future." Quoting President Xi's ideas of "a community with a shared future for mankind" and the "Chinese Dream," Schwab said that "President Xi's speech was crucial for building an innovative, open, fair and inclusive society, and that the Chinese Dream is connected with the global dream."

On December 10, 2018, the CCP awarded Klaus Schwab the China Reform Friendship Medal. Schwab's response: "...the WEF will continue to work with China to make greater contributions to building a harmonious society and a community with a shared future for mankind featuring peace, prosperity, and mutual understanding."

Even if we cannot know the extent of cooperation between the Xi Jinping and the CCP and Klaus Schwab and the WEF, they share a similar globalist totalitarian perspective. They see no role for private property and believe all citizens should be controlled through surveillance. In April 2022 Schwab's lead advisor Yuval Noah Harari cast the WEF vision of "a constant monitoring and total biometric surveillance, under the skin."

When we overlay the recruitment and infiltration by the WEF and the CCP in American affairs and institutions with the knowledge that we now have of Joe Biden family's receipt of \$31 million in financial payments from the Chinese, we are confronted with national security risks of a unique dimension. The compromised nature of the Biden family is the most

plausible explanation for President Biden's recent change in China policy:

* The February decision to end the US China Initiative designed to protect US national security against Chinese spying and countering national security threats, such as "identifying and prosecuting those engaged in trade secret theft, hacking, and economic espionage," as well as "protecting our critical infrastructure against external threats through foreign direct investment and supply chain compromises."

* The July decision by President Biden to sell a million barrels of oil from the United States' strategic reserve to a Chinese company in which Hunter Biden's private equity company held a \$1.7 billion stake.

In sum, the success in the infiltration and psyops of WEF and the CCP are widespread if poorly understood. A substantial course change for America is overdue. Let's start with taking a maxim or two out of the Sun Tzu playbook: get out of denial, study, and know the enemy and use every means to subdue him without bloodshed.

—*American Thinker*, July 22, 2022

The Climate Fraud

by Bjorn Lomborg

The chattering classes who jet to conferences at Davos or Aspen have for years been telling the rest of us that our biggest immediate threats are climate change, environmental disasters, and biodiversity loss. They point to the current heat waves killing thousands across Europe as the latest reason to change our societies and economies radically by switching to renewables.

Such arguments are misleading. It's true that as temperatures rise the world will experience more heat waves, but humans also adapt to such things. In Spain, for example, rising temperatures have actually led to fewer heat deaths, because people have adapted faster than temperatures have gone up. It simply took air conditioning, public cooling centers, and better treatment of maladies that are caused or aggravated by heat, such as heatstroke and heart disease.

The exclusive focus on heat deaths is also misleading. Across the world, low temperatures are much more dangerous than high ones: Half a million people die each year from heat, but more than 4.5 million die from cold. While rising temperatures will increase heat deaths, they will also decrease cold deaths.

A recent *Lancet* study found that rising temperatures since 2000 have on net reduced the number of temperature-related deaths. Researchers concluded that by the end of the 2010s, rising temperatures globally were causing 116,000 more heat deaths annually, but also leading to 283,000 fewer cold deaths a year.

Moreover, politicians' singular focus on climate change ignores that people are much more worried about rampant inflation, especially rising food and energy prices. And climate policies are making those problems worse.

Much of the extreme energy-price increase that normal people are dealing with is caused by Russian's war in Ukraine. But things wouldn't be nearly as bad if the West hadn't thrown up green road-blocks to its own energy security, such as President Biden's moratorium on gas leases or Europe's refusal to dig into its substantial shale gas reserves. Climate policies also increase energy prices by subsidizing renewables like solar and wind. That makes it even harder to adapt to the extreme temperatures climate activists bemoan. You need cheap and reliable energy to afford air conditioning in the summer and heating in the winter.

Rising fuel prices are also making food more expensive. Low-cost synthetic fertilizer is one of the greatest technologies humanity has invented for feeding the world, but it's mostly made with natural gas. Even with almost a billion people at risk of starvation, climate-obsessed bureaucrats still object to producing more fertilizer because of the fossil fuels required.

The cost of green policies will become even harder to bear if politicians make good on their promises to hit net-zero emissions. Achieving this globally by 2050 would cost more than \$5 trillion a year for the next decades, according to McKinsey. That would be one-third of total global tax revenue. If every American were to shell out more than \$5,000 a year, it would only get the US 80% of the way there by midcentury. Hitting 100% would likely cost more than twice that. The European Union already pays 69 billion euro a year in subsidies to support its renewables. But if the EU persists with its even stauncher promises of net-zero, that annual climate policy cost is likely to exceed \$1 trillion.

No wonder there's political pushback to environmental grandstanding. The Netherlands has been roiled by protests since the government mandated in June that nitrogen-oxide and ammonia emissions, which are produced by livestock, must be slashed by 70% to 80% in some parts of the country. As many as 40,000 farmers demonstrated against the measure last month. Holland is among the world's 10 largest food exporters,

and these policies would decimated the country's agriculture industry while global hunger is rising.

Sri Lanka is the epitome of elite environmentalism gone wrong. Pushed to go organic by activists and the World Economic Forum, the government banned synthetic fertilizers in April 2021. Food production collapsed and the currency defaulted. Hungry and outraged citizens launched protests, overran the presidential palace, and forced government to resign en masse and the president to flee the country.

It's entirely possible to help the climate and working families at the same time. The policies to do so are innovation-focused. Policy makers need to recognize that they simply can't eliminate fossil fuels with current technologies. The world gets almost 80% of its energy from fossil fuels, and even if all current climate policies were fully implemented, by midcentury fossil fuels would still provide more than half of all energy used worldwide, according to the International Energy Agency. Instead of sending energy prices sky-high by trying to force a transition to renewables prematurely, policy makers should focus on funding research to develop clean energy sources that are actually affordable and reliable. And instead of badgering farmers to go organic, governments should invest in research to develop varieties of crops and agricultural practices that deliver higher yields with a smaller environmental footprint.

Some of these technologies are already in development. Greater funding could bring them to fruition more quickly and do a lot more to help limit emissions than the policies activists now hawk. These sorts of sensible measures would cost much less than policies like net-zero, leaving more money to meet the world's many other challenges.

It's starting to dawn on some elites that their policies are creating political dangers. Frans Timmermans, the European Commission's vice president, has said that many millions of Europeans may not be able to heat their homes this winter. This, he concludes, could lead to "very, very strong conflict and strife."

He's right. When people are cold, hungry and broke, they rebel. If the elites continue pushing incredibly expensive policies that are disconnected from the urgent challenges facing most people, we need to brace for chaos.

—*The Wall Street Journal*, July 22, 2022

Mr. Lomborg is president of the Copenhagen Consensus and visiting fellow at Stanford University's Hoover Institution. His latest book is *False Alarm: How Climate Change Panic Costs Us Trillions, Hurts the Poor, and Fails to Fix the Planet*.

Dealing With the Devil

by John Green

America was founded on the principles of capitalism. But there are those who think communism is the solution for every problem, from bad weather to old Joe's dementia. In their book, *In Their Own Words*, Terry Turchie and Donagh Bracken document that organizations such as the Weather Underground, the Black Panther Party, Occupy Wall Street, Black Lives Matter, and the Democratic Socialists of America have been agitating for communism for decades. We've even got one senator who spent his honeymoon in the Soviet Union and a whole squad of congresswomen who openly support it now. They don't use the word "communism" very often, but their manifestos and stated goals are 100 percent aligned with that ideology. Instead, they use seductive terms like "social justice," "guaranteed income," and "equality."

Capitalism vests ownership of property (along with the means of production) with the private sector. Members of the community are allowed to operate for their own individual interests—and those individuals get to keep the profits from their work. Hence, hard work is rewarded. With hard work and initiative, anyone can succeed. So people tend to work hard. Domestic product (the total production of the community) is maximized.

But the rewards are unevenly distributed. The portion of the domestic product that each person receives is a function of his contribution, not his membership in the community. The end result is that members of a capitalist community earn unequal portions of a very large pie.

Communism vests ownership of property (and the means of production) with the commune—otherwise known as the state. Members of the commune are expected to work for the benefit of the commune—not themselves. Each is to contribute according to his ability and receive according to his needs. It sounds like perfect harmony—until observed in practice.

When all share equally in the rewards, hard work is irrelevant to the portion of the commune's production that each person receives. Everyone's portion of the domestic product is a result of his membership in the commune, not his contribution to it. Since hard work is not rewarded, people tend to not work hard. Total domestic product rarely exceeds sustainment levels. But equality of outcomes is maximized. Commune members earn equal portions of a small pie.

Conceptually, capitalism and communism are inherently incompatible economic systems—they

function at opposite ends of the public/private ownership axis. Attempts to blend them are destined for failure.

Capitalism maximizes productivity but sacrifices equal results. It provides equal opportunity, not equal outcome. The reward achieved by each individual is dependent on his own initiative. To realize capitalism's full potential, community members must have freedom to exercise that initiative. Therefore, personal liberty is a requirement for capitalism.

Communism maximizes equal results (equal distribution of the domestic product) but sacrifices the productivity inherent in capitalism. It also requires that community members surrender their freedom. They are not free to exercise individual initiative. They are expected to serve the needs of the commune—whatever those may be. They are expected to be a contributing member of the hive.

Unfortunately, leftists have created an attractive narrative about communism. If one ignores its downside, communism sounds idyllic to a naïve mind—everyone sharing equally in the bounty of the commune. No class envy, no brutal competition, no “dog eat dog” business jungle—just equality. Never mind that one has to give up personal choice and freedom to live in such a community.

The leftists paint capitalism's unequal distribution of rewards as inherently evil. They prey on class envy, demanding that the “rich pay their fair share.” Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez even declared that capitalism is “not a redeemable system.” Of course, she ignores all metrics except equality.

The left then seduces everyone by offering an impossible compromise—which leftists assure us will provide the advantages of both capitalism and communism. They call it socialism. They assure us that socialism will provide the productivity of capitalism and the equal distribution of communism. We'll just allow capitalism to produce the wealth but force producers to redistribute wealth by regulatory or tax-and-spend schemes. They use terms like “ensuring a livable wage”; “protecting our most vulnerable”; “correcting social injustices”; or, as Barack Obama simply said, “spreading the wealth around.” It is all a lie. Socialism is a deal with the Devil. Its promises are seductive, but it delivers only misery.

Striking a compromise between two incompatible systems does not achieve the best of both systems; it achieves the worst of both systems. Socialism promises the productivity of capitalism with the equality of communism. But it actually delivers the inequality of

capitalism and the low productivity of socialism—just the opposite of its intended goals.

Socialism relies on redistribution (via regulation or taxation) to promote equality. But that redistribution is actually a disincentive to production. Redistributed money is money that could have been spent on more production, which is instead redirected to non-production. In capitalism, you spend money to make money. In socialism, you spend money not to make money—by redirecting it to non-producers. Therefore, the community doesn't produce as much product—or make as much money to redistribute.

As socialism redistributes wealth, less of the wealth is available to reward producers and expand production. Production stagnates, and domestic product declines. Declining domestic product results in less wealth for redistribution. Those who own property begin consolidating their holdings to maintain their own standard of living. As the pie gets smaller, they exert their influence to keep more of it. That causes the standard of living of those receiving the redistribution to decline.

Pressure for increased redistribution builds, with the community demanding more taxation and social spending. The government raises taxes and the regulatory burden on producers, but that only further chokes production. With each cycle of the death spiral, the rich get richer, the poor get poorer, and inequality proliferates.

The cycle continues until there is inadequate production to meet the redistribution demands. As Margaret Thatcher famously said, “the problem with socialism is that you eventually run out of other people's money.” That's where the community has arrived at Venezuela. The rich are obscenely rich, and the poor are breaking into the zoo to eat the displays. The Venezuelan example is not atypical relative to other socialist attempts.

When a socialist community can no longer function, it has reached a fork in the road where there are only two choices:

1. Recommit to capitalism and return to rewarding producers for their efforts.
2. Commit to communism, seizing the means of production and vesting ownership of all property in the commune.

Socialism is merely a flowery invitation to move gradually toward communism, giving up our liberty in exchange for a life of subsistence. There are those who say it will never happen in America. Yet Obamacare was the first step toward seizing the means of production of health care. Senator Pocahontas Warren has

proposed nationalizing every business with revenue over \$1B. President Gremlin is reportedly considering seizing the energy sector's means of production—to save the planet. President Obama even proposed nationalizing pension programs, seizing our retirement savings and replacing them with a government stipend—taking the wealth we have earned and replacing it with the wealth we need. It can happen here if we aren't vigilant.

Socialist experiments can end in one of three ways.

- * Poverty and starvation
- * Enslavement to the state
- * Return to personal freedom and the inequality

that results

Jim Jones once told his followers that “God is socialism, and socialism is God.” He said it just as Satan would have when offering a deal too good to be true. Jones offered his followers a socialist paradise but delivered a communist hell, in which he took everything they owned, enslaved them to the commune, and led 918 of them to slaughter. That's how his attempt at socialism ended.

—*American Thinker*, July 22, 2022

Woking Jefferson and Madison

by Robert Spencer

It's clear now: the Left has embarked upon a concerted effort to rewrite American history and make Americans ashamed of our heritage at the very sites where it should be most celebrated. Last Sunday I reported that Thomas Jefferson's home has been taken over by Leftist America-haters, and they didn't stop there: now the same woke revisionists have gotten their clutches on the home of James Madison, and it's easy to see why they would want to target the fourth president. He is, after all, known as the Father of the Constitution.

Madison's home has the feel of having been taken over by an invading army, and in a certain sense, it has been. The *New York Post* reported Saturday that at Montpelier, Madison's Virginia plantation, “not a single display focuses on the life and accomplishments of America's foremost political philosopher, who created our three-branch federal system of government, wrote the Bill of Rights and the Federalist Papers, and served two terms as president. Instead, blindsided tourists are hammered by high-tech exhibits about Madison's slaves and current racial conflicts, thanks to a \$10 million

grant from left-leaning philanthropist David M. Rubenstein.”

Rubenstein is no ordinary philanthropist. The *Post* has noted that he is behind the woke-ification of Jefferson's Monticello also, forking over \$20 million for that. Oh, and here's a shocker: Rubenstein is “on the boards of the globalist World Economic Forum, China's Tsinghua University, and the Council on Foreign Relations, among others.” Who could have guessed?

And so now the hapless visitors to Montpelier plunk down \$35 for the privilege of seeing only three of the 22 rooms in Madison's mansion. The tour guides have much more important things on their mind at James Madison's house than anything so bourgeois and trivial as showing you James Madison's house. According to one “10-minute multi-screen video,” the “Hurricane Katrina flooding, the Ferguson riots, incarceration, and more all trace back to slavery.” On the grounds, “dozens of interactive stations seek to draw a direct line between slavery, the Constitution, and the problems of African Americans today.”

In one exhibit, visitors learn that every last one of the nation's first eighteen presidents, including John Adams, who fiercely opposed slavery, and Abraham Lincoln, who ended it altogether, actually “benefited from slavery in some way” and are thus to be condemned. In the gift shop's children's section, you'll find American classics on the order of Ibram X. Kendi's *Antiracist Baby* and Chelsea Clinton's *She Persisted*.

Meanwhile, the only material you'll find about the Constitution at the home of the Father of the Constitution “appears in a display that pushes the claim, championed by the controversial 1619 Project, that racism was the driving force behind the entire American political system.”

Montpelier, says a tour guide, “made Madison the philosopher, farmer, statesman, and enslaver that he was.” It's surprising that they put “enslaver” fourth instead of first, as that's the primary lesson one takes from today's Montpelier tour. One visitor noted bitterly that even the American flag doesn't fly at Montpelier: “On the Fourth of July, we drove four hours to visit the home of the ‘Father of the Constitution.’ You could not find a flag with a search warrant. Very odd. Rather we were treated to a one-hour Critical Race Theory experience disguised as a tour. As one tour member said ‘I'm surprised Madison had time to write the Constitution as he was abusing his “enslaved Americans” full-time.’ Pathetic and insulting experience.”

Another visitor called the tour “historically inaccurate and highly biased,” and added, “But the worst part were the gross historical inaccuracies and constant

bias exhibited by the tour guide. This is a historic house owned by James Madison, who probably did more to bring the US Constitution to life than any other person. And given the times and his economic status he owned slaves. Both are worthy of discussion, but not at all the balance we got on this tour. Constant discussion of slaves, including a genetically disproven story that one of them had a child by him.”

“I was kind of thinking we’d be hearing more about the Constitution,” said another tourist. “But everything here is really about slavery.”

Board member Mary Alexander said that what made Madison distinctive and great was being ignored: “There were hundreds of thousands of slave owners. But not hundreds of thousands who wrote the Constitution.”

But sanity and patriotism aren’t likely to return to Montpelier anytime soon. Alexander said that new board members at the National Trust for Historic Preservation, which owns Montpelier, want to turn it into “a black history and black rights organization that could care less about James Madison and his legacy.”

Don’t expect help from Virginia Gov. Glenn Youngkin; the *Post* reports that he “refused to comment on Rubensteins [sic] donation—although the two were close allies at the Carlyle Group investment firm, where both made their fortunes.”

So what can be done, when those who would have us hate Madison, and America, have all the money and all the power? What is to become of a nation where, whenever one visits the home of one of its founding figures, one hears about what evil oppressors they were? Nothing good.

—*FrontPageMag.com*, July 20, 2022

Voting by Fraud

by Jay Valentine

The soul of phantom voter fraud is the occasional, non-committed voter. They show up at the last minute, delivering winning margins.

Actually, nobody shows up. Nor does anyone return an absentee ballot.

That magic comes from a wonderful customer service innovation, the Phantom Voter Concierge, who casts the non-committed voters’ votes for them.

Let’s go there.

Voter rolls are crammed with millions of voters who seldom, occasionally, or never vote.

Democrat-leaning organizations run voter registration drives in edge communities, collecting identities they expect will never vote.

You remember ACORN registering drug addicts on city streets? You might have said, “Why, they will never vote!”

They aren’t expected to vote. They are simply voter identity placeholders later used by vote-harvesters.

State-funded groups like ERIC are paid by a dozen state governments, some with clueless Republican governors, to make sure almost nobody is ever taken off voter rolls. ERIC provides institutional cover to this national phantom voter scam.

During early voting, our vote-harvester pals track those who never voted or have not voted yet and vote for them.

In some states, like Wisconsin, leftist groups had access to the online voter rolls—something nobody else had. They could track every voter and vote for all of them if they did not show up in 2020.

Remember the stories in 2020 of people coming out to vote, often for the first time in years, to be told, “Sorry, you already voted?” Your Voter Concierge voted for you! Saved you the gas money to drive to the polls!

There are people voting from Salvation Army Food Banks who registered at that address twelve years ago. Those people are likely dead or living in a tent in Austin now—but still voting.

There are people at the Alabama college dorm, registered since 1984, still active and voting.

In Wisconsin, the Voter Concierges went to cognitive care facilities, where the patients did not recognize their own children. Their Voter Concierge voted them. Now part of a criminal investigation, this is how it’s done.

So how bad is the problem?

The Wisconsin voter integrity team did a deep dive, using US government and state databases, and found 225,000 *active*, current voters who had “issues.” Those included addresses that did not exist; locations that could not be a true registration address, like a jail; and scores of others.

Elections are often decided by 1% of the vote. The Wisconsin team identified potential phantom voters easily able to impact an election.

The other half of the scam is sending out absentee ballots to addresses that don’t line up.

For instance, there may be an apartment building at 145 Essex Street. The ballot-harvesting industry

registers people there, deliberately skipping their apartment number.

Their mail gets returned to—you guessed it, smarty-pants! Those absentee ballots accumulate at the local Post Office.

The Wisconsin voter integrity team, one of the best in the country, found evidence that the Post Office collected those ballots and gave them to the Voter Concierges—to vote. Pretty good USPS customer service!

You might think this would be caught with signature matching. Right! That is why so many states or counties eliminate the signature match—like Maricopa County in Arizona.

If your blood is boiling right now, you just don't get it. This is customer service on a whole new level. The Voter Concierge gets votes counted—even if the voter never casts that vote.

Voter integrity teams are now applying advanced computer technology to thwart the Voter Concierge by deep-cleaning the rolls.

In 2022, the vote-harvesting industry will again flood the zone in swing counties with over 250,000 new registrants from September to November.

Several voter integrity teams, using advanced artificial intelligence technology, can check every registrant, at silicon speed, against over 30 databases, with a billion records, ensuring that the registrant is not living in an R.V. park, a church, or a UPS store, and that his address meets current legal standards.

Sorry, Beto, but registering every itinerant is no longer the key to the Texas Governor's Mansion.

For the first time, phantom voters are being identified *before* their registrations take effect.

Living in an apartment where you do not designate the apartment number? Sorry, pal—you aren't voting this year. Registering from a church? There had better be enough bathrooms to meet the certificate of occupancy requirements for that county.

More voters showing up in a county than there are eligible citizens? Flagged hourly! Alert issued *before* the ballots are counted!

As ballots arrive during early voting, artificial intelligence snapshots aggregate voter identities. That guy who voted on day 2 in person, disappeared on snapshot 8, reappeared on snapshot 11 with his ballot changed to absentee. . . is identified.

Before that ballot is tabulated, it is red-flagged, and the voter integrity team files a protest.

Thirty-five thousand inactive voters, changed to active—then voted, then changed to inactive again? The A.I. systems pick this up with snapshot analysis. That scam is over!

For the first time, voter integrity teams have technology ballot-harvesters cannot outrun.

When Sheriff Clarke and Mike Lindell started supporting these kinds of technologies, after the 2020 election, the focus was voter roll anomalies. Anomalies were abundant.

The battlefield has changed to real-time analysis, driven by artificial intelligence.

The combined knowledge of a dozen gifted voter integrity teams, with 16 months of experience, is built into an artificial intelligence engine, identifying phantom voters before they are registered, before they can illegally vote.

Every time a fake vote is cast by a Voter Concierge, an American is disenfranchised.

Artificial intelligence helps the good guys protect the vote and gives confidence to all Americans that their elections are legit.

Voter integrity teams learned that chasing 2020 voter fraud *after* the election is too late.

Some leading election integrity teams are stopping phantom voter fraud *before* it impacts elections.

Cleaning up voter rolls just became an A.I.-driven, real time endeavor.

—*American Thinker*, July 20, 2022

www.schwarzreport.com

Purchase books featured in *The Schwarz Report* like: *You Can Still Trust the Communists to be Communists* by Fred C. Schwarz and David A. Noebel, *Understanding The Times: A Survey of Competing Worldviews* by Jeff Myers and David A. Noebel, and *The Naked Truth* by Dr. James C. Bowers.

Find a complete list of books recommended by the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade.

Read back issues of *The Schwarz Report* as well.