




Dr. Fred Schwarz

# The Schwarz Report

62 Years Defending Our Christian Faith



Dr. David Noebel

April 2022

## Let Freedom Ring

by Andrew Crapuchettes

Last week marked a grim period in American history. It was 80 years ago that, in the name of the “greater good,” 120,000 Americans were rounded up by the “woke” ruling party of the day and shipped off to camps in order to “protect” everyone.

They lost homes, businesses, jobs, savings, and in many cases their lives. They were denied their freedom and the American dream they were promised.

In the aftermath, and upon reflection, it was determined that the Japanese-American internment during World War II not only was unlawful but also had no positive effect on the “greater good.” Our nation pledged never again to allow this type of horror to be repeated.

But that was then.

Now, as we exit the two-year pandemic, we see many of the same tendencies from the “woke” ruling party of the day. In the name of the “greater good,” we were thrust into home lockdowns and told “two weeks to slow the spread,” a blatant lie to anyone familiar with the science of diseases. We were forced to mask up, to stand six feet apart, to let our loved ones die alone, lest we risk the safety of others to say goodbye. Above all, we were told that, to be re-accepted into society as we once knew it, we must undergo (at least) three doses of an experimental vaccine . . . or else!

Many lost homes, businesses, jobs, savings, and in many cases their lives. They were denied their freedom and the American dream they were promised.

In the aftermath, and upon reflection, we’re learning that masks are actually detrimental, that lockdowns did nothing to slow the spread, and that the mRNA shots don’t stop anything.

It’s highly unique that in America, government is formed in order to “protect the liberties of the people.” Yet there have been times when government has forgotten its role, all with the well-intentioned “greater good” in mind.

But our founders were wise to this excuse. They knew all too well that unrestrained power can be exercised upon an unsuspecting people where there are no laws in place to limit that power. The dictatorial whims of King George were a prime example.

Freedom to work and freedom of medical choice have always been fundamental tenets of our society. Government was granted only the powers expressed in the Constitution, leaving all other power to the citizens who allow them the power to govern. The government must not be allowed to trample on the people’s power—even for the “greater good.”

It was Ronald Reagan who said freedom is only one generation away from extinction. Every generation has its moment to take a stand and preserve our great democratic republic that was gifted to us by Almighty God and administered through remarkable minds during our nation’s founding.

Exposing the festering poison of government control disguised as pandemic “safety” measures is just the beginning. There is much more to be done, and each of us has an opportunity to contribute. Let us each find the courage to do so. Let freedom ring.

—*American Thinker*, March 1, 2022

## From Canada to Cuba

by Dennis Prager

Canada is leaving the Western world.

In terms of all-encompassing government, suppression of dissent and the denial of fundamental human rights to many of its citizens, Canada is now more similar to Cuba than to any free country. Canada may eventually return to Western civilization, but as of this writing, the majority of Canadians appear to have no interest in it doing so. According to Maru Public Opinion, “two-thirds (66%) of Canadians support Prime Minister Justin Trudeau bringing in the Emergencies Act . . . A majority (56%) of Canadians do not support the truckers who are protesting in any way, shape, or form . . . This is a majority view held in every province/region across the country.”

I suspect that most Americans—and certainly most people outside of America or Canada—do not know precisely what Canada’s Marxist prime minister, Justin Trudeau, is doing to his country.

So, allow me to review.

Last week, for only the second time in Canadian history other than wartime—the first time was under Justin Trudeau’s father, Pierre Trudeau, the other Marxist to govern Canada—Justin Trudeau invoked the Emergencies Act. This statute enables a Canadian prime minister to suspend fundamental human rights and rule as a dictator.

The CBC described in detail how Trudeau is using the Emergencies Act to destroy the lives of Canadian dissidents. This should be read carefully. Such policies have never been enacted by a Western country against its own citizens (with the extremely rare exceptions of those actively engaged in terrorism):

Using powers granted under the Emergencies Act, the federal government has directed banks and other financial institutions to stop doing business with people associated with the anti-vaccine mandate convoy occupying the nation’s capital.

The government’s new directive, called the “emergency economic measures order,” goes beyond asking banks to simply stop transferring funds to protest organizers. The government wants banks to stop doing business with some people altogether.

The order says that banks and other financial entities (like credit unions, co-ops, loan companies, trusts and cryptocurrency platforms) must stop “providing any financial or related services” to people associated with the protests—a move that will result in frozen accounts, stranded money, and cancelled credit cards.

The Emergencies Act gives authorities the power to freeze the finances of those connected to

blockades and protests, and the consequences could last long after the demonstrations end . . .

The regulation’s definition of a “designated person” also includes . . . anyone sending funds to support these protests . . .

Mark Blumberg is a lawyer at Blumberg Segal LLP who specializes in non-profit and charity law. In an interview, he said that while the Emergencies Act gives banks time-limited powers, these institutions “may just decide to shut the person’s account down” because there could be “huge risks” for banks servicing these customers in the future. Banks will be working with law enforcement to decide who should be “de-banked.”

A senior government official said . . . police could gather the names and license plate numbers of people participating in a protest or an unlawful assembly and share that information with FINTRAC (Financial Transactions and Reports Analysis Centre of Canada) . . .

Former CSIS (Canadian Security Intelligence Service) senior strategic analyst Jessica Davis (said) “the people who are participating in the protest aren’t going to be able to do things like pay bills, pay their hotel bills. They will eventually run out of supplies as well..

With access to bank accounts and credit cards and other financial instruments suspended, protesters won’t be able to pay for things like hotel and fuel bills. . .

Over the longer term, Davis said, it may be hard for some of the truckers participating to ever find work again because they could lack the necessary insurance to operate a big rig. ‘Paying bills, paying rent and any kind of day-to-day financial transaction can be stopped for people who are part of the protest movement,” she said. There may also be some “unintended consequences” from frozen accounts, such as suspended alimony and child support payments, Davis said. “It’s going to be very difficult for them.”

Banks have been granted immunity against legal action in the event of disputes over whether someone should have been denied financial services. “No proceedings under the Emergencies Act and no civil proceedings lie against an entity for complying with this Order,” the regulations read.

None of these life-ruining, due-process defying measures is necessary. The truckers’ demonstrations could have been ended by arresting drivers who would not move their trucks or simply just towing their trucks. The purpose of these regulations is to destroy dissenters and deter future dissent. In a word, it is to ruin the lives of those who disobey Justin Trudeau.

As one who has followed Canadian life over the decades—I have lectured in nine of Canada’s 10 provinces—the moral descent of Canada is depressing but not especially surprising. Since I was in college in the 1970s, I traveled abroad every year of my life except in 2020—to some 130 countries. I not only took interest in the countries I visited but in the tourists who visited them. I recall well that when I was young, many young Canadians stitched a Canadian flag onto their backpacks. As almost no tourists from other nations did that, I asked Canadians why they did. Their reason was to identify themselves as Canadian rather than as American.

I am not the first observer of Canadians to note that a major part of Canadian identity—especially among Canadian elites—is being a not-American. Many Canadians were and remain first and foremost not-Americans. Other than that, not much defines Canadians. And when a nation stands essentially for nothing, bad things eventually happen—because nothingness is eventually filled or replaced by bad.

On my radio show, I once asked the late Charles Krauthammer, one of the most insightful commentators of this era, what he saw as the greatest difference between his native Canada and his adopted country, the United States. Without hesitation, he said that in America the national motto is “Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness,” and in Canada it is “Peace, Order, and Good Government.”

The first inspires a nation. The second doesn’t.

Upon the death of Fidel Castro, Justin Trudeau gave the most positive assessment of the Cuban tyrant of any Western leader. It is worth quoting in full because it demonstrates Trudeau’s affection for communism and because Trudeau is transforming Canada into Cuba:

It is with deep sorrow that I learned today of the death of Cuba’s longest serving President. Fidel Castro was a larger than life leader who served his people for almost half a century. A legendary revolutionary and orator, Mr. Castro made significant improvements to the education and healthcare of his island nation.

While a controversial figure, both Mr. Castro’s supporters and detractors recognized his tremendous dedication and love for the Cuban people who had a deep and lasting affection for “el Comandante.”

I know my father was very proud to call him a friend and I had the opportunity to meet Fidel when my father passed away. It was also a real honor to meet his three sons and his brother, President Raul Castro, during my recent visit to Cuba.

On behalf of all Canadians, Sophie and I offer our deepest condolences to the family, friends and many, many supporters of Mr. Castro. We join the

people of Cuba today in mourning the loss of this remarkable leader.

There does remain one major difference between Canada and Cuba. Few Cubans support their Marxist leaders, but most Canadians support theirs. They don’t know what they’re in for.

—*FrontPageMag.com*, February 24, 2022

## Sun Coming Up or Going Down?

by Don Feder and Tim Samaras

Men, like nations, think they’re eternal. What man in his 20s or 30s doesn’t believe, at least subconsciously, that he’ll live forever? In the springtime of youth, an endless summer beckons. As you pass 70, it’s harder to hide from reality.

Nations also have seasons: Imagine a Roman of the 2nd century contemplating an empire that stretched from Britain to the Near East, thinking: This will endure forever. . . . Forever was about 500 years, give or take.

France was pivotal in the 17th and 18th centuries; now the land of Charles Martel is on its way to becoming part of the Muslim ummah.

In the 19th and early 20th centuries, the sun never set on the British empire; now Albion exists in perpetual twilight. Its 96-year-old sovereign is a fitting symbol for a nation in terminal decline.

In the 1980s, Japan seemed poised to buy the world. Business schools taught Japanese management techniques. Today, its birth rate is so low and its population aging so rapidly that an industry has sprung up to remove the remains of elderly Japanese who die alone.

I was born in 1942, almost at the midpoint of the 20th century—the American century. America’s prestige and influence were never greater. Thanks to the “Greatest Generation,” we won a World War fought throughout most of Europe, Asia, and the Pacific. We reduced Germany to rubble and put the rising sun to bed. It set the stage for almost half a century of unprecedented prosperity.

We stopped the spread of communism in Europe and Asia and fought international terrorism. We rebuilt our enemies and lavished foreign aid on much of the world. We built skyscrapers and rockets to the moon. We conquered Polio and now COVID. We explored the mysteries of the Universe and the wonders of DNA . . . the blueprint of life.

But where is the glory that once was Rome? America has moved from a relatively free economy to socialism—which has worked so well NOWHERE in the world.

We've gone from a republican government guided by a constitution to a regime of revolving elites. We have less freedom with each passing year. Like a signpost to the coming reign of terror, the cancel culture is everywhere. We've traded the American Revolution for the Cultural Revolution.

The pathetic creature in the White House is an empty vessel filled by his handlers. At the G-7 Summit, "Dr. Jill" had to lead him like a child. In 1961, when we were young and vigorous, our leader was too. Now a feeble nation is technically led by the oldest man to ever serve in the presidency.

We can't defend our borders, our history (including monuments to past greatness) or our streets. Our cities have become anarchist playgrounds. We are a nation of dependents, mendicants, and misplaced charity. Homeless veterans camp in the streets while illegal aliens are put up in hotels.

The president of the United States can't even quote the beginning of the Declaration of Independence ("You know—The Thing") correctly. Ivy League graduates routinely fail history tests that 5th graders could pass a generation ago. Crime rates soar and we blame the 2nd Amendment and slash police budgets.

Our culture is certifiably insane. Men who think they're women. People who fight racism by seeking to convince members of one race that they're inherently evil, and others that they are perpetual victims. A psychiatrist lecturing at Yale said she fantasizes about "unloading a revolver into the head of any white person."

We slaughter the unborn in the name of freedom, while our birth rate dips lower year by year. Our national debt is so high that we can no longer even pretend that we will repay it one day. It's a \$28-trillion monument to our improvidence and refusal to confront reality. Our "entertainment" is sadistic, nihilistic, and as enduring as a candy bar wrapper thrown in the trash. Our music is noise that spans the spectrum from annoying to repulsive.

Patriotism is called an insurrection, treason celebrated, and perversion sanctified. A man in blue gets less respect than a man in a dress. We're asking soldiers to fight for a nation our leaders no longer believe in.

How meekly most of us submitted to Fauci-ism (the regime of face masks, lockdowns, and hand sanitizers) shows the impending death of the American spirit.

How do nations slip from greatness to obscurity? \* Fighting endless wars they can't or won't win \* Accumulating massive debt far beyond their ability to repay \* Refusing to guard their borders, allowing the nation to be inundated by an alien horde \* Surrendering control of their cities to mob rule \* Allowing indoctrination of the young \* Moving from a republican form of government to an oligarchy \* Losing national

identity \* Indulging indolence \* Abandoning faith and family—the bulwarks of social order.

In America, every one of these symptoms is pronounced, indicating an advanced stage of the disease.

Even if the cause seems hopeless, do we not have an obligation to those who sacrificed so much to give us what we had? I'm surrounded by ghosts urging me on: the Union soldiers who held Cemetery Ridge at Gettysburg, the battered bastards of Bastogne, those who served in the cold hell of Korea, the guys who went to the jungles of Southeast Asia and came home to be reviled or neglected.

This is the nation that took in my immigrant grandparents, whose uniform my father and most of my uncles wore in the Second World War. I don't want to imagine a world without America, even though it becomes increasingly likely.

During Britain's darkest hour, when its professional army was trapped at Dunkirk and a German invasion seemed imminent, Churchill reminded his countrymen, "Nations that go down fighting rise again, and those that surrender tamely are finished."

The same might be said of causes. If we let America slip through our fingers, if we lose without a fight, what will posterity say of us?

While the prognosis is far from good—

Only God knows if America's day in the sun is over."  
—*FrontPageMag.com*, February 24, 2022

## Meet Ms. Jackson

by Daniel Greenfield

When Biden announced that he would only consider black women for the Supreme Court, the vast majority of Americans rejected racial gatekeeping for the highest court in the land.

Seventy six percent of Americans wanted him to consider all candidates regardless of their race and gender. Less than a quarter of the country wanted Biden to limit the nomination by race and gender. Even 54% of Democrats rejected Biden's identity politics quotas and only 28% of non-whites were on board with his pledge to reject all other potential nominees based solely on their skin color.

After Biden's announcement that he had chosen Ketanji Brown Jackson as his nominee, the majority of Americans continue to reject Biden's racial determinism for the Supreme Court.

When asked to respond to a leading question by a Washington Post/ABC News poll as to whether, "having a black woman as a justice on the US Supreme Court would be a good thing for the country, a bad thing for the

country, or would make no difference?”, the majority, or 48%, correctly concluded that it would make no difference. Forty five percent, a number largely made up of the 78% of Democrats, insisted that somehow Jackson’s race would transform the country.

The majority of Americans know better.

The boring truth about Ketanji Brown Jackson is that, like so many other judges, she’s just another Harvard grad, born with a silver spoon in her mouth, and raised by a prominent attorney. Much like Hollywood trying to make the same old movies and shows exciting by recasting the characters as black, Jackson is the same old judicial activist in a new color.

Biden, who had twice blocked and filibustered the nomination of Judge Janice Rogers, a well-qualified black female judge nominated by the Bush administration, over her pro-Constitution views, and his political and media allies who are even now waging a concerted war against Justice Clarence Thomas, the only currently serving black justice whose nomination they had tried to block, are cynically tokenizing Jackson’s nomination as a shining new beacon of racial progress. As if having a black female justice will accomplish something historic that having multiple black male justices and female justices of other races had failed to achieve.

We do know why Biden picked Jackson and it wasn’t because of her gender or race. While Biden will no doubt enjoy occasionally leaning in to sniff her hair as he shows her around, the real litmus test wasn’t to be found in her skin color or in an examination of her chromosomes.

Biden picked Jackson for the same reason that he fought to keep Judge Janice Rogers off the Court of Appeals which would potentially have put her on a pathway to the Supreme Court.

As legal scholar Jonathan Turley notes, leftists wanted a nominee “willing to expand the meaning of the Constitution without constitutional amendments.”

The choice had come down to Judge J. Michelle Childs, who had the backing of not only Democrats, but some Republicans, and of Senator Manchin, because she is a moderate, and Ketanji Brown Jackson who has a history of constitutional violations and abusive power grabs.

Demand Justice, the radical leftist Soros group, which had boasted of “bullying” Justice Breyer off the bench, had ordered Biden to nominate Ketanji Brown Jackson instead of Childs.

Biden met with both Childs and Jackson and what he truly wanted to know was whether they would be loyal to the Constitution, or to him, and to the radical leftists standing behind him who want to transform America by perverting the Constitution into a mandate for unlimited power.

As Turley again notes, “Biden stressed that his nominee must follow a ‘living constitution’ approach, including a broad view of ‘unenumerated rights.’ When asked if she supported such an approach, Childs answered “no.” Jackson, in contrast, has been far more obscure and conflicted in her response.”

When it comes to Supreme Court nominees, anything other than a “no” is really a “yes.”

Will a Justice Jackson change the Supreme Court and the country? Yes, but not because of her race. Race is the least interesting thing about Jackson and yet it’s what we will hear the most about because it is a convenient distraction from her radical and secretive judicial philosophy.

With little in the way of written materials and responses to big picture constitutional questions that have her playing dumb, Jackson is an anti-constitutional trojan horse flying the false flag of identity politics. As if nominating a Harvard grad who happens to be a black woman, as opposed to a white man, is some sort of great step forward for the wretched of the earth.

Biden is not using his court nomination, which his Soros backers at Demand Justice secured by, in their own words, “bullying” a liberal Jewish justice off the Supreme Court, to uphold identity politics. He has militantly opposed at least one black female justice, and was able to choose between two black female judges, nominating the one that fits a radical leftist agenda.

Biden clearly doesn’t believe his own rhetoric about the Supreme Court being in dire need of a black female judge. The only ones dumb enough to believe it are MSNBC viewers who gobble up the black nationalist ravings of Ibram X. Kendi and Ta-Nehisi Coates and cheer Black Lives Matter mobs as they burn down cities every time a drug dealer gets rightfully shot by police.

But identity politics covers up a multitude of sins. Just ask Black Lives Matter, which was able to operate a \$60 million fund on terms that would have seen a neighborhood bodega shut down in minutes. Even white Antifa rioters were able to terrorize Portland for a year because they claimed to be attacking police officers and federal officials in the name of racial justice.

Biden has mastered the art of deflecting criticism of his corruption and incompetence by using black women as human shields. It began with Kamala Harris, who was grossly unready to serve in the White House, but whose nomination made a ticket headed by an old white hack seem transformational and whose continued presence makes it all but impossible to remove or bypass Biden from an office that he is equally unfit to occupy on ethical and moral grounds.

The only reason Biden picked Jackson is because he believes that she will be loyal to him, not to the Constitution and to her oath of office. He also expects to sweep away any objections to her radicalism by having

his media accuse critics of racism and sexism. And once Jackson taints the Supreme Court with her corrupt presence on the bench, any opposition to her views by the rest of that body, including by Justice Thomas, will also be denounced as sexist racism.

Or occasionally racist sexism, just to shake things up.

Much like Justice Sotomayor, the original “Wise Latina”, whose gender and Southern European ancestry somehow endowed her with a wisdom that transcended her poor legal reasoning, Jackson’s “lived experience” will be used to claim that she possesses insights on account of the combination of her gender and race that we ought to submit to in place of the actual law.

The good news is that Americans aren’t buying it. Polls show that the people are rejecting the racial determinism that is being used to trade away our rights for racial privileges. As the Supreme Court prepares to consider the unconstitutional racial discrimination of affirmative action, most Americans have once again come to believe in a color-blind legal system.

Americans know that what really matters isn’t gender or race, it’s equal rights under the law.

Biden’s selection process and his nomination have been an insult to the very idea of equal rights. Even if he installs his insult on the Supreme Court, it will never change the Constitution.

—*FrontPageMag.com*, March 1, 2022

## Laureate Luc Montagnier

by Lloyd Billingsley

“Dr. Joseph Goebbels wrote that ‘a lie told once remains a lie, but a lie told a thousand times becomes the truth.’ Tragically for humanity, there are many, many untruths emanating from Dr. Fauci and his minions. RFK Jr. exposes the decades of lies.”

That was Nobel laureate Luc Montagnier, the French medical scientist who died last week at 89, in his cover endorsement of *The Real Anthony Fauci: Bill Gates, Big Pharma and the Global War on Democracy and Public Health*, by Robert F. Kennedy Jr. Montagnier also shows up in the chapter, “Pandemic Template: AIDS and AZT.” During the 1980s, Montagnier was director of the Viral Oncology Unit at the Pasteur Institute, where he discovered the human immunodeficiency virus known as HIV.

As Kennedy shows, American researcher Robert Gallo “pilfered Montagnier’s discovery,” and claimed that the retrovirus was the sole cause of AIDS. On the other hand, Harvard molecular biologist Charles Thomas, biochemist and Nobel laureate Kary Mullis,

and UC Berkeley molecular biologist Peter Duesberg, a member of the National Academy of Sciences and recipient of an Outstanding Investigator grant, had never seen a retrovirus with such destructive capabilities.

As Duesberg wondered, “What kind of virus, one day, out of nowhere, springs into action to destroy a person’s immune system with no provocation?” Never had a retrovirus been shown to cause a human disease, or even a disease in animals.

Nobel laureate Walter Gilbert found “no animal model for AIDS,” and Dr. Harvey Bialy, scientific editor of *Biotechnology*, could locate “no pathogenic relative” for the virus. For Luc Montagnier, “there is no scientific proof that HIV causes AIDS.” Under Fauci, whose bio showed no advanced degrees in molecular biology or biochemistry, the HIV hypothesis became an orthodoxy, and the key to massive government funding.

Fauci’s remedy for AIDs was AZT (azidothymidine), marketed as Zidovudine, a DNA chain terminator rejected for cancer treatment because of excessive cytotoxicity. There was no evidence that AZT could prevent or cure AIDS but as JFK Jr. explains “Fauci exposed kids to deadly risks and agonizing discomforts in a speculative drug and vaccine exercise that offered absolutely no benefit for them.” But after swift FDA approval, Burroughs Wellcome’s stock went through the roof.

As Kennedy shows, Fauci’s NIAID sanctioned drug experiments on at least 14,000 children in 48 experiments across seven states. At the Incarnation Children’s Center in New York, foster children were force-fed toxic drugs, including AZT.

For Duesberg, the deaths from AZT rivalled those from AIDS. After challenging Fauci, Peter Duesberg never got another research dollar from the federal government. As reporter Celia Farber put it, Duesberg was “felled by a fatwa,” and branded an “AIDS denialist.”

Fauci’s prediction that AIDS would ravage the general population was hopelessly wrong, yet he remained at the helm of NIAID. In that post he laid down public health policy while controlling billions in medical research spending. That dangerous concentration of power came into play during the current pandemic.

Fauci funded dangerous gain-of-function research at the Wuhan Institute of Virology (WIV), which also received a cargo of deadly pathogens from Dr. Xiangguo Qiu, the Chinese national who headed virology programs at Canada’s National Microbiology Lab in Winnipeg. In 2017-18 Dr. Qui made repeated trips to the WIV, which Kennedy describes as a “ramshackle laboratory with scientists linked to the Chinese military.”

In early 2020, Fauci opposed President Trump’s ban on travel from China. As Kennedy notes, Dr. Fauci had

never treated a COVID patient, yet he “adopted strategies to confine the nation under house arrest, shut down the global economy, deny the public access to early treatment and lifesaving therapies like HCQ and ivermectin, excite persistent public terror through broadcasts of deliberately exaggerated death and case counts, and repeatedly tell the world the only path back to normal is a miraculous vaccine.”

That vaccine was not like the Salk and Sabin polio vaccines of the 1950s, which their creators essentially gave away. The COVID vaccines were highly profitable for their Big Pharma creators, as AZT had been for Burroughs Wellcome. As he pushed vaccine mandates, Fauci maintained that the COVID virus evolved naturally in the wild, a matter of speculation not science.

Kristian Andersen of the Scripps Research Institute, who built his career on NIAID grants, found that the COVID virus showed signs of development in a lab. As Kennedy shows, Fauci bullied Andersen into a retraction.

As it happens, Luc Montagnier also found evidence that the COVID virus had been engineered in a lab. That brought on vilification of the Nobel laureate, even in death.

According to *TheScientist*, the French virologist won acclaim “for his work on the virus that causes AIDS,” when in fact Montagnier, like Duesberg, Mullis, Thomas and many others, found no scientific evidence that HIV causes AIDS. In recent years, the obit says, Montagnier “opposed coronavirus vaccines” and “stirred controversy by promoting the theory that SARS-CoV-2 was created in a lab.” No word of why the virologist might have arrived at that conclusion.

The Associated Press reported that Montagnier “spread false claims about the coronavirus.”

The Nobel laureate “was among those behind some of the misinformation” and “he claimed that the coronavirus did not originate in nature and was manipulated.” By contrast, Fauci can claim “I represent science,” without challenge.

As Kennedy recalls, Dr. Peter Hotez, who appears on CNN, sought to expand federal hate-crime legislation to make criticism of Fauci a crime. As it turns out, back in 2011, Dr. Hotez was appointed a member of the National Institutes of Health Council of Councils and “has been awarded grants for his research by the NIH and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, among other organizations.”

More recently, Dr. Hotez was the recipient of grants from NIAID, which Dr. Fauci has headed since 1984. As Luc Montagnier said, many, many untruths continue to emanate from Dr. Fauci and his minions. As *The Real Anthony Fauci* carefully documents, that is a tragedy for humanity.

—*FrontPageMag.com*, February 16, 2022

## Remembering Rush Limbaugh

by Rajan Laad

A year ago, America lost one of its foremost thinkers and broadcasters of the 20th and early 21st centuries. The man was Rush Hudson Limbaugh.

Rush was truly a pioneer of this time.

When he started his radio show, there was no Fox News, there were none of the right-leaning portals, and there were no YouTube channels. All “conservatives” in the media were of the vanilla variety—i.e., they were apologetic, embarrassed, defensive, and awkwardly giggling when mocked by liberals. These “conservatives” were allowed a place in the media only to be made to look like what you do not want to be—i.e., the “uncool” ones.

But it took one sole step to begin a great journey, and that is exactly what Limbaugh did during the late ‘80s. The rest, as they say, is history. Rush’s audience grew, and soon he was the Emperor of Radio. It has to be remembered that unlike other radio shows that had a team of cheerleaders and contrived characters on the air to keep matters engaging, Rush’s show was only him talking to the audience.

This wasn’t a radio show host broadcasting; it almost was like a friend talking to you. It was rip-roaringly hilarious and entertaining to begin, and once you were connected, there was a great deal to learn. Rush didn’t spoon-feed you—he taught you how to think. Rush managed to convey what conservatism is about to a mass audience much better than any teacher ever could. Rush was among the few to remind the people that being conservative is a natural human choice.

Rush was one of those who very early on saw through the phoniness and the pretentiousness of self-righteous liberals. He understood perfectly that issues such as global warming and climate change were mere excuses for government to grow bigger and to interfere in the lives of others. He saw, much before anybody did, how the left was going to use identity politics to divide and conquer, and the deleterious effects it would have on the nation. He also warned about educational institutions being hijacked by the left to indoctrinate impressionable young minds.

Despite his larger-than-life reputation, Rush also had a sensitive side. That sensitivity was most evident when, during his final days of broadcasting, he spoke about the heartbreak he felt when he saw many establishments forcibly closed by the government due to COVID-19. He often spoke about the myriad dreams that may have been destroyed as a result of this.

When he was diagnosed with cancer, he dealt with it with a great sense of humor. He confided with his

audience about the facts but never wallowed in self-pity. There was a lot to learn from those poignant moments.

Any other individual would have given up and chosen to lead his last few months in leisurely fashion. But not Rush. For him, being on the radio wasn't a job; it was a passion, and he did it as long as he had the energy to do it.

It could be argued that Rush functioned as the opposition to the Democrats when the likes of Bill Clinton and Barack Obama were in office and Washington Republicans were often too scared to take on the establishment. Quite often, when the GOP, as they always do, began to feel this urge to "reach across the aisle," which is a euphemism for giving up their principles, Rush would step in to remind them and the viewers of what conservatism stands for.

Because Rush was the first among the contrarian voices to cause an impact and challenge the groupthink, he was perhaps the first to be targeted as a racist, sexist, xenophobe, and myriad other varieties of bigot. Those pejorative epithets may have been rendered meaningless in current times owing to their gratuitous use. Back then, though, they meant something. It must be remembered that Rush was all by himself, usually, as he battled his haters.

It goes without saying that Rush Limbaugh laid the foundation upon which Donald J. Trump stood and became president.

Rush was among the few to totally understand all that Trump stood for and Trump's brilliant sense of humor. He understood the bond that Trump had with his supporters. It was Rush who understood that the Democrats would continue their unprecedented and unhinged campaign against Trump even after he had entered the White House. It was Rush who comprehended that there was not much difference between establishment Republicans and Democrats in Washington. It was Rush who knew that there was no difference between the Democrats in the media and the Democrats in politics. Rush had also predicted Biden ending up a puppet in the White House.

There were occasions where Rush served as a guide to the Trump presidency, being a great explainer of how Trump approached issues. There was nothing better than

to hear Rush laugh as they played sound bites of Trump mocking his opponents and for Rush to add to the hilarity.

We are living in the age of overstatement. Words such as pioneer, trailblazer, irreplaceable, and unique are tossed around without much thought.

But in Rush's case, he was all that and so much more. He was one of the foremost conservative thinkers. He was quite easily the finest broadcaster around who could merely by his voice cause theatre of the mind. What he could do with his words and his voice was the equivalent of the greatest epic films. He was also one of the finest entertainers the US has ever produced and a fine mimic. There are few who captured the essence of Bill Clinton the way Rush did.

In fact, the vast library of thought he has left can be referred to and will provide insight into current madness.

There is so much he achieved that cannot be covered in just a few paragraphs.

Rush should have received all the awards for his talent, which he always said was on loan from God, but the self-appointed liberal custodians of taste and ability would never allow that.

It was therefore heartwarming to see Rush Limbaugh receive the Presidential Medal of Freedom, conferred upon him by President Trump. Being ignored by the establishment and its awards no longer mattered. It is one of his country's highest honors, and he deserved it. Sadly, it was among his last public appearances.

When someone as impactful and brilliant as Rush Limbaugh passes away, one is left in disbelief. How could death forever silence a voice that enthralled and educated us for decades?

One cannot help wonder about the possibilities that never happened. His already huge audience would have grown astronomically larger. Perhaps he would have written more books. Perhaps he would have collaborated in media beyond the radio. Perhaps there would have been a President Limbaugh?

While Rush Limbaugh's passing still causes unspeakable sadness among his listeners, we must remember to celebrate his life and legacy.

We must keep fighting the good fight against the tyranny of the left. Rush would have wanted nothing less.

—*American Thinker*, February 18, 2022

Founded in 1953, the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade, under the leadership of Dr. Fred C. Schwarz (1913-2009) has been publishing a monthly newsletter since 1960. *The Schwarz Report* is edited by Dr. David A. Noebel and is offered free of charge to anyone asking for it. The Crusade's address is PO Box 129, Manitou Springs, CO 80829. Our telephone number is 719-685-9043. All correspondence and tax-deductible gifts (CACC is a 501C3 tax-exempt organization) may be sent to this address. You may also access earlier editions of *The Schwarz Report* and make donations at [www.schwarzreport.org](http://www.schwarzreport.org). Permission to reproduce materials from this Report is granted provided that the article and author are given along with our name and address. Our daily blog address is [www.thunderontheright.wordpress.com](http://www.thunderontheright.wordpress.com).