




Dr. Fred Schwarz

The Schwarz Report

60 Years Defending Our Christian Faith



Dr. David Noebel

Volume 60, Number 4

April 2020

Bernie Sanders' Marxism Exposed

by Joseph Hippolito

“This is not who we are,” has become standard PR boilerplate groups and organizations use to distance themselves from embarrassing situations. The absence of those words from a major Presidential campaign, however, should make honest voters question that candidate’s credibility.

Less than a month before the Iowa caucuses and the New Hampshire primary, four field organizers for Sen. Bernie Sanders in Iowa and South Carolina advocated violence, slave labor, and the Stalinist repression of dissent while expressing sympathy for Marxist ideology. Two even bragged about their Communist credentials.

All four spoke to undercover reporters for Project Veritas, which released videos of the surreptitious interviews. Yet no major national newspaper or television network has pursued the story, nor confronted Sanders about those comments. Nevertheless, the senator’s campaign went into siege mode.

Misty Rebik, Sanders’ state director in Iowa, called Project Veritas’ interviews “political gossip” on Twitter before locking her account. The state director in South Carolina, Jessica Bright, followed suit. So did 14 other field directors and coordinators in both states—and Georgia Parke, Sanders’ national deputy digital communications director.

On Jan. 31, John Robinson, the campaign’s chief operations officer, told campaign workers by e-mail to “avoid saying anything that would reflect poorly on the campaign” and to “always assume that you are being recorded.”

In South Carolina, Sanders’ representatives called police when Project Veritas’ reporters appeared unannounced at a field office and tried to interview campaign officials. When an officer confronted the reporters, he told them none of Sanders’ officials would offer any public comment. Then he made a remark with amazing implications.

“They are aware of the videos you guys took, the undercover stuff,” the officer said. “It’s one of those things where they wish he hadn’t said that, *but they’re still standing by him.*” (emphasis added)

“Him” is Martin Weissgerber, a field organizer whose father was a Marxist and whose home contained copies of Marx’s and Engels’ works.

“I always said that I’m a Communist,” Weissgerber said. “I believe everything has been formed by class struggle. I’m all about the complete seizure of the means of production, nationalizing everything. Guillotine the rich.”

Kyle Jurak, one of Sanders’ organizers in Iowa, called himself “an anarcho-Communist . . . as far to the left as you can possibly get” and added that other campaign workers shared his world view.

“There’s a lot of me’s in the Bernie campaign,” Jurak said. “(F)our of the organizers in this office . . . are all definitely further left than the Democratic Socialists.”

Like fellow Marxists, they reject the Constitutional idea of the three Federal branches of government operating under checks and balances.

“If we have Bernie in the White House,” said Mason Baird, an organizer in South Carolina, “we’re going to have a real plan for dual power, where we’ll have the Presidency and a mass movement right alongside it that’s going to be institutionalized and supported.”

Like fellow Marxists, they support violence as a political tool. When asked what would happen if President Donald Trump won re-election, Jurak replied, “(fornicating) cities burn.”

Milwaukee, the site of the Democratic Party’s convention, could be one of those cities.

“If Bernie doesn’t get the nomination or it goes to a second ballot at the DNC convention, (fornicating) Milwaukee will burn,” Jurak said. “The cops are going to be the one who’ll get (fornicating) beaten in Milwaukee. They’re going to

call out the National Guard for that (excrement). I promise you that. It'll start in Milwaukee and when the police push back on that, other cities will start (fornicating). . . .”

Jurak finished by vocally imitating an explosion.

Should Sanders win the Democratic nomination and defeat Trump, Jurak promised more violence for those who refused to be “re-educated,” which he said was Sanders’ ultimate goal.

“Germany had to spend billions of dollars re-educating their (fornicating) people to not be Nazis,” Jurak said. “We’re probably going to have to do the same (fornicating) thing here. That’s kind of what Bernie’s whole, like, ‘Hey, free education for everybody because we’re going to have to teach you to not be a (fornicating) Nazi.’” That re-education would include, in Jurek’s mind, concentration camps.

“There’s a reason Joseph Stalin had gulags, right?” he said. “Not only to remove people who were insidious to the state from the state—like, ‘Hey, you guys are all causing problems. You’re, like, working against the revolution. We’re just going to remove you and put you in Siberia, where you can learn the (fornicating) value of, like, being a comrade.’ That’s what it has to be.”

Weissgerber supported instituting gulags in the United States, claiming they had value. He cited the construction of the Belomorkanal, which connected the Baltic Sea with the Arctic Ocean and used inmates as labor. Depending on the source, between 12,000 and 250,000 workers using only shovels and hoes died before the canal opened in 1933.

“People came from America to work on the Belomorkanal, for the Soviet project, for the Communist project,” Weissgerber said passionately. “It’s a beautiful thing.”

For those who resist, only death awaits.

“In Cuba, what did they do to reactionaries?” Jurak asked. His interviewer responded, “They shot them on the beach.” Then Jurek bobbed his head up and down to the beat of background music and asked, “Do you want to fight against the revolution? You’re going to die for it.”

Even those who would seem sympathetic to Sanders would not be immune.

“Walk into that MSNBC studio, drag those (maternal fornicators) out by their hair and light them on fire in the streets,” Jurak said. “Liberals get the (fornicating) wall first. Reign of terror.”

Yet Daniel Taylor, who works with Baird and Weissgerber, wants to hide the campaign’s more violent per-

sonality.

“We don’t want to scare people off,” Taylor said. “So you’ve got to feel it out first, before you get into the crazy stuff. You know, what we were talking about, more ‘extreme’ (air quotes with fingers) organizations like Antifa. But we’re keeping that on the back burner for now.”

“Even if Bernie is elected, change will not come swiftly or easily. The connections that we’re making now in the campaign with people and volunteers, and at events, it’s very important that we retain that, regardless of the outcome. It is unfortunate that we have to make plans for extreme action. But like I said, they’re not going to give it to us.”

Would Sanders support such extremes?

“I would absolutely think he would endorse that kind of action,” Taylor said, “because, you know, sometimes that’s what you’ve got to do to get it done.”

Why would Taylor receive that impression? The answer lies in Sanders’ past, as his archives at the University of Vermont attest.

During the 1980 and 1984 Presidential elections, Sanders endorsed candidates from the Socialist Workers Party, which supported Fidel Castro. Sanders said he “proudly endorsed and supported” the party’s 1980 candidate, Andrew Pulley, who once encouraged American soldiers to “take up their guns and shoot their officers.” Sanders, one of three Vermont electors for Pulley, also said in a press release he “fully support(s) the SWP’s continued defense of the Cuba revolution.”

Four years later, while mayor of Burlington, VT, Sanders campaigned for Mel Mason, a former member of the militant Black Panthers. Mason praised the Russian and Chinese revolutions, and stated that, “the greatest example of a socialist government is Cuba, and Nicaragua is right behind, but it’s still developing.”

Sanders often expressed admiration for both Cuba and Nicaragua while minimizing their cruelty. The Burlington mayor visited Nicaragua in 1985 and talked about his experience on the city’s cable television channel, saying that he was “impressed” with the Sandinistas’ “intelligence and sincerity.”

During that interview, Sanders was asked whether President Ronald Reagan’s support for the anti-Marxist Contras would plunge Nicaragua into civil war. Sanders’ response mentioned the failed CIA-sponsored invasion of Cuba at the Bay of Pigs in 1961.

“Everybody was totally convinced that Castro was the worst guy in the world,” he said. “All the Cuban people were going to rise up in rebellion against Fidel Cas-

tro. They forgot that he educated their kids, gave them health care, totally transformed the society.”

When interviewed by the *Burlington Free Press* about his trip, the mayor even defended the long food lines that typify Marxist economics.

“That is a good thing!” Sanders proclaimed. “In other countries people don’t line up for food: The rich get the food and the poor starve to death.”

Sanders even “attempted to cut off” questions about the Sandinista regime’s brutality toward the Miskito Indians, the *Free Press* reported. Massachusetts Sen. Edward Kennedy, no friend of Reagan or conservatives, said the Miskitos were marched at gunpoint into “forced-labor camps which resemble concentration camps.”

Given Sanders’ past, Jurak’s assessment of him offers frightening clarity.

“I think he’s a legit socialist masquerading as a democratic socialist,” Jurak said. “I think a lot of the things that he’s suggesting in moving forward take us further than democratic socialism.”

In Marxist terminology, “socialism” means Communism. As Lenin said, “the goal of socialism is Communism.”

Sanders employs Marxist rhetoric about equality and fairness to seduce the unsuspecting. But Marxist reality delivers slavery to the masses.

Sanders might not say that. But his field organizers did. So does history.

—*FrontPageMag.com*, February 17, 2020

Capitalist Denmark

by Brian C. Joondeph

Socialist/communist presidential candidate Bernie Sanders loves to sing the praises of a small Scandinavian country, Denmark. It seems he has fallen out of love with his previous favorite countries, the Soviet Union and Cuba, which he “warmly praised” in the late 1980s.

After spurning the USSR, the country he spent his honeymoon in, shirtless, singing along drunkenly with his fellow communist comrades, he has moved on to northern Europe as representing his idea of utopia.

As CNN reported about Bernie’s newfound love,

Open a newspaper on any given day here in this small Europe nation known for high taxes, generous government services and its stubbornly happy citizens, and you’ll almost certainly find a story

about the US presidential election.

“I think we should look to countries like Denmark, like Sweden and Norway,” Sanders said, “and learn what they have accomplished for their working people.”

Danes may indeed be happy, but they bristle at being called socialists.

“I would like to make one thing clear,” Danish Prime Minister Lars Lokke Rasmussen said recently in a speech at Harvard’s Kennedy School of Government. “Denmark is far from a socialist planned economy. Denmark is a market economy.”

That hasn’t deterred Bernie, however. At a recent Democrat debate he proclaimed, “We should look to countries like Denmark, like Sweden and Norway, and learn from what they have accomplished for their working people.”

So, what’s the magic in Denmark? Denmark is the second happiest country in the world, just behind Finland, according to CNN Travel. The US sits in 19th place. If you watch a Trump rally, you would find nothing but happiness. Compare that to a Bernie rally or a Democrat debate where the only messages are misery and victimhood.

Denmark is fortunate that they don’t have our 24-7 cable news networks preaching gloom and doom and a major political party telling everyone how rotten Denmark is, a nation of victims. Who could be happy listening to nonstop pessimism?

Denmark is far from a socialist economy; instead they are the type of market economy that Bernie and his fellow Democrat candidates rail against. The CIA World Factbook describes Denmark this way.

This thoroughly modern market economy features advanced industry with world-leading firms in pharmaceuticals, maritime shipping, and renewable energy, and a high-tech agricultural sector. Danes enjoy a high standard of living, and the Danish economy is characterized by extensive government welfare measures and an equitable distribution of income. An aging population will be a long-term issue.

Wow, a market economy, not big government controlling healthcare, energy, communications, and tech, as the Democrats desire for America. But these extensive welfare measures have a cost.

How does GDP per capita compare between the US and Denmark? In Denmark it’s \$50,000, but in the US it’s 20 percent higher at \$60,000.

Denmark, as a member of NATO, enjoys military

protection paid mostly by America. The US spends 3.1 percent of GDP on defense, compared to 1.3 percent by Denmark, leaving them more to spend on their “extensive government welfare measures.”

This applies to most European countries, happy for America to pay for their national defense, leaving them more money for extended family leave and other benefits.

How do taxes compare? After all, how does Denmark pay for their welfare benefits? From CNN:

“Free” is actually the wrong word to describe these services. Danes pay some of the highest taxes in the world, including a 25% tax on all goods and services, a top marginal tax rate hovering near 60%. The top tax rate in the US, by comparison, is less than 40%.

Bernie’s tax plan calls for a top tax rate north of 50 percent. He also wants a wealth tax of up to 8 percent on the Michael Bloomborgs and Warren Buffets of the world.

Nothing is truly free. Taxes simply take money from citizens, purchasing “benefits” that some use or want and others don’t. Minus a hefty administrative fee to redistribute everyone’s income. Hardly free.

What about some of Denmark’s programs that are more in line with Trump than with Bernie? Democrats are famous for situational praise and outrage.

For example, John Bolton was evil when he was nominated by George W. Bush for UN Ambassador, but a saint when he was willing to testify in the Ukraine impeachment trial. Mitt Romney was a racist, sexist, reprobate when running for president against The One, but became Mother Theresa when he was the sole Republican casting a vote to convict President Trump in the Senate.

So too with Denmark. There are other parts of the Denmark model that Bernie and his fellow socialist candidates would want no part of. Again, from CNN:

As a small country heavily reliant on trade, Denmark imposes minimal tariffs on foreign goods. Businesses here are only lightly regulated. The corporate tax rate is much lower than in the United States, which has one of the highest in the world. There’s not even a minimum wage in Denmark, although most workers are paid high salaries in large part due to the strength of labor unions. And in the past few years, Danish voters elected a right-of-center government, which has been instituting reforms that have put tighter restrictions on access to the long-held safety net.

Every Democrat candidate is pushing for a higher

minimum wage, yet Denmark has none. Danish immigration policy would also be anathema to Democrats.

Denmark has some of the most aggressive anti-immigrant policies in Europe. That has included taking out foreign-newspaper adverts warning potential migrants that they are not welcome, and authorizing police to seize cash and valuables from arriving asylum seekers to offset the cost of their maintenance.

Denmark has an island, 3 kilometers off shore, to house “rejected asylum seekers.” Our Democrats whine about “kids in cages”, a policy started under a Democrat president. What if President Trump turned Catalina Island off the coast of Southern California into a giant detention center, following the Denmark model?

Let’s ask Bernie if he endorses Denmark’s other immigration policies including a burqa ban, mandatory schooling in “Danish values” for ghetto children, and required handshakes with immigration officials regardless of an immigrant’s beliefs about physical contact with members of the opposite sex.

A Danish People’s Party spokesman believes Trump’s policies on immigration are “too weak.”

We’re a small country, and what binds us is a common language, and a common set of traditions and values. If we let in a large number of foreigners with their own cultures, ours will be overwhelmed.

Imagine hearing that from any Democrat candidate.

Bernie is simply cherry picking the policies of Denmark, such as generous welfare benefits, that he finds attractive, ignoring the numerous programs that are far more aligned with Donald Trump than the modern Democrat Party.

The reality is that, as CNN notes, “Few Danish politicians today would characterize themselves as ‘socialist’—even a ‘democratic socialist’—as Sanders does.” Ironically Trump has already instituted many Danish policies that Bernie now criticizes Trump over.

Yet Bernie continues to sing the praises of Denmark as his utopia, with no scrutiny from the lapdog media over a more complete analysis of Danish policies. But make no mistake, Denmark is not the socialist utopia Bernie Sanders claims it to be.

—*American Thinker*, February 24, 2020



George Soros’ Anti-American University

by Matthew Vadum

Radical anti-American financier George Soros recently unveiled a scheme to sink \$1 billion into a new global university to fight nationalism and climate change, twin phantoms he emotes are “threatening the survival of our civilization.”

Why is this a bad thing?

Because global warming is a hoax and nationalism—at least in a good nation like the United States—is a good thing, and because Soros is a living, breathing malignancy who has devoted the past several decades of his life to advancing evil in the world.

If America is indeed “one nation under God,” as the Pledge of Allegiance states, then believing in and supporting this country is American nationalism.

That is a bridge too far for Soros, a naturalized American, who views himself as a citizen of the world.

Soros said in 2018 that nationalism was “the dominant ideology in the world,” but to him, all nationalism, including American nationalism, is bad. People like Soros regard nationalism as tribalism, jingoism, superpatriotism, or a combination of the three. Because he is an Esperanto-speaking, United Nations-loving internationalist, Soros hurls the word “nationalism” as an epithet.

Not surprisingly, he rejects the idea of American sovereignty and wishes to eradicate it, which helps to explain his underwriting of radical open-borders groups.

As Cheryl Chumley argues in the *Washington Times*, Soros is spending a billion dollars on OSUN “to train the next generation in the evils of sovereignty—to teach the emerging youth how to become good obedient citizens of the world.

“Soros calls it his ‘most important and enduring project’ ever. No wonder. It truly could be. If successful, it could mean the collapse of borders, the implementation of world government, the end of America as we know it.

“If children are the hope of the future, America’s children must be educated on the greatness of America—before it becomes too late and America, as a sovereign nation, hasn’t any future at all.”

Soros is an old hand at promoting his agenda.

Soros’s philanthropy states he has donated more than \$32 billion over the past 30 years “to education and social justice causes,” or in plain English, brainwashing and leftism.

He has used his vast fortune to topple governments in Serbia, Georgia, Ukraine, and Kyrgyzstan. He “broke” the British pound, was accused of wreaking havoc on the Malaysian ringgit, and was called an “economic war criminal” in Thailand. A French court convicted him of insider trading.

Soros, who has bankrolled the violent Black Lives Matter and Occupy Wall Street movements as well as the related prison-reform movement, has called America “the main obstacle to a stable and just world order” and hailed Communist China for having “a better-functioning government than the United States.” He says European-style socialism “is exactly what we need” and funds open-borders groups in order to corrode the nation’s culture and change its electorate.

Soros makes no secret of his contempt for President Donald Trump. Under Trump Muslims are attacked and abused, Soros has claimed. On the campaign trail, Trump was “doing the work of ISIS,” he claimed. Ideas like banning entry to the US by Muslims—something Trump hasn’t done—might “convince the Muslim community that there is no alternative but terrorism,” Soros said.

This renewed weaponization of the education sector by the 89-year-old, Hungarian-born multi-billionaire leftist came Jan. 23 at the World Economic Forum in fabulous Davos, Switzerland, the high-profile yearly globalist gathering of holier-than-thou, hypocritical business leaders who refuse to cut down on carbon-producing jet use even under pressure from so-called flight-shaming activists.

The Open Society University Network, or OSUN, will “integrate teaching and research across higher education institutions worldwide,” while providing courses and joint degree programs and putting students and faculty from different countries together by way of in-person and online discussions, according to his philanthropic piggybank, the Open Society Foundations (OSF).

OSUN will supposedly provide an international platform for teaching and research and will be launched by a partnership of the Soros-founded Central European University (CEU) and Bard College of Annandale-on-Hudson, New York. CEU and Bard will, in turn, work with distance learning-focused Arizona State University, and other schools such as American University of Central Asia in Kyrgyzstan and BRAC University in Bangladesh.

“I consider the Open Society University Network to be the most important and enduring project of my life, and I should like to see it implemented while I am still around,” Soros said.

The preeminent funder of the Left in the US who gives so much money to Democrats that “Saturday Night Live” once mockingly referred to him as the “owner” of the Democratic Party (this was long before spendthrift Michael Bloomberg’s vanity candidacy for president emerged), suffers from end-stage Trump Derangement Syndrome.

In Davos, Soros, who previously marveled he was “some kind of god,” described his nemesis, President Donald Trump, as “a con man and the ultimate narcissist who wants the world to revolve around him.” He also attacked the president’s supporters in the United States, accusing them of buying into Trump’s “alternative reality,” which “has turned his narcissism into a malignant disease.”

But what Soros really wants is political indoctrination. Just look at who runs his massive philanthropy that will be cutting the checks.

OSF is helmed by elite community organizer and social justice warrior Patrick Gaspard, who was then-President Barack Obama’s White House political director, and later, US ambassador to South Africa. In 2018 he bashed the US on Twitter, claiming the racist, kleptocratic hell-hole at the bottom of the African continent that is speeding down the road to genocide, “has a more inclusive Constitution than even my country,” and added that being posted there by Obama was “an honor of a lifetime.”

Before that, Gaspard was an executive vice president at Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 1199 in New York, and had close ties to the now-defunct Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (ACORN) and two related minor political parties, the Working Families Party and the New Party. A close friend of New York’s Bolshevik mayor, Bill de Blasio, Gaspard brokered a political deal in 2013 that allowed the elitist who famously eats pizza with a knife and fork to move into Gracie Mansion.

Gaspard seems an ideal choice as a general to lead Soros’s charge against nationalism.

—*FrontPageMag.com*, February 11, 2020

.....

Don’t miss a minute of the news and analysis by David Noebel.

Check out our blog at:

www.thunderontheright.wordpress.com

Electing Communists in the US, Part One

by C. Mitchell Shaw

Watch for the continuation in our May issue.

Mainstream media outlets have spent the last four and a half years focused on the phantom of Russia influencing US elections with the help of Donald Trump. Meanwhile, pro-Chinese communists working within and without the Democratic Party have been successfully implementing a plan to flip one state after another toward the left. The goal is to use Democratic control as a transitional stage toward communist control.

According to a co-executive director of a pro-Maoist group operating in the United States, that plan was “put in motion years ago.” That co-executive director is Tram Nguyen, and her organization is New Virginia Majority (NVM). Nguyen’s admission took the form of a boast in a November 6 op-ed piece for the *New York Times*.

Nguyen wrote that NVM has spent the past 12 years working to “reach voters of all colors, women, low-income workers and young people where they are.” She added that this “has made it possible for us to develop a robust base of support along Virginia’s so-called Urban Crescent, from Northern Virginia to Hampton Roads.” As to the tactic and the message, Nguyen wrote, “Long before Election Day, we registered more than 300,000 voters, knocked on more than 2.5 million doors, and organized within communities of color to help win significant policy changes like Medicaid expansion, which covered nearly 400,000 people.”

So, NVM targeted minority neighborhoods (which are statistically lower-income neighborhoods) with a pitch for voter registration and an increased voter turnout tied to the promise of more money and benefits from the public till. The result was exactly what they hoped for. Those newly minted, politically uninformed voters turned out en masse and placed Virginia squarely in the clutches of the Democrats.

Of course, political activist groups are nothing new. And for a political activist group to knock on doors, register voters, and nudge those new voters to vote a certain way is to be expected. What sets NVM apart from the pack is its pedigree—which includes pure-bred Maoist elements.

As the inestimable Trevor Loudon has been reporting in a series of articles published online by *The Epoch Times*, “NVM is a front for Liberation Road, known until

April this year [2019] as Freedom Road Socialist Organization (FRSO), the United States' most influential Maoist organization.”

As Loudon wrote in an article focused on NVM's success in Virginia:

NVM is led by longtime FRSO/Liberation Road cadre Jon Liss of Alexandria. Several FRSO cadres have served in NVM over the years, as have many activists from two NVM satellite groups, LeftRoots and the Virginia Student Power Network.

FRSO/Liberation Road comes out of the militantly pro-China American Maoist student movement of the 1970s. While it's more discreet about its Chinese loyalties these days, several of its leading supporters maintain close ties to the People's Republic [of China].

Loudon has documented other connections between NVM and FRSO/Liberation Road. In that same article, he lists some of NVM's "leading supporters," as well as their communist credentials. That list includes the likes of Fred Engst, "a longtime FRSO supporter" who was born in China to parents who moved there in the 1940s "to be part of the Communist Revolution." Engst left China for the United States in the 1970s for his education, but returned to China in 2007. He now teaches at the University of International Business and Economics in Beijing.

Another NVM supporter is Alex Tom, who, Loudon explains, is "a leader of LeftRoots and the pro-Beijing San Francisco-based Chinese Progressive Association." According to Tom's 2013 LeftForum speaker's bio, he formed the China Education and Exposure Program in 2012 to "build a deeper analysis of China for US progressives and leftists and to build relationships with the grassroots movement in China."

And Steve McClure, another NVM supporter, was in the 1970s "active in the pro-Mao Revolutionary Student Brigade." His ties to FRSO and NVM—along with his background of Engineering Information in Surveying, Mapping, and Remote Sensing at Wuhan University in China, where he is a research associate with the State Key Laboratory in that field—have served the communist cause well.

His blog shows that he worked with NVM from China "to make a series of maps to inform planning for precinct walks in Virginia State house districts." Those are the maps NVM used to choose the neighborhoods where they knocked on more than 2.5 million doors and registered nearly 300,000 voters with promises of bigger and

better government handouts. Those maps—provided by a traitorous American employed by a Chinese university—were indispensable in the action plan of communists in America to flip Virginia to the Democrats.

So, while the liberal mainstream media have spent four and half years pounding the "Trump/Russia collusion" narrative into the public consciousness, despite lacking anything resembling evidence, pro-Chinese communists have worked doggedly to influence US elections. And far from exposing that foreign influence, the only real mention of it in the liberal mainstream media was the op-ed boasting of Nguyen published by the *New York Times*.

With Loudon's research revealing the myriad connections between communists in China and communists in America, it is becoming increasingly apparent that the liberal mainstream media are complicit in the communist plan to take over America. After all, given their vast resources and operating budgets, why did they not uncover this?

Due to the high journalistic standards evident in Loudon's exposé of the Chinese communist influence in US elections, *The New American* reached out to him. In an exclusive interview, he told this writer that NVM's success in Virginia is only the beginning of FRSO/Liberation Road's plans for America.

For instance, NVM is merely one piece in a nefarious puzzle. Similar organizations with the same goals have been formed with FRSO/Liberation Road influence and connections in other states. Partly by forming new groups and partly by infiltrating existing groups, FRSO/Liberation Road and other communist organizations have been successful in taking over politics in selected areas, especially in the South, according to Loudon.

In mid-December, Loudon wrote about "how and why pro-China communists took over Durham, North Carolina." He explains that "Liberation Road has a strategy of destroying the Republican Party base in the South—what it terms the 'New Confederacy'—by using the minority voting base to flip county by county, state by state to the Democrats." And North Carolina is a "prime target" because it is "one of the most politically marginal Republican-held states in the South" and because it is right next door to Virginia, where the Reds recently enjoyed a Blue victory.

The communist tactics for taking over North Carolina are simple: Infiltrate and sow discord. About the communist targeting of the South, Loudon wrote:

In the 2000s, FRSO began sending comrades

from California, Massachusetts, and New York to beef up the numbers, soon making the Maoists the most significant force on the left in the region. This was part of a deliberate colonization of Southern states, particularly Virginia, Georgia, Tennessee, and Florida. FRSO targeted the South because of its high concentration of black and Hispanic potential voters, which, coupled with a history of racial polarization, made for great revolutionary potential.

North Carolina, one of the most politically marginal Republican-held states in the South was a prime target. Durham, with the largest concentration of black voters in the state, became ground zero for the Maoists. FRSO supporters began to infiltrate Durham student unions, community groups, labor unions, churches, and local government.

Part of that plan involves infiltration of the Democratic Party. That infiltration does not follow the traditional model of only taking over a party from within. The new model is about strategic placement of infiltrators in the party and having others outside the party pressure the party to move even further to the left.

For instance, in her op-ed piece, Nguyen consistently refers to Democrats in the third person (*they*, not *we*). Loudon explained that this is because of an alliance called the Left Inside/Outside Project, which is a pet project of the communist People's World online newsite. Loudon went on to say that it is a coalition of the Communist Party USA, Liberation Road, Democratic Socialists of America, and other communist/socialist groups. "They are working explicitly to move politics to the left, especially in the South, by working both inside and outside the Democratic Party."

Loudon said that with some communists and socialists "working inside the party, running as Democrats, and others working outside the party, like Nguyen, putting pressure on the Democrats and helping them," they are succeeding in moving Democrats further and further left.

In fact, Loudon has written much about the Left Inside/Outside Project. In September, he wrote that the "leading socialist and communist organizations in the United States" created the project as part of their plan "to increase their infiltration and manipulation of the Demo-

cratic Party." As he wrote in that article:

Communist Party USA (CPUSA) leader John Bachtell partially explained the new strategy in a pitch to Party members to attend an online webinar that was held on May 23, 2018. According to Bachtell, the webinar would feature a panel of representatives from the CPUSA, Democratic Socialists of America (DSA), Freedom Road Socialist Organization (FRSO), LeftRoots, and others.

Loudon further reported:

The Left Inside/Outside Project first announced itself in a letter, titled "The Left We Want to Build: Breaking Out of the Margins," published on the FRSO-aligned website Organizing Upgrade on June 9, 2017.

That letter is unapologetic and unambiguous in its push for "building a left trend—an alignment of organizations and individuals—based on strategic unity." The letter goes on to call for "determined, long-term, energetic efforts to break out of the margins based on a common view of how to engage in our electoral system, while also building mass protest" as the only way to "offer a chance to make the left a force in US politics and, eventually, a contender for power."

The letter—signed by key leaders of America's pre-eminent Marxist organizations—issues a call that could have been termed "Collectivists of the United States Unite!" For instance, it states:

All of the organizations and networks we belong to have important strengths, but also very real limitations in terms of size, demographics, or geographic or sectoral concentration. None of them, in their current form, are capable of playing the strategic role we believe the left must play in the next period. A left trend might have that potential—the ability to reach far beyond the existing left to create a force that can move us from defense to offense.

As NVM's campaign in Virginia demonstrates, collectivists uniting and promising a deeper drink from the public well for those who vote to empower Democrats to move further left can offer low-income (and lower-information) voters something appealing.

—*The New American*, February 17, 2020

Watch for the final portion of this article in May.

Founded in 1953, the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade, under the leadership of Dr. Fred C. Schwarz (1913-2009) has been publishing a monthly newsletter since 1960. *The Schwarz Report* is edited by Dr. David A. Noebel and is offered free of charge to anyone asking for it. The Crusade's address is PO Box 129, Manitou Springs, CO 80829. Our telephone number is 719-685-9043. All correspondence and tax-deductible gifts (CACC is a 501C3 tax-exempt organization) may be sent to this address. You may also access earlier editions of *The Schwarz Report* and make donations at www.schwarzreport.org. Permission to reproduce materials from this Report is granted provided that the article and author are given along with our name and address. Our daily blog address is www.thunderontheright.wordpress.com.