



Dr. Fred Schwarz

The Schwarz Report

60 Years Defending Our Christian Faith



Dr. David Noebel

December 2020

Merry Christmas!

The Birth of Jesus Christ

In those days a decree went out from Caesar Augustus that the whole empire should be registered. This first registration took place while Quirinius was governing Syria. So everyone went to be registered, each to his own town.

And Joseph also went up from the town of Nazareth in Galilee, to Judea, to the city of David, which is called Bethlehem, because he was of the house and family line of David, to be registered along with Mary, who was engaged to him and was pregnant. While they were there, the time came for her to give birth. Then she gave birth to her firstborn Son, and she wrapped Him snugly in cloth and laid Him in a feeding trough—because there was no room for them at the lodging place.

In the same region, shepherds were staying out in the fields and keeping watch at night over their flock. Then an angel of the Lord stood before them, and the glory of the Lord shone around them, and they were terrified. But the angel said to them, “Don’t be afraid, for look, I proclaim to you good news of great joy that will be for all the people: Today a Savior, who is Messiah the Lord, was born for you in the city of David. This will be the sign for you: You will find a baby wrapped snugly in cloth and lying in a feeding trough.”

Suddenly there was a multitude of the heavenly host with the angel, praising God and saying: Glory to God in the highest heaven, and peace on earth to people He favors!

When the angels had left them and returned to heaven, the shepherds said to one another, “Let’s go straight to Bethlehem and see what has happened, which the Lord has made known to us.”

They hurried off and found both Mary and Joseph, and the baby who was lying in the feeding trough. After seeing them, they reported the message they were told about this child, and all who heard it were amazed at what the shepherds said to them. But Mary was treasuring up all these things in her heart and meditating on them. The shepherds returned, glorifying and praising God for all they had seen and heard, just as they had been told.

—Luke 2:1-20, Holman Christian Standard Bible

The Useful Idiots

by Warren Beatty

“Useful idiot” is a pejorative term for a person who propagandizes for a cause without fully understanding (due to naïveté, stupidity, or on purpose) the cause’s goals, who is cynically used by the cause’s founders. It is used to describe otherwise sensible people who become, due to misrepresentations or outright lies, susceptible to Marxist/communist/socialist propaganda and manipulation.

Why is this important? Because this is what Black Lives Matter is doing: using useful idiots to advance its cause. One of BLM’s founders is Alicia Garza, a trained Marxist. Why is that significant? Because it explains BLM’s agenda. Marxism’s (and BLM’s) ultimate goal is to ignite class warfare to provide an opportunity for the seizure of political power. But the US was created as a classless society with no royalty, no nobility, no caste system. Everyone is equal under the law. How, then, do Marxists ignite class warfare? By creating false classes, then propagandizing them into existence. This is where useful idiots come into play. Once people believe that these false classes exist, class warfare can begin.

The actions of three useful idiots illustrate how they foster class warfare. They use what Teddy Roosevelt called the “bully pulpit” to propagandize. There’s the Democrat party. Then there are two useful idiots of note, one whose name *American Thinker* [AT] readers will recognize and a name most AT readers will not recognize: Barack Obama and Lewis Hamilton.

About Democrats, J.D. Rucker wrote:

The party that once focused on workers and Big Labor detached their wagons from those horses around the time Barack Obama became president, opting instead to latch onto identity politics[.] . . . This served them well for years, but now that identity politics has been taken over by Black Lives Matter and Antifa, among others, Democrats like Nancy Pelosi and Chuck Schumer are no longer in control. It’s the radicals like Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez and Ilhan Omar who are the party’s new ideological leaders, but even they are simply higher-ranking pawns [of Marxists].

Rucker continued:

The plans of the Cultural Marxists at the top come down to three big lies. First, they have the lie of authority in which they turn people against the leaders. They say all police and the justice system in general is corrupt. They say President

Trump and the GOP are the problem despite unprecedented prosperity across the board in America. They need to lie to get their foot soldiers fired up.

The second lie is the lie of action. They tell their group that to achieve their goals, they need to riot, loot, tear down monuments, form autonomous zones, and act out in ways that lead towards governments at every level promoting further authoritarianism. They don’t tell their foot soldiers that they’re supposed to be quashed[.] . . .

The last lie is the lie of results. The people in their movement are told this is all going to lead to revolution. They’re not told that they’re going to get put down by authoritarian measures, and that these measures are the true goal of the Cultural Marxists who need that precedent in order to allow for the real end goal of authoritarian communism, which is very different from the anarcho-communism the foot soldiers believe they’re producing.

Marxists create useful idiots.

Obama, an idiot before he became useful, said of Trayvon Martin, the BLM poster child: “If I had a son, he’d look like Trayvon.” “Defending the Black Lives Matter movement, President Barack Obama said Thursday [October 23, 2015] the protests are giving voice to a problem happening only in African-American communities, adding, ‘We, as a society, particularly given our history, have to take this seriously.’” Obama provided usefulness by giving a Marxist organization credibility and support.

Now to Lewis Hamilton, Formula One racecar driver. Why? He is one of the most visible black athletes today. He began his “useful idiot” tour at a BLM rally in London in June. Ben Morse of CNN wrote: “Since George Floyd’s death last month, Formula One world champion Lewis Hamilton has been one of sport’s most outspoken athletes in showing his support for the Black Lives Matter movement.” Morse quoted Hamilton: “I was proud to be out there acknowledging and supporting the Black Lives Matter movement, and my Black heritage.”

He then doubled down by bringing Breonna Taylor into his idiocy, obviously without doing any research. On September 13, at the Tuscan Grand Prix, he wore a tee-shirt with the message “Arrest the cops that killed Breonna Taylor.” He wrote before the race: “It’s been 6 months since Breonna Taylor was murdered by policemen, in her own home. Still no justice has been served. We won’t stay silent. #JusticeFor[-]

Breonna Taylor.” Following the race, Hamilton said, “I’ve been wanting to bring awareness to the fact there are people being killed on the street. And someone was killed in her own house and they were in the wrong house and those guys are still walking free.” That’s non-factual propaganda.

Then, after the Russian Grand Prix on September 24, he said: “People talk about sport not being a place for politics but ultimately it is a human rights issue and that is something we should be pushing towards. We have a huge, amazing group of people that watch our sport from different backgrounds and cultures and we should be pushing positive messages towards them, *especially for equality*” (emphasis mine). Again, non-factual propaganda. Hamilton is, without a doubt, a useful idiot.

This occurred in an ironic atmosphere. Speaking of Hamilton on July 12, motorsport legend Mario Andretti told Chilean newspaper *El Mercurio*: “I think the whole point of this is pretentious. And it’s creating a problem that doesn’t exist.” Hamilton’s response: “Andretti should educate himself.” Hamilton didn’t bother to look up facts, just spewed propaganda.

Here is another example of an international useful idiot: Mercedes-Benz. Until this year, its F1 racecars were known as Silver Arrows. But no longer—they caved to their useful idiot and painted their cars black.

What now? First, know that useful idiots are everywhere. The vast majority of the MSM are useful idiots as well. They refuse to be educated. So, second, educate yourself. Larry Elder at *Epoch Times*, Dennis Prager at Prager University, and Thomas Lifson at *AT* are but three of hundreds of internet sources providing the complete truth. Third, reach out to those who can be educated. Fourth, patronize sponsors of conservative websites.

—*American Thinker*, October 25, 2020

The Day of the Jackal

by Lloyd Billingsley

“Michael Lonsdale, actor who played Bond villain in ‘Moonraker,’ dies at 87,” headlined the CNN, report, and other news outlets recalled Lonsdale as Hugo Drax, with Roger Moore as James Bond. Six years earlier, Lonsdale starred in a more realist film, and delivered a line highly relevant for 2020 and beyond.

In the early 1960s, the Organisation de l’armée secrète (OAS) sought to prevent Algerian independence

and launched a campaign of terror and assassination that claimed some 2,000 victims. Their primary target was Gen. Charles de Gaulle and that caught the attention of Frederick Forsyth. In his 1971 *The Day of the Jackal*, the OAS tasks a mysterious Englishman, codename “Jackal,” to assassinate the French president.

As Forsyth explained in the novel, the OAS perceived France’s “vast bureaucracies” as a glaring weakness, and that comes through in the film. The Jackal, wonderfully played by Edward Fox, deploys fake identification and clever disguises to elude the French authorities. The government then turns to “the best detective in France,” Claude Lebel, played by Michael Lonsdale.

The sleuth tracks the Jackal to the residence of the elegant Madame de Montpellier, played by Delphine Seyrig. The stone-face Lebel tells her, “be in no doubt as to the seriousness of your position,” a warning with lingering relevance for the America of 2020.

Assassins target police officers as they sit in their patrol cars, and mobs chanting “We hope they die!” block entry to the hospital emergency room. An Antifa acolyte guns down the unarmed Trump supporter Aaron Danielson. Mob members assassinate retired St. Louis police officer David Dorn and live-stream the execution. This kind of murderous action is not new.

In 2017, Bernie Sanders supporter James Hodgkinson opened fire on a group of Republicans playing baseball. The would-be assassin seriously wounded Rep. Steve Scalise and four others. If not for quick response by congressional police, it would have been a killing field. For the most part, response from Democrats was feeble, and three years later Democrats fail to condemn the Antifa-Black Lives Matter axis now inflicting violence in cities across the country.

Axis forces attack synagogues and call for a Kristallnacht against Christian churches. Taxpayer-supported National Public Radio takes seriously a book titled *In Defense of Looting*. A gunman shoots five-year-old Cannon Hinnant in the head, execution style, and the establishment media doesn’t know what to make of it.

In *The Hunt*, from Universal Pictures, a character speaks of “slaughtering a dozen deplorables.”

As Angelo Codevilla recalls, the deplorables used to be “racist, sexist, homophobic” types, clinging to God and guns. Today, the ruling class has shifted to “indicting roughly 72 percent of the population as white

supremacists, likely violent.”

This previous administration’s Department of Homeland Security produced “Hot Spots of Terrorism and Other Crimes in the United States, 1979-2008.” This 2012 study classified as “extreme right-wing terrorists” persons it judged to be “suspicious of centralized federal authority” and “reverent of individual liberty.” The same themes emerged in *Challengers from the Sidelines: Understanding America’s Violent Far-Right*, from the Combating Terrorism Center at West Point.

Consider also *Rightwing Extremism: Current Economic and Political Climate Fueling Resurgence in Radicalization and Recruitment*, an April 2009 paper from a DHS then ruled by former Arizona governor Janet Napolitano. In 2020, surging Marxist hatred and criminal violence underscore Lebel’s warning, as other lessons emerge from the Jackal his own self.

The assassin secures a passport in the name of a deceased person. Decades later, that kind of identity theft was still possible in the United States. As the Diplomatic Security Service of the State Department recently discovered, Mexican national Gustavo Araujo Lerma applied for a US passport under the assumed identity of Hiram Enrique Velez, a deceased US citizen. This enabled Araujo to vote illegally in American elections for more than two decades.

This kind of identity fraud could be easily prevented, but the will to cross-check birth and death records with passport applications appears to be lacking. In the style of 1960s France, America’s vast bureaucracies pose little challenge to modern terrorists.

In 2001, the United States boasted the Central Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, the Defense Intelligence Agency, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation, which conducts counter-intelligence. With all their assets and massive funding, none of these agencies could stop a group of 19 terrorists, headquartered in caves in Afghanistan, from completing their mission of death and destruction. In 2009, FBI negligence enabled “soldier of Allah” Nidal Hasan to murder 13 Americans and wound 42 others at Fort Hood.

As recent attacks on military facilities in Pensacola and Corpus Christi confirm, Islamic jihadists have not given up. As the election approaches, America’s domestic terrorists are certain to ramp up deadly violence. In all places and at all times, be in no doubt as to the seriousness of your position.

—*FrontPageMag.com*, September 24, 2020

Economic Reality

by Lloyd Billingsley

“As a nation, we can only truly thrive when every one of us has the opportunity to thrive. Our painful history of slavery has evolved into structural racism and bias built into and permeating throughout our democratic and economic institutions.”

That was California Gov. Gavin Newsom in a September 30 signing statement for Assembly Bill 3121 which “establishes a nine-member task force to inform Californians about slavery and explore ways the state might provide reparations.” For all its faults, California was never part of the Confederacy, and Californians might wonder about a stronger case for reparations from damages caused by the China virus.

Evidence points to the Wuhan Institute of Virology, recipient of funds from the US National Institutes of Health, which banned “gain of function” research in 2014 because of danger that a manipulated virus might be released into the population. The NIH revived the dangerous research in 2017 but kept it secret. The Wuhan Institute was an ideal place to hide gain of function, and Anthony Fauci backed the lab with more than \$7 million. Dr. Fauci has been evasive about what, exactly, went on at Wuhan but there can be no dispute about the aftermath.

The China virus claimed more than 200,000 deaths in the United States alone and touched three leaders of G7 nations: President Trump, British prime minister Boris Johnson, and Canadian prime minister Justin Trudeau, whose wife Sophie tested positive for the coronavirus in March. The economic damages are undeniable: millions unemployed, businesses going bankrupt, mounting debt, and all the social wreckage now on display.

President Trump is on record that this was all “China’s fault,” and China is going to pay “a big price” for what they’ve done to the United States and the world. One US state is already taking measures. In April, Missouri attorney general Eric Schmitt filed a lawsuit charging that Chinese Communist officials are “responsible for the enormous death, suffering, and economic losses they inflicted on the world, including Missourians.” For Sen. Dianne Feinstein, California Democrat, the Missouri lawsuit was the problem.

“We launch a series of unknown events that could be very, very dangerous,” said Feinstein in a July 30, Senate Judiciary Committee hearing. “I think this is a huge mistake.” And Feinstein had only praise for China,

“as a country that has pulled tens of millions of people out of poverty in a short period of time” and as “a country growing into a respectable nation among other nations. And I deeply believe that. I’ve been to China a number of times. I’ve studied the issues.”

Indeed, as Feinstein told James Areddy of the *Wall Street Journal* during a 2006 visit to Shanghai, the San Francisco Democrat had been coming to the Communist nation for 31 years. Feinstein compared Tiananmen Square to Kent State, and as Rosemarie Ho reported in *The Nation*, Democrats in general and Feinstein in particular ignored the Communist crackdown against Hong Kong.

As the *San Francisco Chronicle* noted, the Chinese spy on Feinstein’s staff for 20 years even attended Chinese Consulate functions for the senator, who has her own version of Hunter Biden. As Ben Weingarten reported in *The Federalist* in 2018, Feinstein’s husband Richard Blum has “profited handsomely from the greatly expanded China trade she supported.”

None of this has touched off an investigation, which confirms that FBI favors for Democrats go far beyond Hillary Clinton. A party that functions as a cheerleader for China will not seek any reparations from China, or any other entity that has inflicted vast damage on the United States.

On September 11, 2001, Islamic terrorists claimed nearly 3,000 American lives and caused billions in damages. If that’s not a case for reparations, it is hard to know what one would look like. Democrats made no case, and the president formerly known as Barry Soetoro shipped billions in cash to Iran, the major sponsor of terrorism in the world.

President Trump pushed back on terrorism, taking out Iranian master terrorist Soleimani and ISIS boss al-Baghdadi. Democrats were critical of these actions, and their diversionary push for slavery reparations has no merit. Neither does Gov. Newsom’s charge of “structural racism,” which as David Azerrad explains [at amgreatness.com], lacks proof on the historical, legislative, and even the subconscious level.

The nation can only thrive, Gov. Newsom contends, “when every one of us has the opportunity to thrive.” As it happens, the most powerful figure in recent California history is the African American Willie Brown. The thriving assembly speaker set up his girlfriend Kamala Harris in lucrative sinecures and backed her career all the way to candidacy for what she has called a “Harris administration.”

Meanwhile, old-money Democrats are grooming

Gov. Newsom for a presidential run in 2024. Should he succeed, the nation would never get reparations for terrorism or the China virus. On the other hand, the entire nation would soon look like California, whose rapid decline reminds Bill Maher of “Italy in the 70s or something.” As President Trump says, we’ll have to see what happens.

—*FrontPageMag.com*, October 15, 2020

Don’t Freak Out

by John Stossel

Worried about Tuesday? [Election Day]

Remember: The most important parts of life happen *outside* politics.

Love, friendship, family, raising children, building businesses, worship, charity work—that is the stuff of life! Politicians get in the way of those things. But despite the efforts of power-hungry Republicans and Democrats, life gets better.

You may not believe that. Surveys show most people think life is getting worse.

But it isn’t, as Marian Tupy and Ron Bailey point out in their new book, *Ten Global Trends Every Smart Person Should Know*.

“Child labor was once ubiquitous. Now it’s limited to a few countries in Africa. Women did not have a vote (until New Zealand granted it at the end of the 19th century). Today, women vote everywhere except for the Vatican,” Tupy reminds us.

“Gays and lesbians, persecuted for millennia, are free to marry. Slavery was universal; now it is illegal. The world has never been more peaceful, more educated and kinder.”

But the nastiness of today’s politics may stop progress! Make life worse!

It’s possible, but “worse” compared to what?

I’ve lived through the Vietnam War, a military draft, 90% income tax rates, price controls, indecency laws, widespread racism and sexism, Jim Crow, the explosion of crime in the 1970s. . .

Overall, life got better.

With Donald Trump and Joe Biden claiming the other will destroy what’s good, it’s hard to see improvement. But the world has made progress, largely thanks to *libertarian* ideas.

“For millennia the world was marked by despotism, slavery, hierarchy, rigid class privilege, and

literally no increase in the standard of living,” says Cato Institute Vice President David Boaz in the May/June 2020 *Policy Report*.

“Then libertarian ideas came into the world. Of course, they weren’t called that at the time. . . . (T)hey were the ideas of human rights, free markets, property rights, religious toleration, the value of commerce, the dignity of the individual—life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.”

These ideas created a wave of progress unlike anything in history.

“Look at the chart of gross domestic product (GDP) per capita, or any measure of economic growth,” adds Boaz. “It looks like a hockey stick: flat for almost all human history, and then it rockets upwards.”

The media shriek hysterically about every problem, and we have problems: pandemic, lockdowns, unemployment, wildfires, bad cops, violent riots, crime. But no matter who wins on Tuesday, life will probably get better.

Entrepreneurs will invent cool things.

This year, while Democrats and Republicans fought, the private sector found cheaper and better ways to send people into space.

The World Bank complained about governments not providing all people clean drinking water. So private companies are doing it. A billboard in Peru turns humidity into potable drinking water. A drinking straw, LifeStraw, removes bacteria and parasites from water.

Forests are expanding because modern farming uses less land, allowing the forests to regrow.

Thanks to often-despised free markets, poverty continues to decline. In 1981, 42% of the world lived in extreme poverty. By 2018, only 8.6% did. Do politicians ever highlight those gains? No.

Probably because most of those good things happened in spite of them, not because of them.

Most good things do.

Yes, we still have lots of problems: trillion? dollar deficits, mental illness, crushing regulation, endless wars (although fewer of them), criminal injustice, inequality, climate change. . .

But it’s always been that way. Evolution programmed humans to focus on problems. Our ancestors survived in a very dangerous world. If they weren’t hypervigilant, they wouldn’t have lived long enough to give birth to the people who gave birth to us.

I obsess about problems. But I try not to let that distract me from the big picture:

More people in more places enjoy prosperity, religious freedom, personal freedom, democratic governance, largely equal rights, civility, better health, and longer lives.

Neither Trump nor Biden is likely to destroy that.

—*PJMedia.com*, October 28, 2020

Rioters and Violence

by Daniel Greenfield

After the recent toll of mostly peaceful Black Lives Matter rioting wrecked cities and tilted the polls toward Trump, Joe Biden and even Kamala Harris began condemning “violence.”

Biden has said meaningless things like “we condemn the violence”, and “I condemn this violence unequivocally” as if violence were an independent entity. Condemning violence, like condemning war, is either pacifism or evasion. Since Biden isn’t a pacifist, he’s evading.

Violence is a concept. You don’t condemn a concept: you condemn its perpetrators.

The Democrats embraced Black Lives Matter, they even fundraised on behalf of the rioters and looters. Senator Kamala Harris tweeted out a link to the Minnesota Freedom Fund which bailed out rioters and looters, and Jaleel Stallings, who was accused of shooting at police officers.

According to police officers, Stallings had crouched near a car during the riots and opened fire on them. He faced two counts of attempted second-degree murder and the bail fund backed by Kamala Harris and several Biden staffers still bailed out the alleged wannabe cop-killer.

Once Biden goes into details, then his condemnation of “violence” actually turns out to be a condemnation of cops and conservatives. Or, as he put it, “I have condemned all forms of violence—police violence, lawless violence and violence perpetrated by extreme, right-wing militia groups.” When he condemns “violence”, he really means he’s condemning the police.

The closest that Biden comes to condemning the violence by Black Lives Matter, a racist domestic terrorist group which has devastated entire cities, is condemning the “lawless.” And he does it so vaguely as not to offend BLM and its supporters while giving the shopkeepers whose lifetime of work, his supporters burned to the ground, the false impression he stands with them.

Biden's toothless condemnations of "violence" are a meaningless cowardly evasion of moral responsibility. He'll even occasionally condemn "looting or destroying property or burning churches" without naming who's going around looting, destroying, and burning churches.

Burning churches is a bad thing. But not so bad that Biden will name the church burners. And when Biden fails to condemn Black Lives Matter, he supports its violent riots.

Even while the media falsely claims that the riots are peaceful, the hate group's co-founders, Patrisse Cullors, Alicia Garza and Opal Tometi, have not been asked to condemn it. On the rare occasions when they've been asked about it, they're deflected or dismissed the violence.

Ask BLM's co-founders about the hate group's violent riots and they'll typically reframe the question by dismissing it as a mere issue of property and claim that they value life more. That's despite the fact that hundreds of first responders and civilians have been wounded in the riots.

Speaking at a Penn State virtual event, Cullors described rioters as "expressing righteous rage" and suggested that society needs to avoid situations where "people feel like they have to be so desperate that they disrupt people's businesses." Not only didn't the Black Lives Matter co-founder condemn her movement's violence, she justified it, while denouncing capitalism.

Cullors, who has in the past been paid \$10,000 to appear at virtual college events, is represented by CAA, the top talent agency in the country which was accused of complicity in Harvey Weinstein's abuses, and whose clients include Tom Cruise and Robert De Niro.

She's also the author of *When They Call You a Terrorist: A Black Lives Matter Memoir*, with an introduction by Angela Davis, a domestic terrorist who endorsed Biden, and an opening quote from Assata Shakur, a black nationalist cop-killer listed by the FBI as a most wanted terrorist.

That quote from the fugitive cop killer, "It is our duty to fight for our freedom" has become a BLM chant. It appears in Shakur's biography just after she indirectly mentions the shootout that killed Trooper

Werner Foerster and hails the "guerrillas" of her Black Liberation Army terrorist group.

The phrase just before the chant is, "We must gain our liberation by any means necessary."

After the chant is a dedication to, among other black nationalist terrorists, Mark Essex, a racist killer who opened fire on New Year's Eve in New Orleans killing, among others, a honeymooning couple. Betty Steagall was shot in the back of her head while embracing her murdered husband. Essex left a Black Liberation flag lying near the corpses of the doctor and his wife.

This is not the chant of a peaceful movement, but of a violently racist terrorist organization. And it's been utilized by Democrats, including the Arizona Senate Democrat caucus.

"I just don't equate the loss of life and the loss of property," Alicia Garza, another BLM co-founder, replied, when asked about the violence. "We want to value our love of people over property."

Not only did Garza fail to condemn the violence, she reduced it to a question of property, while reframing the violent riots as a love of people over property. Property, like violence, is an abstraction, a way to avoid confronting what happens when people are terrorized, when the small shop they've poured their life and dreams into goes up in flames, while BLM's founders get tens of thousands of taxpayer dollars to condemn capitalism and defend the looting.

When the National Guard was sent to Baltimore, Garza complained that the soldiers were "standing between Black people and access to resources, they are protecting property."

"I'll be really honest: I'm not really concerned about broken glass," Opal Tometi, the third BLM co-founder, argued. "Property can be replaced, people cannot."

Not only do all of Black Lives Matter co-founders find ways to justify the violent riots, but some local chapters, which have more control, have been more direct about supporting the violence.

After the massive outbreak of looting in Chicago, Black Lives Matter Chicago issued a press release describing looters as protesters, and claimed that the

Founded in 1953, the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade, under the leadership of Dr. Fred C. Schwarz (1913-2009) has been publishing a monthly newsletter since 1960. *The Schwarz Report* is edited by Dr. David A. Noebel and is offered free of charge to anyone asking for it. The Crusade's address is PO Box 129, Manitou Springs, CO 80829. Our telephone number is 719-685-9043. All correspondence and tax-deductible gifts (CACC is a 501C3 tax-exempt organization) may be sent to this address. You may also access earlier editions of *The Schwarz Report* and make donations at www.schwarzreport.org. Permission to reproduce materials from this Report is granted provided that the article and author are given along with our name and address. Our daily blog address is www.thunderontheright.wordpress.com.

products in the stores were “hoarded” wealth. The official press release ranted that “black lives are and always will be more important than downtown corporations” and claimed that, “when protesters attack high-end retail stores that are owned by the wealthy and service the wealthy, that is not ‘our’ city.”

Black Lives Matter Chicago organizer Ariel Atkins called the looting, “reparations”, claimed that, “winning has come through riots,” and declared, “I will support the looters.”

“Anything they want to take, take it,” she said.

Hawk Newsome, the Greater New York Chair of BLM, refused to condemn looting and instead claimed that America is based on looting.

“People just manifested it in different ways. Some people there just raised their fists and said ‘I stand with the masses.’ Other people were there to destroy things,” Newsome had said earlier of the Minneapolis BLM riots.

Melina Abdullah, the lead organizer of Black Lives Matter in Los Angeles, whose chapter has collaborated with Farrakhan and the Nation of Islam, had its rally lead to multiple attacks on Jewish synagogues and businesses complete with antisemitic chants and graffiti.

Before the rioting began, her daughter, and the co-founder of the BLM Youth Vanguard, ranted, “I know you want to tear some s___ up . . . if you want to set some corporations on fire, you know what? I don’t care about Target burning. I don’t care that capitalism burns. I don’t care that white people in their f___ office buildings are upset.”

Abdullah, like her hate group’s co-founders, dismissed the rioting. “The looting is not as violent as the police violence that is the source of the protesting. Focusing on broken windows is a deliberate decision.”

Much like Kristalnacht.

The media has repeatedly mischaracterized Black Lives Matter riots as “mostly peaceful” as long as there is a prologue during which the racists at the rally chant slogans before the violence begins. This is a fundamental distortion of the truth. A peaceful march ends peacefully. A violent rally ends violently. A mostly peaceful march is really a violent riot.

Would you go out and meet someone if they told you it would be a mostly peaceful encounter?

A peaceful event has to be peaceful all the time. Or it’s violent. A mostly peaceful event is like a mostly non-toxic toothpaste, a mostly safe ladder, or a mostly solid bridge. Mostly counts when it comes to statistics, not when it comes to harm and danger. Most serial

killers were mostly peaceful. They spent less than 1% of their time hunting and killing their victims.

That’s not what peaceful means. Like Biden’s condemnations of violence, it’s an evasion.

Nobody in the media bothers to ask or discuss whether the Black Lives Matter philosophy and ideology is peaceful. That’s because there’s a large body of evidence that shows it isn’t. Instead the media nods along as BLM leaders pull the same tired old trick of reframing their movement’s violence as an affirmation of the value of black lives and reduce their victims to “property.”

Black Lives Matter violence springs directly from the violent ideology of black nationalism. The movement’s leaders make no secret of drawing their inspiration from domestic terrorists like Assata Shakur and Angela Davis. Democrats and their media choose to ignore these facts.

After the poll numbers turned against both Black Lives Matter violence and the movement, Joe Biden began condemning the violence, as if it exists apart from its perpetrators, without condemning the violent movement whose rioters were carrying out the violence.

Anyone can condemn an abstract evil. The challenge is condemning the perpetrators.

Joe Biden refuses to condemn Black Lives Matter. He can’t. They’re part of his base. While Americans are increasingly turning against the racist hate group, Democrats still back it. After months of rioting, support for Black Lives Matter has dropped 12% among Americans, but only 4% among Democrats, 92% of whom still support the violent black nationalist movement.

And so Joe’s trying to have it both ways. He’s condemning the violence while allying with the violent. He won’t disavow BLM or his endorsement from Angela Davis, while claiming to oppose violence. He’s refusing to condemn the perpetrators, while condemning the outcome.

That’s as worthless as his career and his candidacy.

If you support the violent, you support the violence. If your campaign and your veep help fund bail for a group bailing out rioters and an attempted cop-killer, you can’t claim, as Biden does, to oppose violence “across the board.” Until Biden publicly breaks with the Black Lives Matter movement and condemns its violence by name, he’s complicit in every burned store, every wounded cop, every terrorized child, every lost job, and every broken American city.

—*FrontPageMag.com*, October 1, 2020