



Dr. Fred Schwarz

The Schwarz Report



Dr. David Noebel

Volume 50, Number 7

July 2010

“We’re all known by the company we keep, and Barack Obama consistently—and deliberately—keeps company with hard leftists. . . . He couldn’t be more of a Manchurian candidate if he were auditioning for the role in the movies. This stuff is just too bizarre for most Americans to process: an actual Marxist in the White House.”

—Sean Hannity, *Conservative Victory*, New York: Harper, 2010, p. 40

Look What Obama’s ‘Internet Czar’ is Linked to...

WorldNetDaily

President Obama’s “Internet czar,” Susan P. Crawford, is tied to a Marxist-run liberal media think tank that advocates government intervention in the Internet, charges a new book released this week.

The Manchurian President: Barack Obama’s Ties to Communists, Socialists and other Anti-American Extremists was officially released Monday.

The new title from WND senior reporter and WABC Radio host Aaron Klein skyrocketed to No. 1 on the non-fiction list at Amazon.com and is now No. 4 on the overall best-seller list.

With nearly 900 citations, the book bills itself as the most exhaustive investigation ever performed into Obama’s political background and radical ties. Klein’s co-author is historian and researcher Brenda J. Elliott.

The book seeks to expose an extremist coalition of communists, socialists, and other radicals working both inside and outside the administration to draft and advance current White House policy goals.

The Manchurian President contains potentially explosive information not only about President Obama but also concerning other officials in the White House, including top czars and senior advisers Valerie Jarrett and David Axelrod.

Crawford is just one of more than a dozen top Obama administration officials to be exposed in the new book.

She was chosen to head up the Obama transition’s Federal Communications Commission Review team. After the inauguration, Obama named her special assistant to the president for science, technology, and innovation policy—or Internet czar.

Wired magazine calls Crawford “the most powerful geek close to the president” and notes that prior to her work for the administration, she was a “prolific” writer on net neutrality.

In just one of Crawford’s radical connections exposed in *The Manchurian President*, the book documents her close ties to Free Press, an advocate for government intervention in the Internet.

She spoke at a May 14, 2009, “Changing Media” Free Press summit in Washington. Crawford’s “One Web Day” project, which seeks to broaden the public’s awareness of Internet and Web issues, lists the radical ACORN as one of its “participating organizations.” Free Press is listed as another.

Crawford’s “One Web Day” is also promoted on the Free Press website.

Founded in 1953, the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade, under the leadership of Dr. Fred C. Schwarz (1913-2009), has been publishing a monthly newsletter since 1960. *The Schwarz Report* is edited by Dr. David A. Noebel and Dr. Michael Bauman. The Crusade’s address is PO Box 129, Manitou Springs, CO 80829. Our telephone number is (719) 685-9043. All correspondence and tax-deductible gifts (the Crusade is a 501(c)3 tax-exempt organization) may be sent to this address. Permission to reproduce materials from this *Report* is granted provided our name and address are given.

The founder of Free Press, Robert W. McChesney, is a professor at the University of Illinois and former editor of the Marxist journal *Monthly Review*.

In February 2009, McChesney, an avowed Marxist, urged that capitalism be dismantled.

“In the end, there is no real answer but to remove brick-by-brick the capitalist system itself, rebuilding the entire society on socialist principles,” wrote McChesney in a column.

The board of Free Press has included a slew of radicals, such as Obama’s former “green jobs” czar Van Jones, who resigned after it was exposed he founded a communist organization.

Meanwhile, Crawford and Kevin Werbach, who co-directed the Obama transition team’s Federal Communications Commission Review team, are also advisory board members at Public Knowledge, a George Soros-funded public interest group.

Public Knowledge claims to be bipartisan, working with groups from both sides of the aisle, including Free Press and the Open Society Institute.

A third Public Knowledge advisory board member is Timothy Wu, who writes on his blog that in his “spare time” he is the chairman of the “media reform organization Free Press.”

Crawford is just one Obama administration official linked by *The Manchurian President* to groups that press for more government control of the news media.

Manchurian also exposes regulatory czar Cass Sunstein, who drew up a “First Amendment New Deal,” a new “Fairness Doctrine” that would include the establishment of a panel of “nonpartisan experts” to ensure “diversity of view” on the airwaves.

The new book, meanwhile, includes an extensive investigation into Obama’s own background. The work uncovers, among many other things, Obama’s early years, including his previously overlooked early childhood ties to a radical, far-left church. The book provides copious new details about Obama’s deep ties to the unrepentant Weatherman Underground terrorist group founder William Ayers and about the president’s boyhood years in Indonesia, the world’s most populous Muslim nation.

Of all Obama’s radical associates from the past, few received more attention or were as shocking as his connection to Ayers. The book uncovers for the first time where and how Obama first met Ayers—and it is much earlier than previously believed.

The Manchurian President also unmask, exclusively, important aspects of Obama’s carefully covered-up college

years, with new details of his student career at Occidental College and later at Columbia University.

Obama’s associations with the Nation of Islam, Black Liberation theology and black political extremists also are revealed, with extensive new information on the subjects.

Also detailed are Obama’s deep ties to ACORN, which are much more extensive than previously documented elsewhere. The book crucially describes how a socialist-led, ACORN-affiliated union helped facilitate Obama’s political career and now exerts major influence in the White House.

In one chapter, the book unmasks the extremists among Obama’s “czars” and other top advisers, including new information linking Axelrod and Jarrett to communist activists.

The many issues pertaining to Obama’s eligibility to be president are carefully examined and given a much more extensive analysis than in previous works, including questions about Obama’s birth documentation and whether or not he legally qualifies as a “natural-born citizen” according to the U.S. Constitution. *The Manchurian President* also exposes how Obama’s health-care policy, masked by moderate populist rhetoric, was pushed along and partially crafted by extremists, some of whom reveal in their own words that their principal aim is to achieve corporate socialist goals and a vast increase in government powers.

“I believe this work is crucial to Americans from across the political spectrum,” says Klein, “including mainstream Democrats who should be alarmed that their party has been hijacked by an extreme-left fringe bent on permanently changing the party to fit its radical agenda.

“Indeed, this book will document, with new information, Obama’s own involvement with a socialist party whose explicit goal was to infiltrate and eventually take over the Democratic Party and mold it into a socialist organization,” Klein claims.

Klein began investigating Obama during the 2008 presidential campaign and broke major national stories. He first exposed the politician’s association with Ayers in a widely circulated WND article.

That story prompted *Nation* magazine to lament, via the CBS News website, that “mainstream reporters now call the Obama campaign to ask about Klein’s articles.”

It was in a WABC Radio interview with Klein that Ahmed Yousef, chief political adviser to Hamas, “endorsed” Obama for president, generating world headlines and sparking controversy. Republican presidential

nominee Sen. John McCain and Obama repeatedly traded public barbs over Hamas' positive comments.

Klein was among the first reporters to expose that green jobs czar Jones founded a communist organization and called for "resistance" against the U.S. government. The theme was picked up and expanded upon by the Fox News Channel's Glenn Beck, leading to Jones' resignation last September.

Co-author Brenda J. Elliott is a historian, author, and investigative researcher known for her blogging during the 2008 presidential election about Ayers, Tony Rezko, and other controversial figures linked to Obama. Since 1988, Elliott has been responsible for a number of historical projects, has won an award by Project Censored for her work, and has been named "One of the Intriguing People" by *Central Florida* magazine.

The introduction to *The Manchurian President* relates: "Barack Obama is backed by and deeply tied to an anti-American fringe nexus that, as this book will show, was instrumental not only in mentoring Obama and helping him to build his political career, but essentially in overthrowing the moderate wing of the Democratic Party and in securing and powerfully influencing Obama's presidency.

"As will be seen, these radical associates not only continue to influence Obama and White House strategy, but some are directly involved in creating the very policies intended to undermine or radically transform the United States of America."

—WorldNetDaily, May 5, 2010

Trevor Loudon: The Real "Secret" of American Communism

by Brenda J. Elliott

In what should sound an alarm for those who are not already aware, Trevor Loudon wrote January 3, 2009, on his blog, *The New Zeal*:

If the Obama campaign has achieved one positive thing, it has woken many Americans up to the fact that communists and socialists are still a feature of US politics.

Reports of Obama's links to Democratic

Socialists of America (DSA), Communist Party USA (CPUSA), and Committees of Correspondence for Democracy and Socialism (CCDS) reached millions via the internet, even if they never really made it into the mainstream.

That's step one. At least people know these organizations still exist. But so what? They're tiny, they have no influence. Why should anyone care about a few geriatric relics still fighting the Cold War?

How can a few fringe Marxist sects with a combined membership of probably fewer than 10,000, possibly effect a country as powerful as the United States of America?

Here's how.

Firstly, in politics, size isn't everything—influence is. How many Mafiosi openly stand for Parliament in Sicily? None. Therefore the Mafia can have no political influence in Sicily—right?

Secondly, the real threat of communism has seldom been identified. Most commentators focus on espionage, insurrection, and acts of revolutionary violence. All are important, but they are not THE REAL THREAT.

Well then, what is the REAL THREAT then—know all?

To illustrate, I will give an example from my own country, New Zealand.

For many years New Zealand was a loyal member of the Australia, New Zealand, United States military alliance ANZUS.

Then in 1984 New Zealand threw out the "conservative" government of Sir Robert Muldoon and elected the social democratic Labour government of David Lange.

Within a few months ANZUS was on the rocks. The mouse had roared. New Zealand stood up to the mighty US war machine and said "enough"—no more dirty nukes in our clean harbours. US ships welcome, nuclear armed ships not. Kiwis had spoken. We were all so proud of our government's brave stand and most of us still are. It's taught in schools, it's part of our folklore. No conservative government dare even think of changing it.

Well that's the myth—what really happened?

New Zealand's pro-Soviet communist party of the time was the Socialist Unity Party (SUP), named after cuddly old Erich Honecker's East German ruling party.

The SUP went from almost nowhere in the 1970s, to the dominant force in the trade union movement by the beginning of the 1980s. In 1976 the SUP, as did virtually

every other western communist party at the time, set up an affiliate of the Moscow-controlled World Peace Council—the New Zealand Council for World Peace.

The Soviets wanted to break a western country away from the US protective umbrella in order to encourage others in the NATO alliance to do the same. For a variety of reasons New Zealand was chosen as the most likely country to lead the way. The order went out to build up the New Zealand peace movement and expand its influence into all sectors of society.

From the SUP Auckland Regional Newsletter November 12, 1980:

To date in the region the Peace Council has made good progress among trade unions but more effort must be made to build on this and take the peace question to the factory floor. Also needed now is to broaden the Peace Council into other areas of the Community, join up prominent personalities including MPs, increase church involvement, university involvement, other peace groups, community clubs, etc. Here branches and comrades can act as catalysts.

We must be extremely careful that in building the Peace Council it does not become overburdened with “SUP” people or be labelled just another “SUP” front. If our Party is working correctly, only a few comrades reporting back to the Region and Branches and taking forward issues from the same sources are necessary to ensure effective involvement in the Peace movement. The broadest possible base is needed if we are to make the Council effective.

Oleg Gordievsky, a former high-ranking officer of the Soviet security service, the KGB—who, from 1974, worked as a long-serving undercover agent for MI6 until his formal defection in 1985—recalled:

KGB activity in Australasia was . . . increased as the result of the election of David Lange’s Labour government in New Zealand on an antinuclear programme in 1984 The [KGB] Centre . . . was jubilant at Lange’s election. . . . In its attempts to draw New Zealand into nuclear-free activities, the Soviet authorities had made tremendous efforts to penetrate and strengthen the Labour Party, partly through the local Party of Socialist Unity . . . and partly through the Trades Union Congress.

Gordievsky alleged that New Zealand communists were being run by International Department of the CPSU. He said:

I know the situation in New Zealand very well; only 500 members of the Socialist Unity Party, but they are invaluable because each was ready to do something. It was like the KGB had 500 agents in the country. . . . Plus some of them penetrated the trade unions, and then they penetrated the left wing of the NZ Labour Party.

SUP members studying at Lenin’s Institute For Higher Learning (aka the Institute for Social Sciences) in Moscow during the early 1980s were drilled extensively by their Soviet tutors on the advantages that could accrue to the Soviet Union from the election of a Labour Government in New Zealand.

They instructed in the way to bring this about. Using a time-honoured method practiced by western communist parties for decades, the trick was to use New Zealand communists to make Soviet designed policies into Labour Party policies and consequently New Zealand law.

- Step 1—New Zealand’s anti-nuclear policy’s were formulated in Moscow.
- Step 2—SUP members were indoctrinated by their Soviet tutors and sent back to New Zealand to promote anti-nuclearism through the peace movement, the unions and their secret members and sympathisers in the Labour Party. SUP members were to cite this “public groundswell” as a reason why Labour should adopt the SUP’s anti nuclear policies.
- Step 3—Get the anti-nuclear policies adopted as official Labour Party policy.
- Step 4—Keep SUP/union/peace movement pressure on the Labour government to ensure that the policies were passed into law.
- Step 5—Keep up the propaganda to achieve maximum public acceptance of Moscow’s policies.

By that time the SUP had substantial influence in Labour’s executive and policy council, so this process was not overly difficult. The Soviets were amazed at how easily their SUP minions were able to co-opt Labour to the anti-nuke cause.

By carefully playing all of its many strings in tune, the SUP was able to turn Soviet policy into Labour Party policy. By playing the patriotic and anti-American cards, the SUP was able to bring large numbers of New Zealand voters on board.

Today, New Zealand’s anti nuclear policies are regarded by virtually all Kiwis as an indigenous response to US “warmongering” and Cold War MADness. Only the tiniest

percentage would have any inkling at all of the Soviet origins of those policies.

That is the real “secret” of communism—the ability of communist or socialist parties to influence and infiltrate moderate leftist or liberal parties so that they become the unknowing conduit for communist policies.

It has been done countless times—through the British, Australian, and New Zealand labour parties, the French Socialist party, the German Social Democrats, the Canadian Liberals, and of course, the US Democratic Party.

Think Roosevelt’s “New Deal.” Think Johnson’s “Great Society.”

While the hundreds of spies in Roosevelt’s administration were a huge threat to US security, even more damaging were the legions of legal and illegal communists working through the government and the Democratic Party to move America left. Much of their work has never been undone. Much of it is now part of the American mainstream.

Move forward to the early 1990s.

The Soviet bloc has collapsed, the Communist Party USA is nearly extinct, the AFL-CIO is under anti-communist control. Nobody cares about Reds anymore.

Go to the late 1990s. John Sweeney is president of the AFL-CIO, he has joined Democratic Socialists of America, he has removed the bar on known communists holding office in his unions. The Communist Party is slowly growing, re-colonizing the unions, and is strengthening ties to Cuba and China. Democratic Socialists of America is working with both organizations, in the unions, in the peace movement, and through the Democratic Party’s more than 50 strong Congressional Progressive Caucus.

Move to now.

CPUSA, DSA, and to a lesser extent the much smaller CCDS now control AFL-CIO and the peace movement leadership body United For Peace & Justice. They have huge influence in the Congressional Progressive Caucus and in the US Senate.

Add to that their members, secret members, and sympathizers in countless state senates and mass organisations such as Jobs With Justice, American Rights at Work, USAction, ACORN, etc, etc, etc, and you start to get the picture.

US communists and their socialist allies are now in a better position to dictate Democratic Party policy than at any time since the 1930s.

This is what conservative and moderate Americans should fear. This is the REAL COMMUNIST THREAT.

If you want to know what the Democrats will push hardest in the next four years, read the Communist Party’s

Peoples Weekly World and *Political Affairs*. Read DSA’s *Democratic Left* or CoC’s *Portside*.

The agenda is all there. You know most of it already, you just don’t know where it came from.

The biggies include:

- Single Payer or socialized health care—designed to make the middle class more state dependent and Democrat loyal.

- Stop the Iraq war, preferably on terms as unfavorable as possible to long term US interests.

- Unionize as many people as possible (without secret ballots). Get those union dues flowing into communist and Democrat coffers. Grow that army of loyal Democrat election campaigners.

- Amnesty for Illegal Immigrants, immigration reform. Lock in millions of grateful new voters for the Democrats.

- Normalize relations with Cuba—keep that dagger-at-the-heart-of-America alive with US tourist dollars.

The list is endless. US communists have been using this technique for years to win symbolic victories, even under the Republicans. Where do you think the idea for a postage stamp honoring secret communist Paul Robeson came from? Or for a holiday honoring iconic union leader Cesar Chavez? Who made these people “icons” in the first place?

The far left has more influence in US society now than since FDR’s time.

Their “progressive” allies now dominate Congress and are strong in the US Senate. As CPUSA boss Sam Webb recently told party leaders, they also have “a friend” in Barack Obama.

What are friends for, if not to help you get what you want?

—therealbarackobama, January 3, 2009

Trevor Loudon: Communist Party Strategy for Obama’s America

by Brenda J. Elliott

The Obama File 50 “Communist Party Strategy for Obama’s America” by Trevor Loudon, posted November 14, 2008, on his blog, *The New Zeal*, is cross-posted at RBO with the author’s permission. Loudon’s first Obama

File was posted January 12, 2008.

Trevor writes: “The best way to determine the Obama administration’s likely agenda is to read the communist press.”

Very few US voters would have any inkling that the approximately 3,000 members of the Communist Party USA have a huge influence on the policy and direction of the Democratic Party.

The Communist Party and their Marxist allies in Democratic Socialists of America (DSA) and Committees of Correspondence for Democracy and Socialism (CCDS) have long abandoned violent revolution as the primary means of achieving power in the USA.

For many years CPUSA, DSA, and CCDS have worked to change America through infiltrating and influencing the Democratic Party.

This has been done by moving secret socialists into the Democrats, but more importantly, by the infiltration of socialist ideas and concepts into the DP’s program.

This is the real secret and the real threat of American socialism.

If the US ever completely succumbs to socialism, it will be because Marxist-controlled trade unions and pressure groups have managed to insinuate their policies into the Democratic Party and use their sympathisers to pass them into law.

The process is simple:

The Marxists come up with a policy.

They promote it through their hundreds of front organizations, to build real and/or fake public support.

Their sympathizers and secret members in the Democratic Party pick the policy up and promote it as their own, or as a response to “public opinion.”

The Democrats then pass the policy into law, with voters remaining completely unaware of its communist origin.

The Marxists move on to the next policy.

The best examples of communist/socialist inspired social change on a major scale were FDR’s “New Deal” of the 1930s and Johnson’s “Great Society” of the 1960s.

The American left sees the Obama presidency as an opportunity for “social change” on a scale never before seen in US history.

The strategy is to introduce socialized medicine,

greater union rights, and other social programs to move even conservative voters permanently into the Democratic Party camp. US Marxists want to emulate the communist-inspired programs of the post-World War 2 British Labour Party, which locked in the British working class behind Labour for several decades.

The Communist Party wants to make US workers completely dependent on the Democrats as a step on the road to socialism.

Below is an article from Political Affairs, the theoretical journal of the Communist Party USA.

Its author, Obama endorser Norman Markowitz, is a history professor at Rutgers University in New Jersey and a long-time Communist Party member.

Markowitz, like the rest of his party, is a strong supporter of Barack Obama

Markowitz explains communist strategy for an Obama presidency and the policy agendas the CPUSA wishes their new president to pursue.

Norman Markowitz: Obama’s Mandate for Change:

Obama won a solid victory in both the popular vote and the Electoral College and will have a solid majority in Congress.

Obama certainly has a mandate, and it is a mandate for change. Obama’s slogan, “Change We Can Believe In,” was reminiscent of slogans like the “New Deal” of Roosevelt’s 1932 campaign and the “Great Society” banner under which Johnson won in 1964. In the latter cases, those slogans translated into the major policy domestic agendas of those administrations.

For the people who elected Obama and the increased Democratic majority, “change we can believe in” isn’t about bailouts for corporations and banks. It isn’t about wearing American flag pins on your lapel while the military budget continues to escalate and bankers and corporate CEO’s wine and dine. “Change we can believe in” isn’t about a spruced up version of trickle down theory or the same policies behind a fresh face in the White House.

The Schwarz Report Bookshelf

To see a complete list of books recommended by the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade please check out our website at www.schwarzreport.org. This site also has back issues of *The Schwarz Report* as well as other great resources.

It is about reversing and repealing the policies that have both led to the immediate financial crisis and looming global depression. It is about ending the post-World War II policies that led to the long-term stagnation and decline of the labor movement. It is about creating a national public health care program more than 50 years after it was established in other major industrial nations, and handling a national debt which has increased 10 times since Ronald Reagan became president in 1981.

A “single payer” national health system—known as “socialized medicine” in the rest of the developed world—should be an essential part of the change that the core constituencies which elected Obama desperately need. Britain serves as an important political lesson for strategists. After the Labor Party established the National Health Service after World War II, supposedly conservative workers and low-income people under religious and other influences who tended to support the Conservatives were much more likely to vote for the Labor Party when health care, social welfare, education, and pro-working class policies were enacted by labor-supported governments.

In addition, passing the Employee Free Choice Act to make joining a union easier and to expand the base of union voters who supported Obama by nearly 50 points on Nov. 4 seems only logical. It would also provide a massive boost for working families struggling with stagnant incomes, high health care costs, retirement costs, and job insecurity.

The best way to win over the portion of the working class in the South or the West that supported McCain and the Republicans is to create important new public programs and improve the social safety net. National health care, significantly higher minimum wages, support for trade union organizing, aid to education should all be on the agenda. These programs will improve the quality of our lives directly, giving us greater security and establishing the social economic changes that will bring reluctant voters into the Obama coalition. That is how progress works.

The right-wing propaganda machine will

scream socialism, and that is also a good thing. Because the more socialism comes to be identified with real policies that raise the standard of living and improve the quality of life for the working class and the whole people, the more socialism will be looked at seriously. A stronger left that follows the tradition of the Communist Party in its unbreakable commitment to a socialist future and to educating people about the value and necessity of socialist policies in the present could follow.

Who wants to bet against my prediction that all the policy agendas suggested by Markowitz will be “on the table” during Obama’s first term?

—therealbarackobama, November 14, 2008

Communist Party Strategist Maps out Obama’s Agenda

by Aaron Klein

The enactment of a “single payer” socialist health-care system, passing laws to make joining a labor union easier, raising the minimum wage, and increasing labor union support—all these are just some of the policies the Communist Party USA has mapped out as crucial for Obama to push through during his term of office.

Just days after the party’s official newspaper lauded the role of labor unions in Obama’s election victory, another article in the Communist Party’s Political Affairs magazine by leading party member and Rutgers University history professor Norman Markowitz outlined the kind of “change” he expects Obama to bring to the U.S.

“For the people who elected Obama and the increased Democratic majority,” Markowitz writes, “‘change we can believe in’ isn’t about bailouts for corporations and banks. It isn’t about wearing American flag pins on your lapel. . . .

“It is about ending the post-World War II policies that led to the long-term stagnation and decline of the labor movement,” Markowitz writes. “It is about creating a national public health-care program more than 50 years after it was established in other major industrial nations, and handling a national debt which has increased 10 times

since Ronald Reagan became president in 1981.”

Markowitz urges Obama to implement a “single payer” national health system, or socialized universal medicine.

“A ‘single payer’ national health system . . . should be an essential part of the change that the core constituencies which elected Obama desperately need,” he writes.

As an Illinois state senator, Obama publicly supported universal health care and previously expressed support for “single payer,” although he later waffled. He also co-sponsored the Bernardin Amendment, which did not pass but which would have amended the Illinois State Constitution to add health care to the list of basic rights for residents.

Markowitz also expressed hope Obama will pass the Employee Free Choice Act, which he says would make joining a union easier and would relax current requirements on creating unions.

Markowitz calls for labor unions to “expand the base of union voters who supported Obama by nearly 50 points on Nov. 4 [2008].”

In an article last week titled, “Special Interest of Class Consciousness? How Labor Put Obama in the White House,” *Political Affairs* reported on polling data released that revealed the extent of union support for Obama.

The American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations, or AFL-CIO, sponsored a poll showing union members supported Obama by a 68-30 margin and strongly influenced their family members.

According to the survey, Obama won among white men who are union members by 18 points. Union gun-owners backed Obama by 12 points, while union veterans voted for Obama by a 25-point margin. In the general population, Obama lost these groups by significant margins.

Political Affairs quoted AFL-CIO President John Sweeney, a longtime member of the Boston chapter of the Democrat Socialists for America, expressing hope labor unions can continue working with Obama.

“We have taken the first crucial steps to build a better future for our children and grandchildren. And what we’ve seen—the stunning voter participation and the common call for change—is an indication of the history we can continue to make together,” Sweeney said.

“The election is just step one in delivering the change we need,” Sweeney said. “Working men and women are poised to keep the energy pumping to help the Obama administration lead. . . . There will be no gap or letdown.”

In his article, Markowitz goes on to suggest how Obama can win many Americans who voted for Sen. John McCain.

“The best way to win over the portion of the working class in the South or the West that supported McCain and the Republicans is to create important new public programs and improve the social safety net. National health care, significantly higher minimum wages, support for trade union organizing, aid to education should all be on the agenda. These programs will improve the quality of our lives directly, giving us greater security and establishing the social economic changes that will bring reluctant voters into the Obama coalition. That is how progress works,” he writes.

The New Zeal blog, which researched connections between Obama and the Communist Party USA, commented: “The best way to determine the Obama administration’s likely agenda is to read the communist press. Very few US voters would have any inkling that the approximately 3,000 members of the Communist Party USA have a huge influence on the policy and direction of the Democratic Party.”

Markowitz seemed to anticipate such attacks, and claimed it would be strategically beneficial if “the right-wing propagand machine” labels Obama’s policies as socialist.

“The right wing propagand machine will scream socialism, and that is also a good thing,” Markowitz writes. “Because the more socialism comes to be identified with real policies that raise the standard of living and improve the quality of life for the working class and the whole people, the more socialism will be looked at seriously.”

And once America learns to accept socialism as a good thing, Markowitz writes, the country can more easily be pulled even farther.

“A stronger left that follows the tradition of the Communist Party,” Markowitz writes, “in its unbreakable commitment to a socialist future and to educating people about the value and necessity of socialist policies in the present could follow.”

—WorldNetDaily, November 16, 2008

