



Dr. Fred Schwarz

The Schwarz Report

Merry Christmas!



Dr. David Noebel

Volume 50, Number 1

January 2010

Barack Obama's Radical Rogue's Gallery

by Phyllis Schlafly

Another kooky Barack Obama appointee became publicly known this month and quickly was thrown or voluntarily threw herself under the bus. Anita Dunn, the White House communications director (who led Obama's war on Fox News), said that Mao Tse-tung was one of her two favorite "political philosophers" whom "I turn to most" for answers to important questions.

History identifies Mao as a ruthless savage, not as a philosopher. He probably holds the record for ordering the mass murder of more people (50 to 100 million) than anyone else in history.

Dunn tried to claim that her statement was a joke, but anyone can look at her actual statement on YouTube and see that she spoke in deadly earnest. Dunn was part of Obama's inner circle and a senior media adviser during the 2008 presidential campaign.

Dunn's husband, Bob Bauer, an expert on campaign financing, fundraising, and voter mobilization, is Obama's personal lawyer. He has just been appointed White House Counsel, where he will be in charge of vetting Obama's appointees.

Obama's Green Jobs Czar, Van Jones, had to exit in disgrace after he admitted that "I was a Communist." We can thank Glenn Beck for exposing him.

Obama's Regulatory Czar, Cass Sunstein, wrote a book in 2008 in which he declared that the government "owns the rights to body parts of people who are dead or in certain hopeless conditions, and it can remove their organs without asking anyone's permission." So, after the death consultants authorized in Nancy Pelosi's health care bill convince you to reject life-saving procedures, the organ-transplant team can remove your body's organs immediately.

Czar Sunstein also argues that animals are entitled to have lawyers to sue humans in court. Bow, wow; more business for trial lawyers. His wife, Samantha Power, is now on Obama's National Security Council. She is famous for writing a Pulitzer Prize-winning book about genocide, which she defined so narrowly that it excluded Stalin and Mao.

Obama's nominee for the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Chai R. Feldblum, signed a 2006 manifesto endorsing polygamous households. This lengthy document, called "Beyond Same-Sex Marriage," argues that traditional marriage should not be "privileged above all others."

Obama's education appointments, who came out of the Chicago political machine right along with Rahm Emanuel and David Axelrod, will have nearly \$100 billion in new money to indoctrinate America's youth. Obama's Secretary of

Editor's Note: Due to the increase costs of printing, handling, and postage relative to the publishing of *The Schwarz Report* the next few issues could be your last unless we hear otherwise. Please let us know that you want to keep receiving our unique newsletter as the collision of worldviews becomes more intense and the quest for world government could indeed be closer than most of us wish to contemplate. While there is a general feeling that the Marxist/Leninist worldview is history, only the historically blinded could accept such a conclusion. The truth is there are six "evangelistic" worldviews vying for the world and Marxism is a major player. In these days of spiritual, political, and economic turmoil, all Christians need to be fully informed and motivated to "keep the faith." Your response to this note will be appreciated as we seek to place our house in order. *The Schwarz Report* is also available online at www.schwarzreport.org. If you prefer that option, let us know, and we will discontinue sending the publication by mail.

Education, Arne Duncan, is notorious for trying to start a gay high school in Chicago.

Obama's Safe Schools Czar, Kevin Jennings, founded the Gay, Lesbian, Straight Education Network (GLSEN), a homosexual activist group that now has thousands of chapters at high schools across the nation.

GLSEN chapters and materials have promoted sex between young teens and adults and sponsored "field trips" to gay pride parades. Jennings was the keynote speaker at a notorious GLSEN conference at Tufts University in 2000 at which HIV/AIDS coordinators discussed in detail, before an audience including area high school students, how to perform various homosexual acts.

Obama's Science Czar wrote in a college textbook that compulsory "green abortions" are an acceptable way to control population growth. We assume that what makes an abortion green is when the motive for the killing is population control to serve environmentalist dogma.

Affirmative action is in vogue in Obama's administration: his Diversity Czar has spoken publicly of getting white media executives to "step down" in favor of minorities. Obama's first appointment to the U.S. Supreme Court is a woman who said repeatedly that a "Latina woman" would make better judicial decisions than "a white male."

Obama's top lawyer at the State Department, Harold Hongju Koh, calls himself a transnationalist. That means wanting U.S. courts to "domesticate" foreign and international law, i.e., integrate it into U.S. domestic law binding on U.S. citizens.

Koh is eager to put us under a global legal system that would diminish our "distinctive rights culture," such as due process, trial by jury, and our First Amendment "protections for speech and religion" that give "far greater emphasis and judicial protection in America than in Europe or Asia." Under global governance, the United States will be forbidden to allow more freedom and constitutional rights than other countries.

When Obama's appointee for the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals, David Hamilton, was a District Court judge, he prohibited the Indiana State Legislature from giving an invocation that mentioned Jesus, while mention of Allah was allowed. Hamilton worked for ACORN and the ACLU, and even the liberal American Bar Association rated him "not qualified."

And we thought the Rev. Jeremiah Wright was an embarrassment to Barack Obama when he was running for President! We never dreamed Obama would actually appoint such a collection of weirdos.

—*Eagle Forum*, November 20, 2009

Toying Again With Socialism

by Phyllis Schlafly

The younger generation probably doesn't realize that the word Socialism means and connotes a system that is profoundly un-American. Socialism has virtually disappeared from our national lexicon since the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) collapsed because of Ronald Reagan's policies, and the National Socialist (Nazi) Party was destroyed by the U.S. in World War II.

The *American Heritage Dictionary* defines Socialism as a system of social organization in which the means of producing and distributing goods are owned by a centralized government that plans and controls the economy. Both *Webster* and *Random House* identify Socialism as a "Marxist theory."

Socialism requires a totalitarian system; that gives the ruling gang the power to distribute the fruits of other people's labor to its political pals. That is what is happening to the United States as President Obama proceeds with his goal of "remaking America."

Although the pro-Obama media have been propping up non-Republicans to lecture us about which topics Republicans are permitted to discuss, we are reminded of the old story about the candidate who challenged his opponent: "Let's have a clean campaign; if you don't tell any lies about me, I won't tell the truth about you."

Obama acolytes and their media sycophants have been telling lies about Republicans (starting with Gitmo and the CIA), so it's time for Republicans to tell the truth about Obama's dangerous and malicious Marxist policies to spread the wealth of taxpayers to non-taxpayers.

The Republican National Committee (RNC), which for years had been a stuffy establishment appendage, started to tell the truth on May 20 when it passed a resolution nailing the Obama Administration for "proposing, passing, and implementing Socialist programs through federal legislation" and pushing our country "towards European-style Socialism and government control." Nine "Whereases" spell out the political indictment in detail.

The RNC charges the Democratic Party with passing "trillions of dollars in new government spending, all with strings attached in order to control nearly every aspect of American life," "plans to nationalize the banking, financial and healthcare industries," "massive government bailouts for the mortgage and auto industries," and "paying states to increase their welfare caseloads" by reducing

work requirements and increasing handouts.

Another “Whereas” accuses the Democratic Party of “direct income redistribution” by taking taxes from one group of people and giving “direct cash transfers to another group of people who pay no federal income taxes.” The RNC accurately accuses the Democratic Party of taking us in a “European direction,” a path the American people have no desire to travel.

The RNC, which is the governing body of the Republican Party, has helpfully spelled out the differences between the two political parties. The “clear and obvious purpose” of the Democratic majority in Congress is to implement “Socialist programs through federal legislation” and to restructure American society “along Socialist ideals.”

Do the Republicans have an alternate vision of America's future? You bet they do. The Republicans recognize that free markets and free men, hard work and free enterprise, are the bedrock of American success and the only way to restore prosperity.

Unable to defend the march toward Socialism, Treasury Secretary Geithner just told the Washington Post that the RNC resolution is “ridiculous.”

Obama's takeover of the American auto industry is so breathtaking in scope and power that it proves all the RNC's accusations about Socialism. The takeover was followed by orders to close 789 local Chrysler dealers, notices to 1,100 General Motors franchises that they will be shut down by next year, and estimates that total dealer shutdowns will rise to 3,000.

Some of these local dealerships have been family-owned for generations. The Chrysler and GM dealership closings are estimated to eliminate 187,000 jobs, which is more than the number of people who work for the two automakers.

Now we can see the icing on the cake for the politicians who use economic dictatorship to punish those who resist Socialist planners. Nearly every one of the closed local dealerships had donated to Republican candidates, almost none was an Obama contributor, and some dealerships owned by Democrats are not getting the ax.

These closings come after Obama's extraordinary cash and stock favoritism to one of his principal campaign supporters, the United Auto Workers union. The Car Czar, Steve Rattner, was a top Democratic fundraiser (surprise, surprise!).

Obama seems to be captivated by the un-American notion of running the country through Russian-style czars empowered to issue czarist-style ukases. He has already named about 20 czars (more than the Russians had over

four centuries), and his latest is a Cyber Czar.

This year's deficit will be \$1.841 trillion—\$89 billion more than previously estimated. It's so difficult to explain to the American people the gigantic dimensions of what Obama is doing that we are suffering from what has been labeled “insensitivity to scope.”

We hope the RNC resolution will clarify Obama's goals and actions.

—*Eagle Forum*, June 5, 2009

Communism is Alive and Well

by Jeffrey T. Kuhner

Communism is alive and well. The Berlin Wall—the symbol of a divided Europe during the Cold War—fell on Nov. 9, 1989. Celebrations will be held Monday to commemorate the 20th anniversary of that historic event.

The fall of the Berlin Wall is widely considered the defining moment marking the collapse of communism. Eastern Europe was liberated from Russian occupation. Two years later, the Soviet Union disintegrated. From the rubble emerged newly independent states. The Baltic nations—Lithuania, Latvia, and Estonia—regained the freedom they had lost during World War II. Even Ukraine escaped from Russian subjugation, attaining its dream of national statehood. The Soviet empire's implosion represented a great advance in human freedom, enabling hundreds of millions of people to escape communist tyranny.

“The world can sigh in relief. The idol of communism, which spread everywhere social strife, animosity, and unparalleled brutality, which instilled fear in humanity, has collapsed,” Russia's first post-communist president, Boris Yeltsin, said in an address to the U.S. Congress. “It has collapsed, never to rise again.”

Mr. Yeltsin was wrong. Far from being dead and buried, communism remains a potent force—one that is still a threat to Western nations that value freedom and capitalism.

This is because the ideological roots of communism have not been defeated. Rather than being polar opposites, fascism and Marxism are evil twins. They are both socialist ideologies that espouse one-party rule, economic collectivism and social regimentation. They are implacably opposed to capitalism, the sovereignty of the family and Judeo-Christian civilization. They are aggressively imperialist, seeking world domination.

The major difference between them is that while Marxism champions the creativity of class conflict, viewing the working class as the engine of historical revolution, fascism embraces the primacy of primordial impulses—race, blood and soil. Yet it is no accident that the most important fascist leaders, such as Adolf Hitler and Benito Mussolini, considered themselves to be men of the left. They were “national socialists” who fused statism with xenophobic nationalism.

History is repeating itself. Today, the communist virus has simply mutated into its fascist offspring.

Russian strongman Vladimir Putin is an ex-KGB official who has called the breakup of the Soviet Union “the greatest tragedy of the 20th century.” He has erected a militaristic, authoritarian petro-state that seeks to restore the Great Russian Empire. Moscow is meddling in the internal affairs of its neighbors. It has waged a genocidal campaign in Chechnya. It has launched a war of aggression against the republic of Georgia. It has swallowed up Belarus. Mr. Putin told former President George W. Bush that Ukraine is “not even a state,” demanding that Kiev join a new pan-Slavic union.

Moscow is also using economic blackmail, especially by exploiting Europe’s growing dependency on Russian oil and natural gas supplies, to intimidate its former satellite states. Mr. Putin even forced President Obama to scuttle a missile defense system for Poland and the Czech Republic—effectively abandoning Eastern Europe to Russia’s sphere of influence. In short, Mr. Putin is slowly reconstituting the old communist order under the veneer of nationalism.

So is China. For the past several decades, Beijing’s communist regime has jettisoned central planning and collectivization in favor of market-driven growth under a one-party Leninist state. Yet economic liberalization has not led to political pluralism. In fact, the very opposite has happened: The ruling elite have become more entrenched, gaining increasing legitimacy from China’s booming economy. The country remains littered with slave-labor camps. Dissent is crushed. Christians and the Falun Gong are persecuted. Dissidents are rotting in jails.

More ominously, Beijing is using its newfound wealth to embark upon a massive military buildup. It champions ultranationalism and ethnic revanchism. It threatens Taiwan. It continues to suppress Tibet, slowly strangling the conquered nation. It is systematically ethnically cleansing Muslim tribes in the western borderlands, importing Han Chinese to dilute the native populations. China’s goal is to become the master of Asia, eclipsing America as the dominant power in the Pacific.

Moscow and Beijing have not abandoned their rivalry with the West, especially the United States. They are at the heart of an international neo-Marxist alliance that aims to curtail and undermine American power. They have provided economic and military support to Stalinist North Korea. They are aiding Hugo Chavez’s Venezuela to export his Bolivarian socialist revolution across Latin America—including the building of a nuclear program. They are helping prop up Fidel Castro’s Cuba. They have sold vital missile and nuclear technology to Iran’s apocalyptic mullahs. They are constantly obstructing the global war against terror. Whether it be Iraq, Pakistan, Sudan, Hezbollah, or Hamas—American and Israeli efforts to defeat Islamist militants have been opposed vigorously by the Russian-Chinese axis.

Communism did not die in 1989. Like a chameleon, it changed from Marxist internationalism to national socialism; Leninist red became fascist black. Its core, however, remains the same: hatred for America and everything for which it stands. The West may have smashed the Soviet empire, but not the monstrous ideology that spawned it. That lives on. Call it Cold War 2.0.

—*The Washington Times*, November 8, 2009, p. B1

Communism’s Enablers and Excusers

by Cal Thomas

On Nov. 9, 1989, the Berlin Wall was pulled down to the consternation of leftists, who still had faith socialism could work with the right leaders, and to the delight of conservatives, who believed that socialism and communism guaranteed mutually shared poverty.

Two years later, the Soviet Union crumbled. Soviet communism might not have endured for 70 years had it not been for enablers in academia, religion and journalism. Lloyd Billingsley has written about them in *The Generation That Knew Not Josef*, as in Stalin.

When the wall fell, leftists could not bring themselves to admit they had been wrong, much less apologize for their misplaced faith. So they did what they do best: They made excuses.

The Media Research Center (MRC) has compiled reports, editorials, articles, and commentary that extend over the last 22 years revealing how the pre-Fox, pre-talk-radio liberal media were the handmaidens of one of the greatest totalitarian evils to strike the planet. The underlying myth in much of their “reporting,” notes the MRC’s

Rich Noyes, was that free-market capitalism was a greater threat to human happiness than communism.

Reading these quotes, in light of history, resembles a “Saturday Night Live” comedy skit.

In 1987, before the wall collapsed, CBS anchor Dan Rather said, “Despite what many Americans think, most Soviets do not yearn for capitalism or Western-style democracy.”

Strobe Talbott, then of *Time* magazine and soon to be an influential member of the Clinton administration, wrote on Jan. 1, 1990, “[Soviet leader] Gorbachev is helping the West by showing that the Soviet threat isn’t what it used to be, and what’s more, that it never was.” How is it possible to simultaneously have been a threat, but not a threat? The millions who died in gulags, starved to death or were assassinated might have a different interpretation of Russian history under communism.

After the liberation of Eastern Europe, according to the MRC, some journalists attacked capitalism for “exploiting” the newly freed workers. A *Los Angeles Times* reporter touted “communism’s ‘good old days,’ when the hand of the state crushed personal freedom but ensured that people were housed, employed, and had enough to eat.”

In fact, Soviet communism spread misery through its own 12 time zones and in many parts of the world, although NBC’s John Chancellor refused to see it. In 1991, as the Soviet coup unraveled, Chancellor said, “the problem isn’t communism; nobody even talked about communism this week. The problem is shortages.” Wait, according to the *Los Angeles Times* reporter, there were no shortages because everyone was housed, employed, and had enough to eat. Both can’t be true.

Ted Turner, the former CNN mogul, is always good for an outlandish quote, and when it came to Soviet Russia, he offered a cornucopia of self-deluded statements, none better than this one: “[Mr. Gorbachev is] moving faster than Jesus Christ did.” But *Time* magazine bested him with this howler when it described Mr. Gorbachev as both “the communist pope and the Soviet Martin Luther.”

Never ones to admit failure for their favorite theories, the left still refuses to acknowledge their errors. They simply moved on to new errors, in this case to Cuba. In 2006 an Associated Press story said, “For all its flaws, life in Cuba has its comforts. Many Cubans take pride in their free education system, high literacy rates, and top-notch doctors. Ardent Castro supporters say life in the United States, in contrast, seems selfish, superficial and—despite its riches—ultimately unsatisfying.” Is that why so many Cubans have risked their lives to reach America?

Again, Ted Turner on North Korean dictator Kim

Jong-il: “I saw a lot of people over there. They were thin and they were riding bicycles instead of driving cars.” An incredulous Wolf Blitzer replied, “A lot of those people are starving.” Mr. Turner said, “I didn’t see any brutality.” (Read more at www.mrc.org.)

The point is not only to hold the media accountable for its past sins, but also to remind them they are making the same mistakes today with different enemies—radical Islam and the Chinese brand of communism. No wonder the public trusts them about as much as they trust Congress.

—*The Washington Times*, November 5, 2009, p. A 21

The Coming New World Order

by Jeffrey T. Kuhner

President Obama is on a path toward establishing a one-world government. This is the warning of Christopher Monckton, a former major policy adviser to British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher.

In December, world leaders will descend upon Copenhagen to sign a United Nations climate change treaty that will succeed the 1997 Kyoto Protocol, which is aimed at reducing greenhouse gases and set to expire in 2012. An agreement has been drafted.

The goal of the Copenhagen treaty is to erect an international cap-and-trade regime to curb carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions, said to be responsible for man-made global warming. Recently, British Prime Minister Gordon Brown warned of a “climate catastrophe”—a rising wave of floods, droughts and shrinking food crops—unless the treaty is signed. Mr. Brown even said global warming would inflict more damage than both world wars and the Great Depression combined; the world has only several weeks to save itself from impending doom.

“If we do not reach a deal at this time, let us be in no doubt: once the damage from unchecked emissions growth is done, no retrospective global agreement, in some future period, can undo that choice,” he said. Mr. Brown has thus outdone former Vice President Al Gore in fear-mongering and inciting public hysteria.

Global-warming alarmists are using the myth of climate change to impose an embryonic socialist world government. Following the collapse of communism, the West’s progressive elites desperately searched for a viable ideological alternative. They found it in environmentalism.

Although the Green movement wraps itself in the flag of empirical science, it represents the very opposite: a

dogma that provides meaning and purpose to its rabid followers. The ideology justifies massive tax increases and government control of the economy; it seeks to cripple free enterprise and curtail market-driven growth. Many of today's Greens are yesterday's Reds.

Global warming is the greatest fraud of our time. The overwhelming scientific evidence shows that, rather than getting hotter, the Earth's temperatures are cooling. Increasing numbers of leading scientists are challenging the flawed computer models used by eco-alarmists.

Mr. Gore and his supporters cannot answer several simple questions. If the Earth's temperatures are no longer rising, then how can CO2 emissions be responsible for global warming? How could previous dramatic increases in global temperatures—such as the end of the Ice Age—have taken place without concentrations of CO2? The answer is obvious: Carbon emissions are not connected to fluctuations in global temperatures.

The mad drive for an international cap-and-trade system is really geared toward achieving the left's long-sought goal: the destruction of democratic capitalism and national sovereignty. The Greens are poised to succeed where the Reds failed.

The Copenhagen treaty must still be negotiated. Final agreement is far from certain, especially from emerging industrial powers like China, India, and Brazil. Yet the draft version is clear about the treaty's essential elements.

It calls for a massive transfer of wealth from the developed world to the developing world. The United States would be forced to spend billions of dollars a year in foreign aid to pay for a so-called "climate debt"—a provision to punish wealthy countries for having historically emitted large amounts of CO2, while compensating poor ones for not contributing to greenhouse gases.

The Copenhagen treaty seeks to implement a bureaucratic redistributionist agenda; it is a way for Third World kleptocracies to extort enormous sums of money from America and other rich nations.

Moreover, Mr. Monckton points out that, in paragraph 38, Annex 1, the Copenhagen draft calls for a U.N.-created "government" responsible for taxation, enforcement and redistribution. In other words, the draft treaty explicitly demands that the world body erect an international mechanism with the power to impose emission-reduction targets

for each country, determine acceptable levels of CO2, and levy global taxes.

The United States would lose control over its environmental policy. Also, it would sign its death warrant as a functioning democracy, enabling the United Nations to administer a fledgling world government possessing the authority to regulate and tax the American economy. The treaty is a sword aimed at the heart of our national sovereignty.

If Mr. Obama signs the Copenhagen treaty, he "will sign your freedom, your democracy, and your prosperity away forever," Mr. Monckton recently told an audience in Minnesota. "I read that treaty and what it says is this: that a world government is going to be created."

Yet the U.S. Senate can avoid this disastrous course. A supermajority of 67 votes is required to ratify the treaty. In 1997, the Senate in a 95-0 vote rejected the Kyoto Protocol, thereby preventing the United States from joining. Mr. Monckton believes that, in order to avoid defeat, Mr. Obama will try to circumvent the ratification process. If he does, he will spark a political revolt that will make the Tea Party protests look tame by comparison.

Mr. Obama has vowed to create a "green economy" based on "green-collar jobs" and "a green New Deal." The Copenhagen treaty would enable him to accomplish his revolutionary ambitions. It would mark his Cultural Revolution—the permanent transformation of America.

—*The Washington Times*, October 25, 2009, p. B3

The Communist Revolution Lives

by Screwtape X

Comrades:

I would like to thank all those who have made the Communist Revolution in the United States a reality. As a party member since 1960, I am thrilled that we have met nearly all of our 45 strategic goals (see *The Naked Communist* by W. Cleon Skousen, the Ensign Publishing Co., 1961, pages 259-262). We now have achieved one-party rule (goal No. 15) and celebrate our diversity with

The Schwarz Report Bookshelf

To see a complete list of books recommended by the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade please check out our website at www.schwarzreport.org. This site also has back issues of *The Schwarz Report* as well as other great resources.

our Socialists, Marxists, Maoists, and Stalinist comrades in Congress.

Our leader, President Obama, has been the finest student of Saul Alinsky, the communist community organizer (see Alinsky's book *Rules for Radicals*).

We control the education system through the college level. We control the media. We control your labor through the tax code. We control the insurance industry through AIG, the financial industry through CITI Bank, Wall Street through Goldman Sachs, and the automobile industry through GM and Chrysler. Soon, we will control the energy industry through Cap-and-Trade.

We will also physically control the masses through health care reform.

In addition, America will give up its sovereignty to the U.N. through climate-change treaties.

The Tea Party members have been a nuisance, but they have been effectively marginalized by the media. We will silence them by controlling the Internet through net neutrality. We will also have Mark Lloyd at the FCC working to silence Fox News and talk radio in the same manner as our comrade Hugo Chavez did in Venezuela.

Some of you may laugh and say all of this is silly. I want to thank you most of all. Without your apathy and denial of what is before your eyes, this would not have been possible.

—*Home Town News*, November 5, 2009

Blacklisted by History

by Wes Vernon

Our topic this week concerns enemy tactics against the United States and the urgent necessity to fight back. The occasion is the re-release of *Blacklisted by History*. Therein, author M. Stanton Evans—whose focus is Senator Joseph McCarthy—gives us a fascinating tutorial on the Soviet effort to undermine our country before, during, and after the “McCarthy era,” and some lessons that can be learned as today we face an alliance of the hard left and the Islamofascist threat.

At a private discussion late last year, the topic of internal security arose with a focus on the “political correctness” that induces public figures—including many who are elected—to brush off the danger of radical Islamist terrorism.

The comment was made that what America needs right now is someone to kick over the settings of Washington's

garden party of political denial—perhaps a 21st century Joe McCarthy.

“We’ll probably have one during this next administration,” said one participant in the chat. (This conversation took place when it appeared likely that we would have an Obama White House.)

The significance of that last comment is that it came from someone who had some firsthand knowledge of the McCarthy investigations during the Wisconsin senator's time. At the time of our conversation, many in the media were sitting on the information that candidate Obama had been influenced by such communists as Bill Ayers, Bernardine Dohrn, and Frank Marshall Davis.

What we did not know then, of course, was that a self-avowed communist—Van Jones—would become President Obama's “green czar”—and that he would be exposed and forced out of his job—no thanks to most of the mainstream media, which ignored the story. Nor did we know then that the future president would appoint to high office people who—for example—would sing the praises of such communist tyrants as China's bloodthirsty tyrant Mao Zedong (Anita Dunn) and Venezuelan dictator Hugo Chavez (Mark Lloyd).

M. Stanton Evans' 2007 bombshell *Blacklisted by History: the Untold Story of Senator Joe McCarthy and his fight Against America's Enemies* is now in book stores in paperback—which means you can buy the 604-page volume with a lighter purse or wallet.

Senator McCarthy took up the cause that he did when it was evident that high officials and much of the media were either ignoring or covering up widespread communist infiltration of the U.S. government. The most well-publicized case prior to McCarthy's appearance on the anti-communist scene was that of Alger Hiss, who had held several responsible positions in government, including the Agriculture Department, the State Department, and as an adviser to Franklin Roosevelt when he was negotiating with Soviet butcher Joseph Stalin as to what the world map would look like after World War II. Thanks in part to Hiss's influence, Stalin got the best of that deal.

We are paying the price for such U.S./Stalin disasters to this day. For example, North Korea—one of the five remaining official communist nations—has been building a nuclear arsenal that may yet pose a serious threat—assuming that Iran, an Islamofascist state, doesn't get there first.

That does not begin to describe other lasting bitter fruits of communist subversion in McCarthy's time—such as Red China's growing power today. Chinese subversives have stolen us blind—including secrets from our Los

Alamos nuclear laboratory in New Mexico—the same locale where the Rosenbergs a half century earlier had done some of their dirty work for the Soviet Union. China would not be communist today were it not for friends of China's Reds in our own government who were pursued by the senator in his era.

In reading *Blacklisted by History*, there is an unavoidable eerie feeling that fifties history is repeating itself today. Alibis, cover-ups, denials, and deceit that were the enemy's weapons then are no less evident now—more than 50 years later.

Back then, the alibis for Alger Hiss, Owen Lattimore, Harry Dexter White, and others covered the full range of contortions and logic stretched to the breaking point, just as today we are hearing silly alibis for bald-faced evidence of scientific lying and cheating to ram through a Marxist-style climate/energy proposal that would put us and our economy in a communist-like straightjacket. (See this column last week "Climategate: Let the investigations begin.") As we have noted before, some of yesterday's Reds are some of today's Greens. When the Soviet Union collapsed, its acolytes in the U.S. and elsewhere did not just go away.

And while we're on the subject of Climategate: Senator James Inhofe wants an investigation into the lying, cheating, and deceit exposed in the e-mails of scientists cooking the books in order to advance the hoax of "man-made global warming." Senator Barbara Boxer, on the other hand, wants to turn that on its head and go after the whistleblower for his/her "theft" of the e-mails. Never mind that the policy issues in the content of the e-mails dwarfs the methodology used to make them public. If a crime was committed in how they were obtained, it can still be prosecuted. But that does not—or should not—overshadow the fraud revealed in the e-mails themselves.

Hmmm—now somehow this has a familiar ring—Oh! I know. How often in the fifties we heard lectures saying, yes we know he's going after communists, but he must be destroyed for his "methods."

In this era, an Islamic radical—Major Nidal Malik Hasan—in the name of "Allah" guns down 13 of his fellow military people in cold blood at Ft. Hood, and right away, the first thing the chattering class worries about is "Ooooooh! Too bad his name isn't Smith. Certainly we don't want to comfort the purveyors of 'Islamophobia.'" And if that doesn't complete the whitewash, there is always the Council on American Islamic Relations (CAIR)—a de facto front for radical Islam—which peddles the notion that terrorists are "just criminals."

In the "McCarthy era," Brigadier-General Ralph Zwicker clearly lied under oath in connection with the issue of retaining a communist, Irving Peress, in the Army and then compounding the outrage by promoting him. Ultimately, Zwicker himself was rewarded with his own promotion. True to the history of denial usually written by the winners (deserving or not), Zwicker comes off as a martyr, whereas McCarthy is—as Evans writes—"blacklisted by history."

Then, as now, the enemy and its echo chamber in "respectable" circles turn everything on its head and get away with it.

Barack Hussein Obama—having been influenced by communists and having appointed pro-communists to high positions in his administration—gives strong weight to the wishes of his radical left base before making any meaningful decision.

How else to explain his administration's decision to pull Khalid Sheikh Mohammed—the mastermind of 9/11—out of an ongoing military trial process and shift him and four others to face a civil court trial in New York City, with all the rights and privileges accorded ordinary horse thieves.

Just as CAIR is willing to identify radical Islamic terrorists as mere "criminals," so too is the communist-created National Lawyers Guild. The NLG's executive director, Heidi Bogoshian, has said that with the upcoming trial of Khalid Sheikh Mohammed and others, "we remain concerned that defense lawyers will shrink from the obligations of vigorous, effective representation."

Translation: Bring on the show-trial. Bend the law to turn terrorists loose in downtown Manhattan. Put the Bush administration on trial, while providing a platform for the terrorists who pleaded guilty in the military system, but now are pleading not guilty. That circus essentially is what the partners in the alliance of the far left and radical Islam are advocating. They would love nothing better than to use the 9/11 plotters trial to brand such patriots as Dick Cheney as "war criminals." Put America on trial. And for what? To satisfy the mindset shared by America's enemies—terrorist and communist—namely a deep-seated hatred for the United States.

Thus the push to excuse or ignore America's enemies as enemies continues today and replicates the blind eye to genuine threats that prevailed in high places and that frustrated Senator McCarthy in his day. Traitors were excused then. Terrorists are excused now.

—*RenewAmerica.com*, December 9, 2009