

CACC

NEWSLETTER

November 1, 1984

SHOULD PRESIDENT REAGAN HOLD TALKS WITH THE SOVIET LEADERS

Can you think of anyone with whom you consider it useless to argue? Most of us can. We know individuals who have opinions, convictions, and prejudices which are so fixed that they are impervious to both evidence and logic. They seem to be governed by the principle, "my mind is made up, don't confuse me with the facts."

Paranoia

Sometimes these deep-seated beliefs are delusions in such conflict with reality that the individual is diagnosed as mentally ill. Victims of deep systematized delusions suffer from paranoia.

Usually these delusions are relatively harmless, but sometimes they are deadly. I remember a young man who informed me, while I was still a medical student, that the American servicemen stationed in Brisbane, Australia, were seeking to kill him. At that time the city of Brisbane was the headquarters of General McArthur and the US forces in the war with Japan. My attempts to change his convictions by evidence and assurances were unsuccessful. Convinced that his life was in danger, he proceeded to shoot and kill two unsuspecting and benign American servicemen.

His false beliefs led to his deadly criminal conduct and his confinement in an institution for the rest of his life. What he believed became the source of his conduct, his character, and his destiny.

Sometimes delusional beliefs are shared by a number of people. They may give rise to superstitions such as "the No. 13 is unlucky", and certain religious beliefs. They may also give rise to doctrines of racial superiority and justify the aggression of one nation against another.

Delusions and Deadly Deeds

History records many tragedies which were caused by erroneous beliefs. Is it possible to understand what is taking place in Iran without considering the doctrines articulated by the Ayatollah Khomeini? The slaughter of the Jews in Germany resulted from the false doctrines concerning the Jews that dominated the mind of Adolph Hitler. The extermination of nearly half the population of Cambodia was the result of the Marxist beliefs in the mind of Pol Pot and his colleagues.

The Bible teaches that we are what we believe. "As a man thinketh in his heart, so is he."

Communist Believers

The communists are believers, and their actions and objectives are determined by their beliefs. The attempt to interpret communist conduct without giving due consideration to basic communist doctrines is foredoomed to failure. It is only possible to understand what people are doing, and to predict what they are likely to do, when we know what they believe.

The misinterpretation and erroneous predictions of the actions of Soviet leaders are due to the failure to give adequate consideration to Marxist-Leninist doctrine. Most alleged experts in foreign affairs have been reared in a liberal educational environment. They find it difficult to conceive that doctrines, which appear ridiculous to them, may be powerful motivating forces in those who do believe them. They tend to think that professed beliefs by apparently intelligent leaders are a cynical veneer. Consequently they ignore the role of Marxist-Leninist doctrine when making their judgments on the character and motivation of the Soviet leaders. Soviet conduct is interpreted in terms of Russian history, national pride, economic advantage, and personal self-aggrandizement rather than Marxist-Leninist

doctrines.

President Carter and Afghanistan

One consequence of the failure to appreciate the significance of communist doctrine was the astonishment and confusion of President Carter when the Soviet troops invaded Afghanistan.

The invasion of Afghanistan was the predictable application of the Brezhnev Doctrine which states that conquests by communism are irreversible and must be maintained by the armed forces of other communist-ruled countries if this is necessary. The Brezhnev Doctrine is a logical application of the Marxist teaching that history has ordained inevitable progress towards world conquest by communists.

Negotiations With Communist Leaders

Recently there has been incessant clamor from politicians, editors, columnists, academicians, and television commentators that President Reagan arrange top-level talks with the leaders of the Soviet Union. It is affirmed or assumed that such talks diminish the probability of nuclear war while the absence of talks brings us to the verge of nuclear holocaust.

This conviction is contradicted by the facts of history. Peace talks with the Japanese were in progress when the bombs were dropped on Pearl Harbor. During the era of Detente, when top-level talks between the leaders of the Soviet Union and the USA were frequent, the USSR invested an increasing portion of its resources in nuclear and conventional weapons and overtook the U.S. lead in nuclear armaments which had existed up to that time. Talks can serve as a camouflage for aggressive preparations and deeds.

The Soviet Viewpoint

The record of the results of previous negotiations with the communist rulers of the Soviet Union is not encouraging. As Richard Pipes, Baird Professor of History at Harvard, writes in the August, 1984 edition of Commentary magazine:

"Experience of the past sixty-seven years indicates that no attempt to influence Soviet behavior has succeeded: neither diplomatic ostracism, nor Yalta-like concessions, nor nuclear threats, nor economic bribery. This record of failure indicates that the cause of Soviet aggression lies deeper—that it is systemic. If this is the case, then it is vain to hope to modify Soviet behavior without modifications in the system which causes it." (Page 13)

The leaders of the Soviet Union view the world quite differently from their counterparts in the USA. They see a world which is divided into two conflicting camps as many US leaders do. However, to them the conflict is not caused by human will but by the operation of economic forces which are guided by history. They believe that the conflict must lead to a foreordained outcome.

The two forces in conflict are the proletariat and the bourgeoisie. Expressed in simple terms, the proletariat consists of workers of the world while the bourgeoisie consists of the businessmen. The communists are sure that the proletariat is led by the Soviet Union, while the United States leads the bourgeoisie, through the actions of Imperialism.

From the Soviet viewpoint, the outcome is not in doubt. It will be, to quote the Soviet leader, Konstantin Chernenko, the establishment of the "World Dictatorship of the Proletariat."

The Soviet leaders believe that top-level talks are battles which they must fight on behalf of the world proletariat. Such battles must involve retreats as well as advances and utilize the weapons of diplomacy and propaganda as well as military might. Agreements reached are truces that minister to the ultimate victory.

Many of those demanding that President Reagan engage immediately in summit talks with the Soviet leaders ignore this reality. They assume that both sides share common ideas and values. They see the negotiators as people motivated by similar objectives even as both sides in most negotiations between management and labor desire the continuance and prosperity of the firm so that profits can be made and higher wages can be paid. How different it is when one party is dominated by the desire to conquer the firm or to destroy it.

Semantic Confusion

Negotiations are difficult when both parties use the same words with totally different meanings. The communists have their own meaning for such words as progress, reaction, revolution, counterrevolution, coexistence, cold war, detente, truth and peace.

This basic disagreement concerning the meaning of words leads to confusion. Take the words, revolution and counterrevolution. To the communists, revolution is glorious and must be supported wholeheartedly while counterrevolution is evil and must be opposed. The problem arises in deciding what actions are revolutionary and which are counterrevolutionary. One man's revolution is another man's counterrevolution. Sometimes this confusion involves the communists themselves. One group of communists claims that the extermination of nearly half the population of Cambodia by the Pol Pot forces was revolutionary while another claims it was counterrevolutionary.

Communist "Hypocrisy"

The conflicting interpretation of words accounts for much of the apparent hypocrisy of the communists. Consider their strange and irrational attitude to nuclear missiles. They deployed over 300 SS-20 missiles in Eastern Europe so that all Western Europe was threatened with nuclear destruction. Each missile has three warheads.

After the SS-20's had been deployed, in order to deter their use, the USA and its NATO allies deployed Cruise and Pershing missiles with nuclear warheads in Western Europe.

The Soviet cries of outrage rent the heavens. This was a heinous aggressive act which threatens all Europe with imminent destruction. The communists have mobilized millions to protest this evil deed.

The problem is that this is not Soviet hypocrisy. They actually believe that their own missiles are essentially peaceful in nature while those of the USA are aggressive. This conviction is derived from their conviction that the communist conquest of the world will bring about universal peace. Therefore, victory is peace. Any act that helps communist victory is essentially peaceful.

Victory Through Strength

When the Soviet Foreign Minister, Andrei Gromyko, spoke to the recent UN General Assembly, he berated the USA for its policy of "peace through strength" and insisted that the USA should be judged by deeds, not words.

If we apply the standards of Gromyko to the Soviet Union, what do we find?

While talking peace the Soviet Union built the largest force of nuclear weapons and the greatest store of conventional weapons the world has ever seen. Their objective was to attain maximum "strength" to assure "peace". Since their "peace" is synonymous with victory, their policy has been and is "victory through strength".

What hypocrisy for the architect and supporter of this program to berate the USA for a policy of "peace through strength".

Alas! It is not hypocrisy. The communist leaders believe that weapons in Soviet hands are revolutionary while those in US hands are counterrevolutionary. The former are benign, while the latter are evil. The weapons in Soviet hands are instruments of peace.

Fruits of Negotiation

Is it possible to take advantage of communist doctrines and to use them for desirable ends? I believe that it is. For example, the Soviet leaders are assured by their doctrines that their victory is inevitable, without nuclear war. This makes them exceedingly reluctant to engage in nuclear war. Why should they destroy the magnificent treasures they believe they are destined to inherit? They will be cautious and seek to avoid situations which might actually cause nuclear conflict. Thus, their primary weapon is nuclear blackmail rather than nuclear destruction.

Fortunately, President Reagan understands basic communist doctrine. Unfortunately, he is subject to enormous pressures from those who do not. This makes his position extremely difficult as top-level talks with immediate positive results are demanded.

The communists are skilled manipulators of the instruments that form public opinion in the USA. Public opinion is a potent political force in a democracy and those who control it exercise considerable control over national policies.

The conduct of negotiations with the Soviet Union requires patience, skill, perseverance, and, above all, an understanding of communist doctrine.

LEGISLATING MORALITY

The communist program to conquer the U.S.A. demands demoralization. Their formula for conquest is: "External encirclement, plus internal demoralization, plus thermonuclear blackmail lead to progressive surrender."

The moral standards that exist in the U.S.A. bear a direct relation to the maintenance of freedom. Morality is the necessary foundation for freedom.

We often hear it said that we cannot legislate morality. What nonsense.

Murder is a religious and moral issue. The sixth commandment states: "Thou shalt not kill." Do laws against murder force the religious doctrines of the Jews and Christians upon members of other faiths or upon those of no faith?

We also often hear it said that it is no use passing laws that people will not obey.

Should the laws against murder be abolished because they are ineffective? Despite them, some people continue to murder others.

The argument that one may believe the baby in the womb is alive, but that one is not justified in legislating to prevent the killing of the living baby because some religions teach that killing a living baby is sinful, is an insult to human intelligence.

DEFINED BY ENEMIES

A man may be known by his enemies as well as by his friends. The enmity of the U.S. Communists towards President Reagan is intense and bitter. This special appeal was issued by the Central Committee of the Communist Party, U.S.A. and published as a full-page statement in the October 4 edition of the Daily World

2?D. Daily World Thursday, October 4, 1984

"Every Day A Day of Action To Defeat Reaganism!"

The following is a special appeal issued by the Central Committee of the Communist Party, USA.

We call on everyone dedicated to, the defeat of Reagan to take action. Now is the time for 30 days of sustained practical tasks. The outcome of the elections by no means decided.

The American people face the challenge of the century. The stakes have never been higher.

With Ronald Reagan as its front, the extreme right not only captured the Republican convention ?It captured the Republican Party.

These ultra-right, fascist-tinged forces will determine the policies of the government if Reagan is re-elected and Reaganites are elected to Congress. They are anti-democratic, anti-labor, racist and anti-Semitic. They are out to destroy the labor movement on behalf of the giant corporations, dismantle civil rights won in generations of struggle,

embark on new military Interventions and increase the danger of nuclear confrontation.

In short, they represent the greatest threat to our country, to its Constitution and Bill of Rights since the founding of the nation.

But they can be checked. The country can be turned around to a course of peace, jobs and equality.

This ultra-right mob can be halted IF a powerful anti-Reagan front is welded, a vast unity of people's forces united in a single-minded mission to defeat Reagan and Reaganism on November 6.

These people's forces exist and are becoming increasingly active. Organized labor, the Afro-American community, the Chicano, Puerto Rican and all other racially and nationally oppressed peoples are solidly in the anti-Reagan front.

The Jesse Jackson movement brings tremendous vigor and impetus to the all-people's anti-Reagan front.

The peace, women's, family farmers, seniors, youth and other people's movements are part of this democratic alignment of anti-Reagan forces. John Anderson and the independents who supported him in 1980 have also enlisted in the struggle.

To unite these varied forces into a common front should be the sacred duty of every foe of Reaganism and the ultra-right.

Despite the White House blitz, despite the slanted polls, the next four weeks will really tell the story. They are the decisive weeks for the defeat of Reagan. No other task can be more Important.

We call upon every Communist Party member, every member of the Young Communist League, every reader of this paper, every anti-Reagan force, to exert all their energy in these crucial days to make every day a day of action against Reaganism:

- In the few remaining days where voter registration is possible register every single anti-Reagan voter.
- Distribute every piece of literature exposing the ultra-right and Reaganism. We especially urge the widest circulation of the pamphlet by Gus Hall entitled, "The New Danger: Reaganism's Alliance with Ultra-Right and Fascist Forces."
- Make the mass rallies with Gus Hall and Angela Davis mobilizations for a mass turnout of anti-Reagan voters on Election Day.
- Talk with fellow workers, with students, with neighbors; take the floor at union, community, church and student government meetings; encourage letters to the editor and appearances on radio and TV opinion time. Spread the word: Defeat Reaganism!
- Organize house meetings and other gatherings for friends and neighbors to clarify the basic Issues of the campaign and the new danger of the ultra-right, and for fund raising, the absolutely essential wherewithal to carry on the campaign against Reaganism.

*Organize the widest possible distribution of the special issues of the Daily World on the election campaign (October 11) and on poverty (to be announced). Place your orders now!

- Organize now to guarantee a mass turnout on Election Day of anti-Reagan voters. That means canvassing, writing and telephoning before November 6, drawing up lists of family, friends, shopmates and neighbors who will be contacted on Election Day.

It is critical that every democratic-minded person, everyone concerned with peace, take time off on Election Day Itself to take every practical step (telephone reminders, obtaining transportation where necessary, baby-sitting, volunteer work with trade unions, community organizations, etc.) to bring the anti-Reagan voters to the polls. On that day, as throughout the campaign, particularly the final weeks, our Party can make the difference. Every issue we clarify will

help unify thousands in the all-people's front. These voters could be the difference in defeating Reagan and other Reaganite candidates.

The challenge can be met. The sinister ultra-right forces can be routed by an aroused people. But it depends on each and every one of us. Act now! Make every day a day of action to defeat Reaganism!

Central Committee,
Communist Party, USA
Henry Winston, Chairman
Gus Hall, General Secretary

THE ANATOMY OF DISINFORMATION (Part 2)

CHANGING PUBLIC OPINION ON THE SHOOTING DOWN OF FLIGHT 007

[In our October newsletter we described the campaign of disinformation, which seeks to transfer guilt for the wanton destruction of Korean Airlines, Flight 007, with the cruel murder of 269, from the Soviet Union to the USA. This campaign has enjoyed a considerable measure of success.]

When the Soviet Union first admitted, even bragged, that its guns had deliberately shot down the civilian plane, killing 269, several independent communist organizations expressed criticism. One of these was the Marxist-Leninist magazine, the Guardian. It has now repented and supports the action of the Soviet Union unreservedly. In an editorial in the September 12 edition, it states:

"In particular, a report by David Pearson in The Nation last month and an earlier article in the British journal Defence Attache put the otherwise inexplicable events surrounding Flight 007 into a convincing context: the plane was deliberately sent into militarily sensitive Soviet territory so that the USSR's defensive reactions could be monitored by various US spy systems."

The editorial concludes:

"Immediately following the event, the Guardian ran an editorial that said, '.... shooting down the passenger jet was an unacceptable response for which the USSR must be held accountable and condemned.'

"The fact that the USSR took nearly a week to acknowledge shooting down the plane was a factor in our insistence that it be 'held accountable' for its actions. But before taking a position, we should have been better informed. Our editorial suggested the Soviets should have known the plane was civilian--not taking into account the presence of the RC-135, the apparent radar jamming, 007's lack of lights or the fact that the pursuing plane was behind and below--and should have tried to force it to land, which they did.

"While our editorial also criticized the US for using the affair to escalate the cold war and noted the likelihood Flight 007 was involved in spying, it is evident now that we were wrong to 'condemn' Moscow. At the very least the US could have prevented the entire incident and in all probability deliberately initiated the events that produced the catastrophe." (Page 18)

US Communist Attitude

The US Communist Party, which worships at the shrine of the Soviet Union, has always supported the Soviet action. It has seized upon the new "information" with glee and tries to blame President Reagan personally for the mass murder. An article in the communist newspaper, Daily World September 1, under the caption "Evidence 007 Was a Spy Plane" states:

"One year later evidence continues to mount in capitalist countries that the Korean Airlines Flight 007 as a US spy mission against the Soviet Union with the full sponsorship of the Reagan administration.

"The evidence points more and more towards President Reagan being responsible for the cold-blooded murder of the

airliner's 269 passengers and crew." (Page 5?D)

Debilitating Doubt

The campaign of disinformation does not need to convince in order to be successful; it needs to sow doubt. Doubt destroys legitimate anger about the Soviet action and often leads to the attitude?? "a plague on both your houses." The will becomes paralyzed by a mixture of uncertainty and guilt.

Reaction of Relatives

Soon after Flight 007 was shot down, I suggested that the U.S. Information Agency interview the next of kin of the victims and record their heartrending stories to use in magazine articles, books, and radio and TV programs. No one acted upon this suggestion, whereas the communists are supporting a group of relatives of Japanese passengers who were killed. These relatives are claiming that Flight 007 intruded into Soviet airspace as part of "an elaborately pre?schemed plot." The Trotskyist communist magazine, Workers World reports in its September 13 edition:

"On the occasion of the first anniversary of the downing of the KAL 007, a group of 79 relatives of Japanese passengers who had been killed issued a statement that the aircraft had not intruded into Soviet airspace by mistake but as part of 'an elaborately pre?schemed plot.'

"Coming from such relatives, an accusation that the plane was part of a spy operation has caused acute embarrassment to the US government. Efforts to sustain Washington's original version of the event and place the onus of guilt on the USSR for the tragedy are beginning to take on an air of near desperation." (Page 2)

An obvious question presents itself, Why did the Crusade not record the tragic stories of the bereaved relatives? Regretfully, our limited resources were inadequate for such an undertaking.

Unanswered Questions

Those who believe that the flight of the KAL 007 over Soviet territory was "an elaborately pre?schemed plot" are faced with an unanswerable question: Why did the USA need to use a civilian plane when it had specially?equipped military planes available?

In his Nation article, Pearson pays considerable attention to the reconnaissance RC?135 with which the doomed B 747 allegedly rendezvoused. He suggests it "was there to collect whatever intelligence about Soviet Defenses that KAL 007's intrusion yielded." A flight by the RC? 135 would have yielded the same intelligence, which could have been recorded more easily.

Why would the USA sponsor a flight over Soviet defenses that would almost inevitably be discovered with either tragic or embarrassing results?

The conclusion that Flight 007 was a spy plane just does not make sense.

This leaves unanswered the question??How did the plane fly so far off course? We do not have the answer to this, but I think the most probable answer is "human error." People do make mistakes and machines may magnify those mistakes. I cannot help thinking of the New Zealand Airlines plane which flew straight into a mountain in broad daylight when on a sight?seeing tour over Antarctica. Visibility was unlimited. Investigation revealed that wrong information had been fed into the computer.

The death of the 269 passengers and crew of KAL 007 was due to a human error compounded by a deliberate, calculated, callous decision made by the communist leaders of the Soviet Union.

STRETCHING THE SOVIET MILITARY BUDGET

The Gross National Product (GNP) of the Soviet Union is little more than half that of the United States. How can they support a military machine that rivals or exceeds that of the U.S.A. as well as their worldwide subversive apparatus?

Part of the answer is given by the relative salaries received by U.S. and Soviet Servicemen. President Reagan announced that two-thirds of the U.S. military budget goes to the payment of personnel. In contrast, the payments of Soviet servicemen is a mere pittance. A Soviet soldier is paid four rubles a month, which at the official exchange rate amounts to slightly over five dollars, but at black market rates comes to less than one dollar. The U.S. private, by comparison, receives nearly \$600 a month. (Commentary, August 1984, page 15)

COMMUNIST "LOVE"

What lovable characters these communists are!

Herbert Aptheker is generally considered the leading theoretician of the U . S. Communist Party. Here are a few of his scabrous comments about the U.S. President, Ronald Reagan, published in the October 4 edition of the communist newspaper, the Daily World

"Ronald Reagan's speech in Dallas at a so-called ecumenical prayer breakfast represented a new low even for that arrogant and ignorant liar

"Reagan, the shameless demagogue, is playing to the worst, to the most backward, to the most dangerous traditions of established religion in his desperate effort to be re-elected president. This is still another reason why the election of 1984 is the most important in U.S. history since that of 1860. Once again, as then, the fate of the nation, the possibility of the advance of decent society and a democratic one demands the defeat of the clerical-bound, neo-fascistic Birch Society candidate answering to the name of Ronald Reagan." (Page 15 D)

KILLING A PERSON

"The Massachusetts Supreme Court has ruled that a driver who causes the death of a viable fetus can be prosecuted for motor vehicle homicide. The court voted 4 to 3 that a viable fetus is a 'person'. The ruling resulted from a case in which a car struck a pregnant woman and killed an eight-month-old fetus in 1982." (Christianity Today, October 5, page 85)

Does this not also apply to abortion?

DR. WHITEHALL RETURNS TO THE PHILIPPINES

Dr. John Whitehall is returning to the Philippines to conduct seminars and deliver lectures. He will speak from November 11-21 in the universities of the southern island of Mindanao where the assassination teams, known as sparrow squads, of the communist-led New Peoples Army are very active. Please pray for him, his message, and his safety.

POST OFFICE BOX 890 227 EAST SIXTH STREET LONG BEACH, CA, 90801-0890 Area Code (213) 437-0941

Christian Anti-Communism Crusade

November 1, 1984

Dear Friend,

"Nandi, will you and Gar sponsor me in a mathathon?"

"Of course! How far are you running?"

"We're not running. It's a mathathon, not a marathon."

"What's a mathathon?"

"Sums!"

This conversation was with our beautiful 6-year-old granddaughter, Nicole. Her school was trying to raise money and to stimulate interest in math by utilizing the spirit of competition. Gar and Nandi are the names which our

grandchildren have attached to Lillian and me.

In due course my grandfatherly pride was enlarged and my purse diminished by the news that both Nicole and her older sister, Danielle (8), who competed in a similar mathathon, had answered all 50 questions correctly. Their cousin Timothy (7) obtained similar results in a spellathon.

How precious these little ones are. A song of happiness fills my heart whenever I think of them, and the desire to secure a future of opportunity, security, and freedom for them provides a substantial part of my motivation in continuing this never-ending fight against communism. If the communists succeed in conquering the world, how bleak their future will be.

Some try to assure the future of their grandchildren by establishing financial trusts for them. While this is admirable, such trusts will be a source of danger, rather than security, if the communists prevail. The trusts will provide evidence that the beneficiaries are members of the hated and doomed bourgeois class.

Will you sponsor me in the marathon battle against communism I have been fighting in this battle for most of my life. The Crusade was formed in 1953 so it is 31 years old. I intend to continue as long as God gives me strength.

The cost of your sponsorship may seem high. I am asking you to double your usual gift to the Crusade if you are one of our established family. If you are a new member, I welcome you with open arms and heart, and ask you to give what the danger demands.

Will you pledge your prayerful support of our objective of securing \$2 million for the fight against communism this year? This is needed to maintain our worldwide truth ministry and to expand it. We must continue our seminars in El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Costa Rica, Peru, the Philippines, Kenya, Tanzania and many other countries. We must train, supply and support the anti-communist workers who are recruited at these seminars. We must inform our national leaders and university students of the true nature of communism through our newsletters, pamphlets, books and tapes. There is so much more that needs to be done.

Please sponsor me. Send your best sacrificial gift, whether it be \$10,000 or \$10. I pledge my time, my talents, and my resources to the proclamation of God's truth, and ask Him for guidance, vision and strength.

With Christian love,

Fred Schwarz

P.S. Gifts to the Crusade are tax-deductible.