

CACC

NEWSLETTER

November 1, 1983

WAS FLIGHT 007 A SPY PLANE

The relationship of the U . S. Communist Party to the Soviet Union that existed after the Second World War is described by James Weinstein in the October 12?18 edition of the socialist newspaper, IN THESE TIMES:

"The reflection of reality was that the Communist Party was first and foremost a political extension of the Soviet Union. It saw the defense of the Soviet state as its primary responsibility. Its program and policies were subject to Soviet approval. Even its leaders were determined by the Soviet leadership in Moscow, as was made clear when Earl Browder was deposed as party leader on a signal from abroad." (Page 12)

The situation is precisely the. same today. This is proved by the way the U.S. Communist press has reported the Soviet destruction of KAL Flight 007 with the loss of 269 lives. The theme of their reporting has been: "The U . S . A. is to blame; the Soviet Union is blameless." Typical captions are:

1. SOVIETS DENY DOWNING PLANE, RADAR SUPPORTS DENIAL (Daily World, Sept. 2, 1983) 2. REAGAN ADMITS SPY PLANE IN AREA (Daily World, Sept. 7, 1983)

3. FACTS REPUTE REAGAN ON AIRPLANE INCIDENT (Daily World, Sept. 9, 1983) 4. MORE QUESTIONS ABOUT FLIGHT 007 (Daily World, Sept. 14, 1983) 5. QUESTIONS TO REAGAN ON FLIGHT 007 (Daily World, Sept. 15, 1983) 6. TWO IN U.S. SPYPLANE CREW SAY REAGAN LIED ABOUT KOREA JET (Daily World, Sept. 17, 1983) 7. THE KOREAN PLANE TRAGEDY: WASHINGTON'S COMPLICITY (Daily World, Sept. 22, 1983) 8. REAGAN'S 007 STORY UNRAVELING, DOUBTS VOICED IN U.S., ABROAD (People's World, September 24, 1983)

A typical tirade against the U . S . A. is this article:

U.S. provokes Cold War hysteria

The Big Lie

By JAMES E. JACKSON

Member of the Political Bureau and Secretary of the Central Committee Communist Party USA

During the past several days, President Reagan, the U.S. media and the bourgeois hucksters of the whole Western world have been flooding the air waves with the green bile of their vitriolic class hatred of the Soviet Union.

No slander has been too slimy, no abuse too beastly, no accusation too calumnious, no malignment too malicious for them to spew forth upon the head of the Soviet Union.

The U.S. government seized upon the crash of the Korean Airlines Flight 007 to unleash a psychological warfare blitzkrieg of global hurricane proportions and tornado intensity.

Giving full play to its globe?girdling communications and intelligence ? i.e. espionage ?network, Washington carried out the most brazen, most expensive exercise in instant mind?control of hundreds of millions of people.

In a weekend of non?stop verbal abuse and lying, Washington sought to accomplish a reversal of the verdict of history's judgment of the Soviet Union as the proudest achievement of the world's working class, the peoples' best

hope for gaining peace on earth and for humanity's final triumph over want and war.

The most savage and outrageous charges have been hurled at the Soviet Union by Reagan and his servitors in connection with the ill-fated Korean plane that flew over Soviet territory while guided by U.S. and Japanese controllers in company with RC-135 spy planes of the U.S. Air Force.

In the minds of Reagan and his circle within the camp of the monopolist ruling class, the real crime of crimes of which the Soviet Union is guilty is that it exists, that Lenin lived and worked and triumphed, that the USSR will not turn belly-up and die. The Soviet Union stands as a beacon for our times. It is the first and the mightiest force in the struggle for peace, and a bastion of strength to all who rise against exploitation and fight for freedom and social progress.

In the sick mind of the current mouthpiece of the most reactionary monopolist circles, it is the prestige which the Soviet Union and Socialism/Communism have among the world's working peoples, the states of non-alignment, and those capitalist countries who continue developing detente with the Soviet Union which represents a grave threat to the realization of their ambitions for world domination. Daily World September 9, 1983

The Soviets are aware that their image as a benign peace-loving power was besmirched by their cruel and callous action in shooting down the wandering plane with the slaughter of 269 innocent people, but they are confident that the setback will be temporary. They believe that the sense of outrage of the people of the U.S.A. and other enlightened countries will be eroded by the passage of time and the acceptance of their big lie. Their big lie is: "The Korean plane was on a spy mission."

Some believe the lie outright, while others entertain the possibility that it may be true. The net result is that both believers and doubters are more apt to excuse the Soviet action. The possibility that it was a spy plane is regarded as a "mitigating circumstance."

The contention that Flight 007 was engaged on a spy mission is palpably absurd. Consider the following:

1. The plane was a Boeing 747 and no plane is less suitable for spying. I have traveled in such planes often, and it is very difficult to see out of them. The windows are small with double layers of glass, and one needs to press one's face against them to get even a blurred and distorted view of the outside. I assume the view is better from the pilot's cabin, but it would still be unsuitable for spying.

The plane was a passenger transport. As such, it was invaded by an army of attendants at each stop. These included: baggage handlers, maintenance men, caterers, and custom agents. These individuals were familiar with the configuration of the plane and their curiosity would have been provoked by any unusual structure. It would have been impossible to keep special photographic equipment, if it were present, a secret.

3. Other specially equipped reconnaissance planes were available in the area.

4. The plane was owned and operated by Korean Air Lines, and it was not under the control of the U.S.A.

5. The pilot of Flight 007 had no idea he was in danger until his plane was shot down by the Soviet missile. If he had been on a spy mission over the Soviet Union, he would have been very alert to danger.

The mystery remains as to why the plane was so far off course. I do not know the reason, but I suspect human error was involved. Despite the miracles performed by high technology, humans do make errors. Consider the fate of the New Zealand DC-10 which was engaged in a sight-seeing flight over Antarctica on November 28, 1979. Flying in bright daylight with excellent visibility, this plane crashed into a high and clearly visible mountain. A judicial inquiry discovered that incorrect coordinates had been fed into the plane's computers before its departure. Could something similar have happened to Flight 007?

Recent reports indicate that the Soviet authorities may not have known that the plane was a civilian transport before they shot it down, and some regard this as another mitigating circumstance. I believe it is possible that the Soviets did not know that the plane was engaged in routine transportation of passengers, but the reason they did not know is that

they did not bother to investigate. They did not care. To them, the plane was an interloper from the enemy world. Guided by their belief that war existed between the Soviet Union and the capitalist world, they were convinced it was an enemy plane and destroyed it.

There are no mitigating circumstances for the Soviet action. They are guilty of murder in the first degree. We must remember and proclaim to all the world, COMMUNISM KILLS.

A PAINFUL CHOICE??A BOIL OR CANCER

A Canadian correspondent writes: "Is there no middle ground for the people in Central America? Must it always go from extreme right to left to communism?"

Although I do not agree that dictatorships of any character are "right wing", I understand the problem that has prompted the question. Are the countries of Central America condemned to suffer from some form of dictatorship in perpetuity?

The answer depends on whether a communist dictatorship is successfully imposed on the people of Central America. Communist dictatorships are permanent while other dictatorships tend to be temporary. Thus, communism is a disease which is presently incurable. Prevention is all?important.

To date there has been no record of the successful overthrow of a communist dictatorship. One reason for this is that the communist dictator can count upon support from outside his country. The people went close to liberating themselves in Hungary, Czechoslovakia and Poland; but they were prevented from succeeding by the large armies provided by the Soviet Union and other communist countries. This access to external military support is another characteristic of communist dictatorships.

The use of external military force to maintain a communist dictator in power has now been guaranteed by the Brezhnev Doctrine.

A medical analogy illustrates the difference between communist dictatorships and other types. Communist dictatorships are like cancers whereas other dictatorships are like boils.

A boil remains localized, whereas cancer spreads to the whole body.

A boil can usually be treated effectively and a permanent cure obtained. Treatment of cancer is difficult and often impossible. It is much more likely to be successful if the cancer is diagnosed and treated during the early stages of development. Similarly it is much easier to defeat a communist dictatorship while it is establishing power than to remove it once its power has been established.

Unwillingness to face the possibility that a lesion is cancerous is cooperation with the cancer. Unwillingness to recognize the role of communists in a national revolution or civil war is cooperation with communism.

The number of cancer cells in a lesion is often very small in the early stages, whereas healthy cells are numerous. This does not mean that the danger from the cancer is small. Left to themselves, the cancer cells will almost certainly dominate and destroy the healthy ones. A few communists in an organization will often dominate the whole organization. The magnitude of the communist danger can never be measured by the number of communists involved.

A boil may be much more painful and debilitating than a cancer in its early stages. Communism often appears relatively benign at the beginning. The agony does not come until the dictatorship is firmly implanted.

If compelled to have an affliction, which would you prefer, a boll or cancer?

Both cancer and communism demand foresight and sacrifice for their prevention and cure.

WILL YOU WALK INTO MY PARLOR

A girl relates her "conversion" from Catholicism to Communism in a letter published in the September 28, 1983 edition of CHALLENGE??the Revolutionary Communist Newspaper, pub published by the Stalinist Progressive Labor Party.

This letter describes how communists entice and ensnare Christians. First they seek their cooperation in some humane project and thereby establish links of comradeship which are forged in struggle. Once this association is established, the communists seek to lead the Christians into closer association and to finally persuade them to embrace communism with its atheism and alleged scientific program for the regeneration of mankind:

From Catholicism to Communism

Dear Challenge:

Many people in our base are religious. Many of us steer clear of struggling with them, considering them unwinnable. However, I have had some personal experiences which prove that this is a mistake. We should not fear or hesitate in struggling with our friends over "personal" issues such as religion. Unless we begin to resolve this contradiction inside the working class now, we will not be in a position to make a communist revolution when the time is appropriate.

When I was a child, my family said daily prayers for "the conversion of Russia." We attended Catholic Church more frequently than most. In college, I took enough hours in theology with priests and rabbis to earn a bachelor's degree in that field. Following college I participated in a Catholic Church version of VISTA, organizing. on college campuses in the name of the church. During these years, I became a Pentecostal, attending church meetings and praying in tongues:

When I did it, I did it to death. My commitment to the church was real and serious. My commitment, though, was not to an institution, but to a set of beliefs. Weaning myself away from the church was gradual, a process of identifying and resolving contradictions. I fee that much of what I am today is based on my experiences in the church and 'flows quite, naturally from them. Many honest working class friends involved with religion could find a basis of activity with PLO and InCAR if we could help point out and resolve the contradictions. In dialectical terms, the process is one of political development. The poles are Christianity and communism, or idealism and materialism.

Many elements of my religious upbringing have prepared me for and carried over into my communist work. I am thinking specifically of the ideas of sharing, generosity, and being "your brother's keeper." Also, being involved with religion kept me away from many less desirable options.

As I mentioned, while I was in school I took a very serious approach to religion, studying the bible and contemporary theologians. I have always related to the left-wing of the Catholic Church, both the theologians who were under constant scrutiny for their questioning of authority and the practitioners of religion viewed as the lunatic fringe (e.g., the Catholic Worker Movement based in the Lower East Side of New York City). Many of these people were in and around the civil rights and peace movements.

My studies convinced me fairly early on that to be a good Christian meant to be a revolutionary. This to me was the message of Jesus. Because of this I was committed to radicalism and became involved in the civil rights movement and the anti-Vietnam War movement. Even earlier I had helped to found a multi-racial group of students called InterRacial Forum. We were committed to understanding and fighting against racism.

While I was comfortable with this theory of Christian as revolutionary, in practice I was always running into contradictions. I found myself constantly in trouble with my parents and various church authorities. This was sharpest at the Catholic women's college I attended.

For the most part, the nuns at my college were always trying to get me out of the streets and into the library. Study and prayer were one thing, but activism was quite another. The more I embraced and put into practice the idea of anti-racism, the more I was in disfavor.

In 1964, I wanted to go to Selma, Alabama for the big civil rights march. The college I attended would not allow

students to

participate without parental consent. My parents said "no," and that was that.

In 1965 I spent the summer in Mississippi and when I returned to school the college president (also my department chairman) met me in the hall the first week and said she hoped I "wouldn't be just rah?rah." In other words, academia should always be primary. And this from the head of the political science department!

After college, I worked for three years as a lay missionary of sorts for the Catholic Church, organizing among college students on two Mid?West campuses. At one school, the local parishoners went to the bishop and d tried to have me and my co?workers run out of town because of our anti?racist and anti?war activities. Seeing these Catholics as the forces of reaction didn't stop me then. However, as we gradually saw more of the Church's complicity with U.S. imperialism abroad and the backward role of the Church in such things as the maintenance of racial segregation in the Chicago schools, it was harder to associate with the institution. Gradually quantity was turning into quality ...As I moved more and more to the left politically and broke with the concept of pacifism, it was increasingly impossible to associate with organized religion.

When I finally met PLO about 10 years ago, I was ready for the Party's line on religion. Formal ties had already been broken and the days of "turning the other cheek long past.

As I moved toward. and into the Party, I only brought with me the best of seriousness, commitment and collectivity and, of course, the idea of financially sustaining an organization you are committed to.

A Mid?West Comrade

Communism often presents the problem: "Shall we do good that evil may come?" Christian need divine guidance to be "wise as serpents; harmless as doves.

NUCLEAR BLACKMAIL

LESSONS FROM THE FORMULA FOR THE COMMUNIST CONQUEST OF THE U.S.A (Part 5

Previous discussion of the formula the communists are following in their endeavors to conquer the U.S.A.??External encirclement, plus internal demoralization, plus thermonuclear blackmail, lead to progressive surrender??has covered encirclement and internal demoralization. In this installment, we will consider the important role being played by thermonuclear blackmail

The communists do not desire a thermonuclear war. They would prefer to conquer the U.S. A. without the massive destruction such a war would bring. The vision of the destruction of the Soviet Union, with the loss of the lives of many communist leaders, does not entice them. This is obvious and needs no elaboration.

Neither do the communists desire the destruction of the U.S.A. They are well aware of the magnificent homes, highways, factories, bridges, skyscrapers, schools, hospitals, and farms that abound in this country. They have coveted them for years and have plans for their use. They are convinced that the day when they will acquire them is drawing near. Their Marxist?Leninist doctrines and their survey of the world situation combine to assure them of this. To transform this limitless treasure into radioactive vapor in these circumstances would be extreme stupidity.

Why then have the Soviet leaders extorted such enormous sacrifices from the Soviet people in order to build their massive military machine in which nuclear weapons play such a large part? The reason is to make the threat that they may initiate a nuclear war credible. Prudent U. S. leaders, when deciding upon national policy, must always be forced to consider the question of what influence certain policies may have upon the possibility of igniting nuclear war. This limits the options available to U.S. strategists. Their attitude is, "We would like to do such a thing, but we cannot risk it." This often leads to operational paralysis.

Inhibiting the Use of Conventional Weapons

The fear of inciting nuclear war does not only prohibit the use of nuclear weapons, it affects the use of conventional weapons also. The possibility that a limited action may escalate and ignite nuclear war is always present. The moguls of the press make sure that the U.S. people are kept aware of such a possibility.

Examples abound of how U.S. policy has been influenced by the fear of initiating events that could ignite nuclear war. When the Hungarians revolted, they received no help. The same situation prevailed when the Czechoslovaks attempted to overthrow their communist overlords. Both the U.S. people and the government support the Afghan people as they suffer the vicious aggression of the Soviet forces, but this support is limited to rhetoric and diplomatic maneuverings. The U.S. people were well nigh unanimous in their support of the Polish workers and their union, Solidarity, and waited with bated breath to see if the Soviet forces would attack Poland. Had such an attack taken place, it is most unlikely that any substantial help would have been given to Polish forces because of the fear that it might ignite nuclear war. Cuban forces are engaged in a worldwide war against the United States, claiming to be fighting U.S. Imperialism, and Cuba is supporting and arming anti-U.S. rebellions throughout Central America; but Cuba itself is inviolate due to the possibility that armed conflict with Cuba might cause Soviet intervention and ignite nuclear war. So it goes.

What will happen if internal communist forces, aided and armed by their international communist allies, threaten to conquer Mexico? To go further afield, what will happen if forces allied to the Soviet Union close the Straits of Hormuz in the Persian Gulf and thereby cut off 60 percent of the oil Japan receives, 50 percent of that received by Western Europe and 10 percent of the U.S. supply? If Iran alone causes the closure, action may be taken. But what will happen if the Soviet Union is directly or indirectly involved?

The fear of nuclear war is legitimate, but an exaggerated fear which leads to a paralysis of the will, will, certainly result in surrender to communism.

Soviet Predictability

The problem is to discriminate between reasonable and exaggerated fear. The situation in the Soviet Union should always be kept in mind. The probable response of the Soviet leaders to any U.S. policy should always be predicted and given due consideration when reaching decisions. Fortunately, Soviet reactions are highly predictable provided we know how they think. Their thoughts, reactions, and programs are profoundly influenced by the doctrines of Marxism? Leninism. We can only understand their actions and predict their future actions when we know these doctrines. Failure to give due emphasis to Marxist?Leninist doctrines has been the Achilles heel of U.S. foreign policy.

Influential Marxist?Leninist Doctrines

Two basic doctrines of Marxism?Leninism influence Soviet conduct. The first one is that the victory of world communism is inevitable. This doctrine affirms that history has ordained it; no force on earth can prevent it. Acceptance of this doctrine produces patience. No setback is permanent. However dark the present situation of the communists may appear to be, the light will soon break through the clouds. Consequently no desperate and irreversible actions should be taken.

The doctrine of the inevitability of communist victory must make the communists most reluctant to initiate nuclear war.

The second doctrine that influences their policies is derived from their philosophy of dialectical materialism. This philosophy teaches them that progress towards inevitable communist victory follows a dialectical pathway. Such a pathway is similar to that of a nail being driven by a hammer. When the force of one blow has been spent, the hammer is withdrawn in preparation for the next blow. Thus, retreat is as necessary and honorable as advance.

When communist advance is halted, they do not go berserk. They retreat and prepare for the next forward thrust. This doctrine leads them to accept setbacks philosophically and patiently. They are unlikely to panic and push the nuclear button.

The irreducible minimum of communist power must retain a credible threat to wage nuclear war. As long as this credibility is retained, it is possible that the Soviet Communists may make concessions in disarmament negotiations

and discard certain superfluous weapons. They would certainly like to have more money available to assist in their programs of encirclement and subversion.

This explains Soviet eagerness to engage in disarmament negotiations, as well as their reluctance to make substantial concessions.

We live in a dangerous world, but the citizens of the U . S . A. continue to enjoy many of the privileges of freedom. The maintenance of this freedom merits the acceptance of reasonable risk. It will certainly be lost if the will is paralyzed by inordinate fear of nuclear catastrophe . Policies should be formulated which take full account of the extreme reluctance of the Soviet Union to engage in nuclear war.

(to be continued)

NEWS RELEASE ANOTHER ASSAULT BY THE COMMUNISTS

19?YEAR?OLD CHRISTIAN GIRL RAPED AND TERROIZED BY ROMANIAN POLICE CHIEF

(I am personally acquainted with Brother Emmanuel, the father of 19?year?old Mia, and hold him in high esteem. He suffers anguish as he reports the torture and rape of his daughter Mia by the communist police chief in Jimbolia, Romania. EDITOR'S NOTE]

On Friday, July 8, 1983, at the Yugoslavian?Romanian border town of Jimbolia, a team from "Ministries Behind the Iron Curtain" (MBIC) was stopped for the first time by communist authorities and subjected to search and seizure of the materials they were carrying. Nineteen?year?old Mia and forty?nine?year?old Aline headed the team carrying relief supplies, clothing, medicine, Bibles, cassette tapes, Gospel literature and Chick tracts (Romanian translation of "This Was Your Life") to Christians behind the Iron Curtain. All members of the team were held in custody while the cars were searched.

Upon discovering the tracts, the border guards summoned additional police who appeared with submachine guns. The captives were accused of being spies for the West and threatened with incarceration in a mental institution. Mia, accused of leading the team, was interrogated for 7 hours and repeatedly struck in the face by her interrogators. They were detained for the night and confined to a psychiatric ward. During the night the ladies were separated and Mia was taken to quarters of the police chief where she was given the ultimatum to submit to him sexually or die She refused Consequently, she was brutally beaten and forcibly raped

The next morning, after paying a fine of \$750, the amount they were carrying, the team was mysteriously released.

Protests have been sent to President Reagan and other U. S. officials from MBIC concerning this incident.

CHRISTMAS CARDS 1983

We are overwhelmed with compliments on the beauty of both the design and message of this year's Christmas card. The demand for it is greater than any we have previously experienced.

Supplies are adequate, and they are still available. They are not for sale. We supply the quantity requested with envelopes to any who make a tax?deductible donation to the Christian Anti?Communism Crusade. The size of the donation is left to the free choice of the donor. The cards provide an ideal means of sending Christmas greetings and simultaneously helping preserve freedom.

The cards will be personalized with the addition of your name and a brief message if this is requested.

Order a specific number of cards and give your street address to assist our mailing department.

APOLOGY FOR OPPRESSION IN NICARAGUA

The September?October edition of the magazine, SOCIALIST REVIEW, contains an article supporting the Sandinista regime in Nicaragua. It is entitled, "Inside Nicaragua's Class War," and it is written by Sol Landau, who is a Senior

Fellow of the Institute for Policy Studies in Washington, D. C. and who was one of the founding editors of SOCIALIST REVIEW. Landau has recently produced a film, "Target Nicaragua".

Landau views the situation, in Nicaragua through Marxist spectacles. To him, the conflict there is: proletariat against bourgeoisie; progressives against reactionaries; revolutionaries against counterrevolutionaries. Despite this, he describes the situation in Nicaragua rather frankly, and some of his statements are enlightening.

He admits that the entire middle class in Nicaragua is opposed to the Sandinista regime. He writes:

"Every middle-class person with whom I spoke in Nicaragua, except those committed to working inside the revolution, was counterrevolutionary in language if not in deed. For example, a man about thirty sat next to me at a seafood restaurant in Managua. Upon discovering that I was an American journalist, he began to unburden himself. We drank rum and tonic as he described how horrible the communists are, 'Sandinistas means communistas,' he laughed. 'Moscow and Castro run this country. There's less freedom now than under Sornoza,' he declared. The restaurant manager, a middle-aged man, joined us and unleashed a tirade against interior Minister Tomas Borge. 'A watermelon,' he said, 'green on his uniform outside and red inside.' " (Page 17)

Certain rights, which are highly valued in this country, and which, I suspect, Landau supports here with a passion, are regarded as counterrevolutionary in Nicaragua. He writes:

"The revolution-counterrevolution struggle is not fought out verbally in terms of who has the right to own property but rather in terms of free speech, press, assembly, etc." (Page 18)

Landau quotes Fidel Castro with approval:

"Americans impose their conceptions of freedom on the whole world. They are only procedural freedoms. Freedom to eat, to live in fit conditions, to have education, medical care--none of that comes within American definitions of rights or freedoms. Your freedom establishes the right of starving men to read a newspaper that advocates individualism, but not the right to have food." (Page 23)

What a vicious slander. There are some individuals in the U . S . A. who lack adequate food and shelter, but this is not on a mass scale, and it is not due to the prevailing economic, social or governmental system. Some are the victims of alcoholism, some are children who are neglected by their parents, and some are imprisoned by age and infirmity. There is no starvation of masses of people.

One irrefutable fact proves this to all the world. It is reliably reported that 2,000,000 immigrants entered this country illegally from January-September this year. This means that 3 million will enter the country for the full year. These people are not coming here to starve. They are not suicidal. They are seeking a better life. They have heard reports from friends and relatives of the conditions that await them, and they come to live at the lowest standard.

What people do often speaks more loudly than what they say. Fidel Castro often talks of the "Propaganda of the Deed". He may scream about U.S. Imperialism, but his voice is drowned out by the thunder of 6 million feet as they rush to the food and freedom which the U.S. provides in abundance.

POST OFFICE BOX 890 227 EAST SIXTH STREET LONG BEACH, CA. 90801-0890 Area Code (213) 437-0941

Dear Friend,

Christian Anti-Communism Crusade

November 1, 1983

A donation to the million-dollar truth fund of the Crusade earns magnificent dividends. Here is a glimpse of a few of the projects which the fund is financing:

1 The Philippines

Dr. John Whitehall has returned safely from the Philippines, and he is overflowing with enthusiasm because of the response received. Eight day-long seminars were held, and over 2,000 preachers, deacons, church secretaries and workers traveled long distances by bus, ferry and foot to attend. They willingly endured hardship and danger. Some of the seminars needed the protection of soldiers.

Dr. Whitehall will return to the Philippines to conduct meetings in several universities in November.

2 Kenya

The Rev. Bernard Njoroge Kariuki writes:

"I am sending you an article which I wrote for the popular newspaper, the SUNDAY STANDARD. It is an extract from "why I Am Against Communism". I am writing this message in parts, and I am grateful to the editor for agreeing to print the articles. I am sure that it will reach millions of people in Kenya and the neighboring countries, Uganda and Tanzania." An anti-communism seminar will be held in Nairobi, Kenya from December 16-18.

3. Costa Rica:

An anti-communism seminar will be held in San Jose, the capital of Costa Rica, on October 26-27. It will be directed by the Rev. James Colbert and assisted by our Spanish-speaking workers, the Rev. Peter Padro and the Rev. Juan Korszyk. Arrangements are being made by Protestant evangelicals, but many Catholics will attend. A request to attend has been received from a leading Costa Rican communist.

4. . Canada:

A Canadian friend writes:

"I consider your work to be an excellent and essential contribution in the worldwide fight for freedom., Yours is a voice of reason and compassion in an area where, unfortunately, irresponsibility and stupidity sometimes occur. I always have your literature handy at our functions, and the reception is nearly always good."

5. The U.S.A.:

The Crusade message reaches the White House, the Congress, the schools, the press, the churches, and the U.S. people.

It is better to recruit and train workers who will expose the nature of communism and thereby prevent recruitment to it, than to recruit and train soldiers to kill and be killed by the communists who have been recruited by deception.

Our Canadian friend did not limit his commendation to words. He sent an accompanying check for \$500. Will you also commend the ministry of the Crusade by your gift? Our goal of reaching a million dollars for our truth fund can be reached. What can you send? \$10,000? \$1,000? \$100? \$10? I await your gift which brings me the message: "Keep up the fight to expose and defeat the communist enemy. We are standing with you."

With Christian love,

Fred Schwarz