

CACC

NEWSLETTER

April 15, 1982

COMMUNISTS ATTACK PRESIDENT'S BUDGET BY AGITATION AND PROPAGANDA

Communist Agitation condemns the military expenditures in the President's proposed budget and charges that they will create increased poverty and hardship for the poor since the money will be subtracted from social programs to help the needy. This indictment can be summarized: "Increased expenditure for defense purposes enlarges poverty."

Communist Propaganda condemns these same expenditures because they pump money into the community and provide jobs for a large number of workers. The wages paid enable these workers to buy the consumer goods needed by their families. This creates temporary prosperity and causes many workers to support the capitalist system, thereby diminishing their "revolutionary consciousness." This delays the onset of the inevitable revolution which will destroy capitalism and impose socialism. This indictment can be summarized: "Increased expenditure for defense enlarges prosperity."

These contradictory condemnations illustrate the difference between communist Agitation and Propaganda. Both are designed to stimulate hostility to the United States and its economic system. Agitation focuses on the emotions and attempts to generate anger, disgust and hatred. Propaganda focuses on the mind and attempts to generate the conviction that the capitalist system is intrinsically evil in spite of any temporary benefits it may bring. Agitation is directed towards the masses and is defined as "that which conveys one idea to many people." Propaganda is directed towards the elite intellectual few and is defined as "that which conveys many ideas to a few people."

Hate the Hardhearted President

The agitational attack plays on the innate sympathy of the American people for the poor and afflicted. It concentrates on the plight of the dependent children of welfare mothers, the old and infirm, and those who are mentally and physically ill. The President is depicted as a hardhearted monster who is about to callously rob these people of their already inadequate assistance. The effectiveness of this campaign cannot be denied.

The Prosperity Cannot Last and Leads to War

The propaganda attack is much more sophisticated and requires attention to sustained reasoning. It is based on Marxist premises and arguments. These can be summarized as follows:

1. The capitalist economic system produces commodities which must be distributed from the producers to the consumers.
2. The mechanism of distribution is through the exchange of purchasing power or money.
3. The incentive for production is the payment of purchasing power or wages to the productive workers.
4. The value of the commodities produced is equal to the amount of labor expended in their production.
5. The value of a commodity is divided. The major part is paid out as wages to those who expend the labor in the process of production while the minor portion is retained as profit by those who own the tools used in production. This retained portion is called the "surplus value" of labor.
6. Generally speaking, the amount paid as wages is used, to purchase commodities while the "surplus value" is retained and is underutilized for purchasing goods.

Because of the existence and retention of "surplus value", the amount of money available for purchasing goods is never quite enough to buy the goods produced. Consequently production inevitably leads to overproduction.

8. Overproduction leads to unemployment and diminished availability of purchasing power. A vicious circle is created leading to extensive overproduction and unemployment.

9. Massive unemployment combined with massive overproduction leads to economic stagnation and depression. 10. This process is temporarily delayed by the building of armaments and the creation of armies, since money paid to the servicemen as wages and to the workers in the arms factories does not produce anything that can be purchased but is available for buying the consumer goods which have been overproduced. 11. This prosperity is only temporary and finally a war becomes necessary in order to inject more purchasing power into the community and diminish the surplus of overproduced goods.

This argument leads the communists and their allies to classify the United States as the "welfare through warfare" state. It teaches that the capitalist system inevitably creates depression and war and should therefore be abolished.

This argument convinces many people, including intelligent but inexperienced students, who will not stand the test of reality. How does it account, for example, for the remarkable prosperity of Japan where the production of consumer goods is enormous and military expenditures infinitesimal?

Communists Wage War With Each Other

The communists convinced many that war was caused by the capitalist system and that the abolition of the capitalist system would abolish war. History has smashed this argument to smithereens. The military invasion of communist countries by communist countries is now relatively frequent. Consider the Soviet invasions of Hungary and Czechoslovakia; the border conflicts between the Soviet Union and Communist China; the Vietnamese invasion of Cambodia; the Chinese invasion of Vietnam; and the fight between the communist forces of Ethiopia and the communist forces of Eritrea.

Attack is the Best Defense

We must defend against both Agitation and Propaganda. This cannot be done without an understanding of communist doctrines and practical methods. It might be assumed that communist Agitation and Propaganda would largely cancel each other since the messages are contradictory. This is not so. The messages are designed for different audiences, and they complement each other. The communists are indeed masters of deceit.

In this case, attack is truly the best form of defense. Let us expose the delusional doctrines, authoritarian organizations, deceptive strategies, devious tactics, homicidal history, and tyrannical objectives of those who claim it is their destiny to rule and remake mankind.

CATHOLIC BISHOPS ADVOCATE SURRENDER TO COMMUNISM?

The possibility that the U.S.A. may surrender to the Soviet Union in order to avoid a "mankind-destroying" nuclear war appears to many to be so remote that it is inconceivable. Nonetheless, an increasing number of influential individuals and organizations are advocating such a course. Are the Catholic Bishops of the U.S.A. enlisting in this group?

This question is discussed by the Catholic writer and human rights advocate, Michael Novak, in the March edition of the prestigious magazine, *Commentary*, which is published by the American Jewish Committee. Novak states:

"A new and startling development has taken place in the thinking of the American Catholic hierarchy on questions of war and peace. In the name of Catholic morality, and on the alleged authority of Vatican Council II, influential bishops have publicly denounced the American strategy of nuclear deterrence and have in its stead recommended a policy whose ultimate logic will demand unilateral nuclear disarmament. Such bishops have not shrunk even from the view that military defeat and surrender to superior Soviet power are morally preferable to the possession of nuclear weapons for the sake of deterrence. (Page 37)

Deterrence Abandoned

The official viewpoint of the U.S. Catholic Conference, which consists of all U.S. Catholic Bishops, was presented in testimony by Cardinal Krol before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. Father Francis X. Winters, a Jesuit professor at Georgetown University, expressed his enthusiasm for the message of Cardinal Krol by saying that it "may come in time to be counted among the most prophetic and courageous words spoken by any religious leader in the 20th century."

Cardinal Krol stressed two conclusions:

1. The use of strategic nuclear weapons is wrong; 2. The declared intent to use them is wrong.

If these two judgments were translated into U.S. national policy, the strategy of deter

rence of nuclear war would have to be abolished. This strategy has successfully prevented nuclear war for many years. If this strategy were abolished, two options would remain: 1) Possible involvement in nuclear war; and, 2) Surrender to Soviet nuclear blackmail.

Those who find nuclear deterrence morally indefensible find nuclear war even more so. It is totally unacceptable under any circumstances. This leaves surrender as the only possibility if nuclear war threatens.

This logical conclusion is reached and welcomed by Father Winters. Discussing the content and logical inferences of a sermon by Cardinal Krol, delivered at the White House six days after his Senate testimony, Winters states:

"Our security is not compatible, he argues, with the use of nuclear weapons. It is compatible, though arduously so, with military defeat... Security depends on restraint, the unilateral renunciation of the intention to light the fuse that links the superpowers. Security may dictate surrender." (Page 39)

There we have it in stark words: "SECURITY MAY DICTATE SURRENDER." It has been said. How long will it be before it is shouted in the streets by massed thousands?

Several Catholic Bishops have become active propagandists for Unilateral Nuclear Disarmament and surrender. In the Crusade newsletter, October 1, 1981, we discussed the statement of Archbishop Hunthausen of Seattle, to the Pacific Northwest Synod of the Lutheran Church in America in which he advocated the use of civil disobedience, including refusal to pay income taxes, to enforce unilateral nuclear, disarmament. Archbishop Hunthausen has now gone further and has announced that he will refuse to pay half his income tax and accept the consequences even if these include jail.

Christian Cooperation With Communists in Shedding Blood

The significance of these developments is not lost on the leading theoretician of the U.S. Communists, Herbert Aptheker. Writing in the February edition of the U.S. Communist theoretical journal, Political Affairs in an article captioned "Poverty and Morality: Contribution to a Marxist-Christian Dialogue," Aptheker states:

"In the Pastoral Letter on Marxist Communism, issued November 12, 1980, by the National Conference of Catholic Bishops in Washington, D.C., one reads: 'It is in these two perspectives??maintenance of world peace and eradication of global poverty??that North American Catholics are most immediately confronted with the task of cooperation with Marxist regimes... In some areas of universally human concern collaboration with communist governments or communist parties have become a practical necessity'." (Page 21)

It appears that the Catholic Bishops have accepted at face value the communist claim that they are against poverty. This claim is spurious. The facts reveal that communism is a cause, not a cure, of poverty. The shortage of food in Poland illustrates this. It is confirmed by the fact that the Soviet Union needs to import large quantities of grain, produced by U . S. capitalist agriculture, to provide the minimum nutritional needs of the Soviet people.

This alleged common concern of Christians and communists over the maintenance of peace and the elimination of poverty has led to their fighting side by side in armed combat. Aptheker rightly attributes this to the influence of liberation Theology in the Catholic Church. He states:

"Certainly with the Theology of Liberation one finds not only close coincidence in words but comradeship in struggle sealed with blood Thus, having in mind the situations in El Salvador and Nicaragua in particular, Fidel Castro recently remarked, 'The Christians no longer merely dialogue with Marxists but also participate in practical and effective revolutions'." (Pages 21 and 22)

The terrible twins of cooperation with communism and surrender to communism are walking hand in hand.

Soviet Strategy for Conquest of the U.S.A

Surrender has been the method of choice for the communist conquest of the U . S . A. for

many years. Their strategy has been guided by this formula: External encirclement, plus internal demoralization, plus

thermonuclear blackmail, lead to progressive surrender..

The three essential elements of this strategy??encirclement, demoralization, and advocacy of surrender??have grown menacingly during recent days. The encircling forces are now positioned close to the borders of the U.S.A. in Nicaragua, El Salvador, and Guatemala. Within the U.S. A., unselfishness and sacrifice have been devalued, while the advocates of surrender to communism are becoming vocal and influential.

In tune with the message of II Peter, Chapter 3, we should relate our life styles to the gravity of the danger as we work for and expect the coming of the new heavens and the new earth in which righteousness dwells.

COMMUNISTS COURT CATHOLICS

If one were to form an opinion on the conflict in El Salvador based on the information in the following article, one would conclude that there are no communists active in the leadership of the guerrilla forces.

The inescapable fact is that the leaders of the guerrilla forces are devout Marxist?Leninists who regard victory in El Salvador as a stepping?stone to the destruction of U.S. Imperialism and the worldwide triumph of communism. Many Catholics seem to ignore this unpalatable truth.

The following article in the Marxist?Leninist magazine, the Guardian March 17, discusses the role of the Catholics in El Salvador:

CATHOLICS

KEY TO SALVADOR SOLIDARITY

By JOHN TRINKL

The Roman Catholic Church is becoming a significant opponent of U.S. intervention in El Salvador.

Thousands of individuals, hundreds of local groups and scores of national Catholic organizations are working in a variety of ways against military aid to the Salvadoran junta.

According to Deane Hinton, U.S. ambassador to El Salvador, the Catholic Church is the major obstacle to the Reagan administration's efforts to increase military aid. With nearly 50 million members, the Catholic Church is the largest religious denomination in the U.S.

The church's position is a significant shift away from its stance during the Vietnam war when church leaders by and large supported the war, only moving into opposition during its later stages.

In one of the most significant actions taken by the Church hierarchy, U.S. Catholic bishops meeting in Washington D.C. last November issued a statement calling for: an end to military assistance to El Salvador from any quarter, including the U.S.; for a politically negotiated settlement, and for the State Department to grant refugee status rights to Salvadoran refugees.

The bishops stated: "Any conception of the problems in central America which is cast principally in terms of global security issues, military responses, arms transfers, and preservation of a situation which fails to promote meaningful participation of the majority of the population in their societies is, in our view, profoundly mistaken."

This position was also endorsed by the two major organizations of Catholic religious orders, the Leadership Conference of Women Religious and the Conference of 'Major Superiors of Men representing some 120,000 priests, brothers and nuns. The leadership conference resolved "to affirm the right of self?determination of all peoples, especially those in Latin America, and to oppose exploitation of peoples by any persons, groups and nations.

In November, 19 North American church leaders visited Central America and issued a statement denouncing "U.S. support of repressive regimes, especially in El Salvador, by authorizing the presence of Green Berets, U.S. military advisers who, according to eyewitness accounts, direct acts of torture by Salvadoran military, personnel."

Network, a Catholic social justice lobby, has been lobbying Congress against U.S. military assistance to El Salvador. "We don't think the U.S. should be interfering in El Salvador," Network staffer Carol Coston told the Guardian.

The evolution of the U.S. Catholic Church's position on El Salvador is due to a multitude of factors. Groundwork for the church's position was laid by encyclicals by Pope John 23rd and Pope Paul 6th in the 1960s stressing social justice, and by meetings by Latin American bishops, particularly one in Medellin, Colombia in 1968 which stressed that the church should be "a church of the poor."

Tom Quigley of the U.S. Catholic Conference, a major clearinghouse for information about the Catholic Church, told the Guardian some of the factors behind the church's position on El Salvador: 'We've had a long history of close relations with the church in Latin America and with the struggle of the people for dignity and a better life,'

"The church in El Salvador has been directly involved in the fight for a better situation for the people," he added. "The violations of human rights have profoundly affected people here," he concluded, citing in particular the murders in 1980 of Archbishop Oscar Romero and three nuns and a lay missionary by rightist forces.

"People also remember the experience of Vietnam," he added. "If something like that's going to be stopped, it better be stopped in its early stages."

Because of the murders of religious workers in El Salvador, the Religious Task Force on El Salvador has proclaimed 1982 as 'The Year of the Martyrs.' The Task Force is a predominantly Catholic organization doing educational work on El Salvador. The commemoration of the "Year of the Martyrs" began Dec. 2, 1981, the first anniversary of the four religious women's murders. It will last for a year with hundreds of services, rallies and commemorations.

The year of activities is also in response to a letter sent to U.S. Bishops from 266 North American missionaries in Latin America. The letter noted, "In the past decade nearly 100 religious leaders (including Archbishop Oscar Romero) have died 'before their time.' But that is just the tip of the iceberg: for each religious who has died, at least 1000 of the poor have met a similar fate, and for each death another 1000 have been tortured, detained, imprisoned, deported, gone into exile or have simply 'disappeared.'"

The U.S. Catholic Church has responded to political changes and changes in the church in El Salvador. "It's important to understand the concept of the empowerment of people down there," Lee Miller of the Religious Task Force told the Guardian, discussing the break in Latin America with traditional religious teaching. When people realize "it's not god's will that their children 'should starve, that they should be diseased, that they be uneducated?this is what's turning Latin America upside down now," he said.

Ann Gormly of the U.S. Catholic Mission put it another way: "We work mainly with the religious aspect of the struggle. The struggle for justice constitutes a mission of the church."

What happens when the struggle for justice includes the use of arms? Archbishop Romero addressed this question when he wrote: "When a dictatorship seriously violates human rights and attacks the common good of the nation, when it becomes unbearable and closes all channels of dialogue, of understanding, of rationality, when this happens, the church speaks of the legitimate right of insurrectional violence."

Positions on the revolution in El Salvador vary among church activists, but all are united against U.S. interference. As a recent statement from five Catholic bishops in Michigan and a number of other religious workers and organizations said: "We condemn as anti-human the sending of hundreds of millions of U.S. tax dollars to those who oppress the poor and persecute the church in El Salvador, Guatemala and Honduras. That this should happen at the same time that assistance to the poor and marginalized in our own country is being drastically reduced only magnifies the injustice."

We ignore the role of the communists at our peril.

THE CHINESE COMMUNISTS THREATEN THE U.S.A OVER AID TO TAIWAN

The Chinese Communists are threatening the U. S . A. with tragic consequences if it continues to supply arms to the Republic of China.

An article published in the March 15 edition of the Beijing Review provides an interesting psychological study of the mental attitudes of the Chinese leaders. It presents them as reluctant but helpless victims of the U.S. policy.

Such communist humility is suspect. Communist doctrine grants the formulators of communist policy the greatest discretion. Since policies can be reversed whenever the circumstances demand it, they can make dramatic concessions and engage in tactical retreats. Communists often retreat from their goal in order to get in a better position to advance at a later date.

In the light of such communist flexibility, the attitude displayed in the following article should be considered as an attempt to blackmail the U.S. A. into changing its policy towards the Republic of China. If the U.S.A. succumbs, it will earn the immediate thanks but the long-range contempt of the Chinese Communists:

TEN years after the issuance of the Shanghai Communiqué, Sino-US relations have truly come to a critical point that will determine if relations improve or deteriorate.

China has consistently held that because of the interests of global strategy, it is necessary for Sino-US ties to develop. This is what China has striven to achieve.

The prerequisite for better relations is that the United States must respect the sovereignty of China.

Unfortunately, some people in the United States are always trying to interfere in the internal affairs of China and flouting China's sovereignty. They want to create "two Chinas" by one means or another, and even regard Taiwan as an "unsinkable aircraft carrier" in the Far East for the United States.

These people are presently insisting on arms sales to Taiwan, claiming that it is a US matter which China has no right to challenge. This position denies that Taiwan is part of the People's Republic of China.

This position does not respect China's sovereignty. If it prevails, Sino-US relations will be certain to suffer serious setbacks. Even some high-ranking US officials have spoken publicly on the adverse implications this position can have.

China has made great efforts towards the solution of the problems of US arms sales to Taiwan. China has stated repeatedly that Sino-US relations should be developed according to the principles set forth in the China-US Joint Communiqué on the Establishment of Diplomatic Relations. In view of the fact that the Taiwan issue is inherited from history, the Chinese Government, while sticking to its principled position, has been very patient and realistic in its negotiations with Washington and has put forward many reasonable and just proposals.

However, the matter has developed to such a point that China is forced into a corner and left without any options. If the United States insists on a long-term policy of selling arms to Taiwan, Sino-US relations will retrogress.

It is China's hope that such a retrogression will not occur. But if such a situation is forced upon China, it can virtually do nothing to help. Again, for China, that is not something too terrible to contemplate. Though a poor nation, China is in a better position than anyone else to survive any difficulty. Even in the days of Yanan when people lived in hillside caves, the Chinese people were able to defeat domestic and external enemies, not only succeeding in surviving but also faring well, let alone today when their conditions are much different.

Some US citizens believe that China, broad-minded but poor, will have to depend upon the US and it will swallow the bitterest pill insisted upon by the United States which, they think, has nothing to benefit from China. This reasoning is false and dangerous.

As far as advanced technology is concerned, China has not received much from the United States since diplomatic relations were established. For a long time, the United States has even been reluctant to sell China

computers to be used in China's census.

It must be made clear that in the wake of a retrogression, China will not only be able to survive and fare better, but will continue with the policies it has pursued in recent years. China's views on international affairs, especially its opposition to hegemony, will not change. Neither will its open-door and other policies.

Within the US Government, quite a number of high-ranking officials have expressed the hope that Sino-US relations will not worsen. This is also the hope of many countries throughout the world.

If, as a result of the efforts of both sides, US Government decisions and moves develop in accordance with the principles on which diplomatic relations between the two countries were established, Sino-US relations will have a bright future. The position of China remains one of striving for the best and preparing for the worst.

WHAT CAN AND MUST BE DONE

We receive over 100 letters from overseas each month. Most of them emphasize four things about their local situation:

An abundance of communist and pro-communist literature which is provided by the Soviet Union and Communist China, and which is distributed by nationals who are either communists or sympathetic to communism. The students are attracted to communism. Anti-communist literature is most effective when it is available. There is a desperate need for more anti-communist literature.

The following letter received from a college teacher in India is typical:

Many many thanks for you "Why I am against Communist?" Infinite riches in that small booklet. It creates sensation among our friends. I gave it to a communist friend who became speechless.

Every time I am eagerly waiting for your literature. For both ignorant & intellectual you are helpful. I am crazy to read your literature, it is very clear, precise & definite. If you don't mind I shall request for some other literature i.e.,

The Disease of Communism

Communism: Diagnosis & Treatment

The Communist Interpretation of Peace

The Christian Answer to Communism

Besides, as our students are slowly turning towards communism, would you please suggest your Indian branch to get the idea how to establish a local study group.

Thank you for your Newsletter sending me regularly.

The failure to provide anti-communist literature is a symptom of suicidal insanity.

ANTI-GOVERNMENT GUERRILLA FORCES IN GUATEMALA UNITE

The Armed Forces of the Revolutionary Organizations of Guatemala have united under a single command, The Guatemalan National Revolutionary Unity (URNG)

The March 6 edition of the communist Daily World reports:

"In their program of unity, the Guerrilla Army of the Poor (EGP), the Rebel Armed Forces (FAR), the Organization of the People in Arms (ORPA), and the Guatemalan Workers Party 'nucleus of national leadership' (PGT) stated that the purpose of the alliance is to form 'a great front of national patriotic unity' to give impetus to the 'popular revolutionary war.'

"The URNG members describe this war as 'the greatest revolutionary war in the history of the Guatemalan people. It's a war in which workers and peasants, indigenous people and ladinos, Catholics and Evangelists, men and women all participate. It's a war that has lasted for more than 20 years and today has been extended to the entire country.'" (Page 7)

Fidel Castro and the Cubans succeeded in persuading the Revolutionary Armies in Nicaragua to unite under a single command. They conquered Nicaragua. This pattern was followed in El Salvador and now in Guatemala.

The essential thing in this communist strategy is that commanders of the Armed Forces must be dedicated Marxist-Leninists who can be trusted to militarize the country and ally it with the Soviet Union after conquest. As long as this is true, it does not matter if the majority of those doing the fighting are non-communists or even anti-communists. In fact, this will be an advantage as the representatives of the U . S. news media will interview these non-communists and anti-communists and report that the success of the revolutionary armies will pose no danger of communist conquest and will not threaten the U.S. A.

BONAPARTISM: THE PARTY AND THE GUN

One of the central doctrines of Leninism is that the Communist Party must control the military forces. To assure this, a political commissar is appointed to every major military group. This officer is responsible for directing the indoctrination of the troops and supervising the policies of the military commanders. He is the ultimate authority. In communist jargon, "The party controls the gun."

The communists call the supremacy of military power over political power, "Bonapartism," and condemn it wholeheartedly. Trotsky could have used the Red Army, which he had organized and of which he was commander-in-chief, to retain power when he was defeated in the fight for control of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union by Stalin after the death of Lenin, but he spurned the use of Bonapartism. He stated: "History has given one instrument only for the fulfillment of its purpose??the Communist Party." He accepted the verdict of the Party and his dismissal from his position of power.

The Soviet Communists have been forced to use Bonapartism to retain power over Poland. This indicates the extent of the degeneration that is taking place in the Soviet empire. Truly communism is like a cancer that rots at the center while it grows at the edges.

Soviet policies are influenced by communist doctrines. These doctrines must be studied and understood in order to design national strategies to preserve peace and freedom.

POST OFFICE BOX 890

227 EAST SIXTH STREET LOBE BEACH, CA. 90801 ?0890 Area Code (213) 437?0941

Dear Friend,

Christian Anti?Communism Crusade

April 15, 1982

We still need \$31,000 to pay for the distribution of the Chinese?English edition of the book "Why I Am Against Communism" to all Senior High School, College and University students and to all High School, College and University teachers in Taiwan. We have received about \$45,000 towards the total cost of \$76,725.

Some friends have accompanied their gifts with a letter expressing the wish that the project was being conducted in a Central American country, or in the U.S.A. itself, where the danger of communist recruitment and utilization of students and teachers is much more acute. I agree wholeheartedly and hasten to point out that the Taiwan project is not an alternative to similar distribution to Central America or the U.S. A. Rather it is a stepping ?stone towards the fulfillment of such distribution.

We are energetically engaged in distributing "Why I Am Against Communism" to students and teachers of the U.S.A. and Latin America. Unfortunately, we do not have the channels of distribution available here as they are in Taiwan, where the Christian community will distribute the book with the full cooperation of the authorities, while our contribution is to provide the books. Distribution here and in Latin America is much more difficult. We are recruiting willing distributors and providing them with books, but we are a long way from reaching every student and teacher in these areas. If we were successful in having the book read by every student and teacher in the Americas, we would be well on the way to winning the war with communism.

The distribution in Taiwan of "Why I Am Against Communism" is not diminishing other Crusade programs of distribution but may augment them. Once this cooperative distribution has been completed successfully, it is not unreasonable to hope that anti?communist leaders in other countries will be inspired and encouraged to cooperate in a similar fashion. Example is better than precept.

Some of the present Crusade projects are:

1. The support and supply of hundreds of Christian anti?communist workers throughout the world. Anti?communist literature must be distributed once it is printed.
2. The printing of Christian anti?communist literature in multiple languages after it has been translated. One present project is to print and distribute 50,000 copies of the Italian edition of "You Can Trust the Communists (to be Communists)" to the molders of public opinion in Italy.
3. The conduct of Anti?Communist Seminars to train anti?communist workers worldwide. Preparations are proceeding for seminars in Kenya and Nigeria.
4. The worldwide distribution of the Crusade newsletter. The postage bill is massive and growing.

5. The distribution of the Crusade newsletter to the President, members of the Cabinet, all members of the U.S. Congress, members of the U.S. Supreme Court, Governors, many State Legislators, newspaper editors and columnists, news directors of T . V. and Radio Stations, and all college and university libraries.

6. The loving nurture and Christian training of orphans.

How we need your support for our million?dollar truth fund.

With Christian love,

Fred Schwarz